A copolymer at a linear selective interface: Variational characterization of the free energy

Alex A. Opoku Mathematical Institute, University of Leiden, The Netherlands

Workshop on Random Polymers and Related Topics, IMS, NUS, Singapore

Joint work with E. Bolthausen and F. den Hollander

Outline

1 The Model

2 Localization-Delocalization Transition

- Quenched version
- Annealed version
- Basic facts

3 Variational Characterization

- Main results
- Quenched variational formulas
- Annealed variational formulas

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Copolymer

A copolymer is a polymer consisting of monomers of two or more different types, e.g. hydrophilic and hydrophobic.

Copolymers are used as surfactants, emulsifiers, foaming/ anti-foaming agents.

Case of interest: Copolymer with randomly arranged monomer types located near a linear interface separating two immiscible solvents that have *affinity* for the monomer types.



EXABLE DQC

The model

Polymer configuration is a directed path in $\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Pi = \big\{ \pi = (k, \pi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \colon \pi_0 = 0, \operatorname{sign}(\pi_k) + \operatorname{sign}(\pi_{k-1}) \neq 0, \ k \in \mathbb{N} \big\}.$$

 P^* -probability measure on Π such that excursions below and above the linear interface $\mathbb{N} \times \{0\}$ occur with equal probability and $\rho(k) := P^*(\pi_1, \cdots, \pi_{k-1} \neq 0, \pi_k = 0)$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies

$$\lim_{k\to\infty\atop \rho(k)>0} \frac{\log\rho(k)}{\log k} = -\alpha, \quad \text{for some} \quad \alpha\in[1,\infty).$$

Monomer disorder: $\omega = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an \mathbb{R} -valued i.i.d. sequence with law $\mathbb{P} = \nu^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathbb{E}(\omega_1) = 0$, $\mathbb{E}(\omega_1^2) = 1$ and

$$M(\lambda) = \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda \omega_1} \nu(d\omega_1) < \infty \qquad \forall \, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The model

Quenched copolymer model is the path measure

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{eta,h,\omega}(\pi) = rac{1}{\widetilde{Z}_n^{eta,h,\omega}} \, oldsymbol{e}^{eta \sum_{k=1}^n (\omega_k+h) \Delta_k} \, \mathcal{P}^*(\pi), \qquad \pi \in \Pi, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $\Delta_k = \pm 1$ if the *k*th edge of π is above or below the interface, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\beta \ge 0$ and $h \ge 0$ are respectively the interaction strength (inverse temperature) and the disorder bias and $\widetilde{Z}_n^{\beta,h,\omega}$ is the normalizing partition sum.

Example: P^* = simple random walk ($\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$) and $\nu = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_- + \delta_+)$ models copolymer with hydrophilic ($\omega_i = -1$) and hydrophobic ($\omega_i = +1$) monomers near a linear interface separating oil and water [Garel, Huse, Leibler and Orland (1986)].

Localization-Delocalization Transition: Quenched version



The quenched free energy per monomer

$$f^{\text{que}}(\beta,h) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \widetilde{Z}_n^{\beta,h,\omega} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\sum_{\pi \in \Pi} e^{\beta \sum_{k=1}^n (\omega_k + h) \Delta_k} P^*(\pi) \right)$$

exists and is constant ω -a.s., and satisfies

$$f^{\text{que}}(\beta, h) \geq \beta h.$$

Localization-Delocalization Transition: Quenched version

The quenched excess free energy

$$g^{\text{que}}(\beta,h) = f^{\text{que}}(\beta,h) - \beta h$$

is the free energy per monomer for the model with partition sum

$$Z_{n,0}^{\beta,h,\omega} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi; \pi_n = 0} P(\pi) \exp \left[\beta \sum_{k=1}^n (\omega_k + h) [\Delta_k - 1]\right].$$

and it exhibits a localization-delocalization transition with

$$\mathcal{D}^{\text{que}} = \{(eta, h): \ g^{\text{que}}(eta, h) = \mathbf{0}\},\ \mathcal{L}^{\text{que}} = \{(eta, h): \ g^{\text{que}}(eta, h) > \mathbf{0}\}.$$

The two phases are separated by a quenched critical curve

$$h^{\mathrm{que}}_{c}(\beta) = \inf\{h \ge 0: \ g^{\mathrm{que}}(\beta, h) = 0\}, \qquad \beta \ge 0.$$

The phase transition is the result of a competition between entropy and energy.

Localization-Delocalization Transition: Annealed version

The annealed version of the model has excess free energy

$$g^{\mathrm{ann}}(\beta,h) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E}(Z_{n,0}^{\beta,h,\omega}),$$

which also exhibits a localization-delocalization transition with

$$\mathcal{D}^{\text{ann}} = \{(\beta, h): g^{\text{ann}}(\beta, h) = 0\},\$$
$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{ann}} = \{(\beta, h): g^{\text{ann}}(\beta, h) > 0\}.$$

The two phases are separated by an annealed critical curve

$$h_c^{\operatorname{ann}}(\beta) = \inf\{h \ge 0: g^{\operatorname{ann}}(\beta, h) = 0\}, \qquad \beta \ge 0.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Basic facts

1. Closed form expressions are known for h_c^{ann} and g^{ann} :

$$h_c^{\mathrm{ann}}(\beta) = (2\beta)^{-1}M(2\beta), \qquad \beta > 0,$$

 $g^{\mathrm{ann}}(\beta, h) = 0 \lor [M(2\beta) - 2\beta h], \qquad \beta, h \ge 0.$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

2. No closed form expressions are known for h_c^{que} and g^{que} . They satisfy the bounds

Many interesting related results have been obtained in the past 10 years by: Biskup, Bodineau, Caravenna, Giacomin, Lacoin, Pétrélis, Rechnitzer, Soteros, Toninelli, Whittington,...

Main results:

Theorem

For every β , h > 0, there are lower semi-continuous, convex and non-increasing functions

 $egin{aligned} &g\mapsto \mathcal{S}^{ ext{que}}(eta,h;g),\ &g\mapsto \mathcal{S}^{ ext{ann}}(eta,h;g), \end{aligned}$

given by explicit variational formulas, such that

$$g^{\operatorname{que}}(eta,h) = \inf\{g \in \mathbb{R} \colon S^{\operatorname{que}}(eta,h;g) < 0\},\ g^{\operatorname{ann}}(eta,h) = \inf\{g \in \mathbb{R} \colon S^{\operatorname{ann}}(eta,h;g) < 0\}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Main results:

Theorem

For every $\beta > 0$ and g = 0, the maps

 $h \mapsto S^{\text{que}}(\beta, h; 0),$ $h \mapsto S^{\text{ann}}(\beta, h; 0)$

are lower semi-continuous, convex and non-increasing functions.

The critical curves $h_c^{que}(\beta)$ and $h_c^{ann}(\beta)$ are respectively the unique h-values that solve the equations

 $S^{ ext{que}}(eta,h;0)=0,\ S^{ ext{ann}}(eta,h;0)=0.$

Suppose that up to time *n*, the path $\pi \in \Pi$ makes $N \leq n$ visits to the interface $\mathbb{N}_0 \times \{0\}$. Then w.r.t. π

$$\beta \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\omega_k + h) [\Delta_k - 1] = -2\beta \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1_{A_i} \sum_{k \in I_i} (\omega_k + h)$$

where I_i is the *i*th excursion interval and A_i is the event that the *i*th excursion is below the interface.

The contribution of the *i*th excursion to the partition sum $Z_{n,0}^{\beta,h,\omega}$ is

$$\phi_{\beta,h}(\omega_{I_i}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + e^{-2\beta \sum_{k \in I_i} (\omega_k + h)} \right),$$

where ω_{l_i} is the word cut out from ω by the *i*th excursion interval.

Hence
$$Z_{n,0}^{\beta,h,\omega} = \sum_{N=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{0=k_0 < k_1 < \cdots < k_N = n \\ \times e^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \phi_{\beta,h}(\omega_{l_i})}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \rho(k_i - k_{i-1}) \right)$$

A key tool is the generating function of $Z_{n,0}^{\beta,h,\omega}$:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-gn} Z_{n,0}^{\beta,h,\omega} = \sum_{N \in \mathbb{N}} F_N^{\beta,h,\omega}(g), \quad g \in [0,\infty), \quad \text{with}$$

$$F_N^{\beta,h,\omega}(g) = \mathcal{N}(g)^N \sum_{\substack{0=k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_N < \infty}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \rho_g(k_i - k_{i-1})\right) \times e^{\sum_{i=1}^N \log \phi_{\beta,h}(\omega_{l_i})}$$

$$\rho_g(n) = \frac{e^{-ng}}{\mathcal{N}(g)} \rho(n), \quad \mathcal{N}(g) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(n) e^{-gn}, \quad g \ge 0.$$

V(2)

 T_1

 $V^{(1)}$

Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}^n$ (finite word space). τ_4 τ_3 τ_2 $V^{(4)}$

 T_2

 $V^{(3)}$



 T_{2}

 T_{A}

 T_5

$$egin{aligned} q_{
ho_{g,
u}}ig(d\omega_1,\ldots,d\omega_nig) &=
ho_{g}(n)\,
u(d\omega_1) imes\cdots imes
u(d\omega_n), \ n\in\mathbb{N}, \quad \omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n\in\mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}^{inv}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})$ be the set of probability measures on the sentence space $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}$, invariant under the left shift $\widetilde{\theta}$ acting on $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Let $(\omega_{I_1}, \ldots, \omega_{I_N})$ be the *N*-tupple of words cut out from ω by the first *N*-excursions and define the empirical process of *N*-tupple of words as

$$R_{N}^{\omega} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \delta_{\widetilde{\theta}^{i}(\omega_{l_{1}},...,\omega_{l_{N}})^{\mathrm{per}}} \in \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{inv}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}).$$

This implies that

$$F_N^{eta,h,\omega}(g) = \mathcal{N}(g)^N E_g^*\left(e^{N \, \Phi_{eta,h}(R_N^\omega)}
ight)$$

where

$$\Phi_{eta,h}(oldsymbol{Q}) \;\;=\;\; \int_{\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}} (\pi_1 oldsymbol{Q}) \log \phi_{eta,h}(oldsymbol{y}),$$

and $\pi_1 Q$ is the single-word marginal of $Q \in \mathcal{P}^{inv}_{\square}(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}})$.

The radius of convergence of the power series

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}e^{-gn}\,Z_{n,0}^{eta,h,\omega}$$

equals $g^{que}(\beta, h)$, and is the unique value of g where

$$egin{aligned} S^{ ext{que}}(eta,h;g) &= \limsup_{N o \infty} rac{1}{N} \log F_N^{eta,h,\omega}(g) \ &= \log \mathcal{N}(g) + \limsup_{N o \infty} rac{1}{N} \log E_g^*\left(e^{N \, \Phi_{eta,h}(R_N^\omega)}
ight) \quad \omega - ext{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

changes sign.

Annealed large deviation principle (LDP)

[Birkner (2008) and Birkner, Greven and den Hollander (2010)] For $Q \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{inv}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}})$, the specific relative entropy of Q w.r.t. $q_{\rho_g,\nu}^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ is defined as

$$H(Q|q_{\rho_{g},\nu}^{\otimes\mathbb{N}}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} h(\pi_n Q|q_{\rho_{g},\nu}^{\otimes n}).$$

Theorem

For every $g \in [0, \infty)$, the family $\mathbb{P} \otimes P_g^*(R_N^{\omega} \in \cdot)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies the LDP on $\mathcal{P}^{inv}(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}})$ with rate N and with rate function I_g^{ann} given by $I_g^{ann}(Q) = H(Q \mid q_{\rho_g,\nu}^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}), \quad Q \in \mathcal{P}^{inv}(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}).$ In particular,

$$I_g^{\mathrm{ann}}(\mathcal{Q}) = I^{\mathrm{ann}}(\mathcal{Q}) + g\mathbb{E}_\mathcal{Q}(au_1) + \log\mathcal{N}(g).$$

1. The concatenation map $\kappa : \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ glues a word sequence together into letter sequence.

2. For $Q \in \mathcal{P}^{inv}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})$ such that $m_Q = E_Q(\tau_1) < \infty$, define

$$\Psi_{Q} = \frac{1}{m_{Q}} E_{Q} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\tau_{1}-1} \delta_{\theta^{k} \kappa(Y)} \right) \in \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}),$$

where θ is the left-shift acting on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and τ_1 is the length of the first word.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

3. Let $\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{P}^{inv}(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}) \colon \Psi_{\mathcal{Q}} = \nu^{\otimes \mathbb{N}} \right\}.$

Theorem

For \mathbb{P} -almost all ω and all $g \in [0, \infty)$, the family $P_g^*(R_N^{\omega} \in \cdot | \omega)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies the LDP on $\mathcal{P}^{inv}(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}})$ with rate N and with deterministic rate function I_g^{que} given for g > 0 by

$$\mathit{I}^{ ext{que}}_{g}(\mathit{Q}) = \left\{egin{array}{cc} \mathit{I}^{ ext{ann}}_{g}(\mathit{Q}), & \textit{if } \mathit{Q} \in \mathcal{R}, \ \infty, & \textit{otherwise}, \end{array}
ight.$$

and for g = 0 by

 $I^{\text{que}}(Q) = H(Q \mid q_{\rho,\nu}^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}) + (\alpha - 1) m_Q H(\Psi_Q \mid \nu^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}),$

when $m_Q < \infty$, and by its truncation approximation when $m_Q = \infty$.

Let
$$\mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{fin}} = \Big\{ \mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{P}^{\operatorname{inv}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}) : I^{\operatorname{ann}}(\mathcal{Q}) < \infty, \ m_{\mathcal{Q}} < \infty \Big\}.$$

Theorem

1. For every β , h > 0 and ω -a.s

$$egin{aligned} &g^{ ext{que}}(eta,h) = ext{inf}\left\{egin{aligned} g \in \mathbb{R}: S^{ ext{que}}(eta,h;eta) < 0
ight\}, & ext{where} \ S^{ ext{que}}(eta,h;eta) = \sup_{egin{aligned} Q \in \mathcal{C}^{ ext{fin}} \cap \mathcal{R}} igl[\Phi_{eta,h}(eta) - gm_{eta} - I^{ ext{ann}}(eta) igr]. \end{aligned}$$

2. Alternatively, for g = 0

$$S^{\mathrm{que}}(eta,h;0)=S^{\mathrm{que}}_*(eta,h):=\sup_{Q\in\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{fin}}}\left[\Phi_{eta,h}(Q)-I^{\mathrm{que}}(Q)
ight].$$

3. For every β , h > 0, $S^{que}(\beta, h; g) < \infty$, for g > 0.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ▲■ のへ⊙



Corollary

For $\beta > 0$ and $h \le h_c^{que}(\beta)$, $g^{que}(\beta, h)$ is the unique g-value that solves the equation

$$S^{\operatorname{que}}(\beta,h;g)=0.$$



Corollary

For every $\beta > 0$ and g = 0,

$$S^{ ext{que}}(eta, h; 0) \left\{egin{array}{ll} = \infty & ext{for } h < h^{ ext{ann}}_{\mathcal{C}}(eta/lpha), \ > 0 & ext{for } h = h^{ ext{ann}}_{\mathcal{C}}(eta/lpha), \ < \infty & ext{for } h > h^{ ext{ann}}_{\mathcal{C}}(eta/lpha). \end{array}
ight.$$

 $h_c^{que}(\beta)$ is the unique h-value that solves the equation $S^{que}(\beta, h; 0) = 0.$

Annealed variational formulas

Similarly, $g^{ann}(\beta, h)$ is the unique value of g where

$$\mathcal{S}^{ ext{ann}}(eta, h; g) = \limsup_{N o \infty} rac{1}{N} \log \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{F}_N^{eta, h, \omega}(g)
ight)$$

changes sign.

Theorem

For β , $h \ge 0$,

$$egin{aligned} g^{ ext{ann}}(eta,h) &= \inf \left\{g \geq 0: S^{ ext{ann}}(eta,h;g) < 0
ight\}, & ext{where} \ S^{ ext{ann}}(eta,h;g) &= \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{C}^{ ext{fin}}} \left[\Phi_{eta,h}(Q) - gm_Q - I^{ ext{ann}}(Q)
ight] \ &= \log \left[rac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}(g) + rac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}igg(g - [M(2eta) - 2eta h]igg)
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

Annealed variational formulas



Corollary

For every $\beta \ge 0$ and $h = h_c^{ann}(\beta)$, $g^{ann}(\beta, h)$ is the unique *g*-value that solves the equation

 $S^{\mathrm{ann}}(\beta,h;g)=0.$

Annealed variational formulas



Corollary

For every $\beta > 0$, $h_c^{ann}(\beta)$ is the unique h-value that solves the equation

 $S^{\mathrm{ann}}(\beta, h; 0) = 0.$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Consequences of variational formulas

Corollary

1. For any $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$,

$$h_{c}^{\mathrm{ann}}\left(rac{eta}{lpha}
ight) < h_{c}^{\mathrm{que}}(eta) < h_{c}^{\mathrm{ann}}(eta).$$

2.

$$\liminf_{\beta \downarrow 0} \frac{h_c^{\text{que}}(\beta)}{\beta} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha} B(\alpha), & \text{for } 1 < \alpha < 2\\ \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}, & \text{for } \alpha \ge 2, \end{cases}$$

for some $1 < B(\alpha) < \infty$.

3. For any $(\beta, h) \in \mathcal{L}^{ann}$ and $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$,

 $g^{\text{que}}(\beta, h) < g^{\text{ann}}(\beta, h).$

The variational approach has been successfully applied to

- Study the phase diagram of copolymer with pinning model [den Hollander, A.O.]
- Settle a conjecture about existence of an intermediate phase in pinning of random walk by random walk [den Hollander, A.O.]
- Random pinning model [D. Cheliotis, F. den Hollander]

Open problems

- 1. The order of the phase transition.
- 2. The weak interaction limit

$$\lim_{\beta \downarrow 0} \frac{h_c^{\text{que}}(\beta)}{\beta}, \qquad \alpha \in (1,\infty).$$

3. Analyticity of

$$eta\mapsto h^{ ext{que}}_{m{c}}(eta) \quad ext{on} \quad (0,\infty),$$

 $(eta,h)\mapsto g^{ ext{que}}(eta,h) \quad ext{on} \quad \mathcal{L}^{ ext{que}}.$

THANK YOU