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## Numberings

## Definition

A surjective mapping $\alpha$ of the set $N$ of natural numbers onto a nonempty set $A$ is called numbering of $A$.
$\alpha: N \rightarrow A$

## Definition

The collections of all numberings of $A$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Num}(A)$.

## Uniform computations

## Definition <br> A sequence $C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ of c.e. subsets of $\omega$ is called uniformly c.e. if $\left\{(x, i) \mid x \in C_{i}\right\}$ is c.e.

## Definition

Let $A$ is a family of c.e. sets and $\nu(0), \nu(1), \ldots$ is uniformly c.e. sequence then $\nu$ is called a computable numbering.

Approach of Goncharov-Sorbi (1997) - the uniformity idea

Let $C$ be a class of constructive objects described by 'expressions' (programs) of some language $L$ that is equipped with Godel numbering $\gamma$ for 'expressions' of $L$.
Any partial mapping $i: L \rightarrow C$ is called interpretation for the expressions from $L$.
A numbering $\nu: N \rightarrow A \subseteq C$ is called computable numbering (relative to
$i)$ if there exists a computable function $f$ such that for every $n \in \omega$,
$\nu(n)=i\left(\gamma_{f(n)}\right)$.

## Example

$C=\Sigma_{n+1}^{0}$,
$L=\left\{\Sigma_{n+1}^{0}-\right.$ formulas of arithmetics of a free variable $\left.x\right\}$,
$i(\Phi)=\{a \mid N \vDash \Phi(\bar{a})\}$.
Then a numbering $\nu$ of a family $A \subseteq \Sigma_{n+1}^{0}$ is called $\Sigma_{n+1}^{0}$-computable if there exists a computable function $f$ s.t., for every $m \in N$,
$\nu(m)=\left\{x \mid N \vDash \gamma_{f(m)}(\bar{x})\right\}$

Computable numberings in some hierarchies

Theorem (Goncharov and Sorbi,1997)
A numbering $\nu$ of a family $S$ of $\Sigma_{n+1}^{0}$ sets is $\Sigma_{n+1}^{0}$-computable $\Leftrightarrow$ $\{(m, x) \mid x \in \nu(m)\} \in \Sigma_{n+1}^{0}$.

Straightforward modifcation yields a criterion:

## Definition

A numbering $\nu$ of the family of analytic subsets of the natural series is said to be $\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$-computable if the set $G_{\nu}=\{(x, y) \mid y \in \nu(x)\}$ is $\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$-set.

## Definition

The set of $\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$-computable numberings of $A$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Com}_{n+1}^{1}(A)$.

## Reducibility

## Definition

Let $\nu \in \operatorname{Num}(A), \mu \in \operatorname{Num}(B)$, where $A$ and $B$ are any given families. Numbering $\nu$ is reducible to numbering $\mu$ (in symbols, $\nu \leq \mu$ ) if $\nu(x)=\mu f(x)$ for some computable function $f$ and all $x \in \omega$.

## Definition

If $\nu \leq \mu$ and $\mu \leq \nu$ then $A=B$ and we say that $\nu$ and $\mu$ are equivalent (in symbols, $\nu(x) \equiv \mu(x))$ numberings of $A$.
The equivalence class of a numbering $\nu$ is called the degree of $\nu$, denoted by $\operatorname{deg}(\nu)$.
The set of all degrees of the elements of $\operatorname{Num}(A)$ will be denoted by $L(A)$.

## Rogers semilattice in analytical hierarchy

## Definition <br> 

Number of minimal numberings in the case of the arithmetical hierarchy

Badaev and Goncharov have solved the problem of the cardinality of the set of minimal elements in $R_{n+2}^{0}(A)$, for any infinite family $A$.

Theorem (Goncharov and Badaev)
For every $n$, if $A$ is an infinite $\Sigma_{n+2}^{0}$-computable family, then $R_{n+2}^{0}(A)$ has infinitely many minimal elements.

Number of minimal numberings in the case of the analytical hierarchy

## Theorem

For every $n$ there are infinitely many minimal numberings of an infinite $\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$-computable family $S$ of $\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$-sets.
(1) $\nu-\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$-computable numbering of $S$.
(2) $A \in S$
(3) M-maximal set, $\bar{M}=\left\{m_{0}<m_{1}<\ldots<m_{k}<\ldots\right\}$.
(4) Numbering

$$
\nu_{M}^{A}(m)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nu(i), \text { if } m=m_{i}  \tag{1}\\
A, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

is minimal.
(9) $\nu_{M}^{A} \leq \nu_{M}^{B}$ iff $A=B$.

## Friedberg

## Theorem (Friedberg)

There exists a sequence of $S_{0}, S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots$ of uniformly recursively enumerable sets in which every recursively enumerable set occurs once and only once.

## Definition

If $\nu$ is a numbering of some family $S$, and $\nu$ is $1-1$, it is usually called Friedberg numbering.

Friedberg enumeration in analytical hierarchy, James C. Owings, JR

## Theorem (Owings)

There is no meta-r.e. sequence $S(\alpha)\left(\alpha<\omega_{1}\right)$ of $\Pi_{1}^{1}$ sets such that for each $\Pi_{1}^{1}$ set $A$ there is one and only one $\alpha$ for which $A=S(\alpha)$.

Friedberg enumeration in analytical hierarchy

## Theorem

There is no a $\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$-computable Friedberg enumeration of all $\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$-sets.
(1) If there a Friedberg enumeration of all $\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$ sets there is a $\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$-computable Friedberg enumeration of all infinite $\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$-sets.
(2) There is no a $\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$-computable Friedberg enumeration of all infinite $\Pi_{n+1}^{1}$-sets.

## Corollary

There is no a $\Sigma_{n+1}^{1}$-computable Friedberg enumeration of all $\Sigma_{n+1}^{1}$-sets.

## Rogers semilattices

## Theorem

Elementary theory of any nontrivial Rogers semilattices of analytical hierarchy is hereditarily undecideble.
(1) $\epsilon$ - the family of all c.e. sets. Partially ordered set $\mathrm{E}\langle\epsilon, \subseteq\rangle$ is a lattice. Finite subsets of N form an ideal of that lattice. Factoring $\langle\epsilon, \subseteq\rangle$ w.r.t. this ideal yields a factor lattice, denoted by $\left\langle\epsilon^{*}, \subseteq^{*}\right\rangle$. An element of $\epsilon^{*}$ consisting of finite sets is denoted by 0 .
(2) $\hat{\mu}$ stands for the principal ideal of $R_{n+1}^{1}(S)$ generated by $\operatorname{deg}(\mu)$.
(3) For every numbering $\nu \in \operatorname{Com}_{n+1}^{1}(S)$, there is a numbering $\mu \in \operatorname{Com}_{n+1}^{1}(S)$ such that $\nu \equiv_{0^{\prime}} \mu$ and
(1) if $S$ is finite then $\langle\hat{\mu}, \leq\rangle \cong\left\langle\epsilon^{*}, \subseteq^{*}\right\rangle$;
(2) if S is infinite then $\langle\hat{\mu}, \leq\rangle \cong\left\langle\epsilon^{*}-\{0\}, \subseteq^{*}\right\rangle$.
(4) Elementary theory of $\epsilon^{*}$ is hereditarily undecideble.

## Rogers semilattices

## Corollary

Let $S$ be an infinite family of $\Sigma_{n+1}^{1}$-sets, with $\operatorname{Com}_{n+1}^{1}(S) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a numbering $\beta \in \operatorname{Com}_{n+1}^{1}(S)$ such that the principal ideal of Rogers semilattices $R_{n+1}^{1}(S)$ generated by $\operatorname{deg}(\beta)$ contains no minimal elements.

## Thank you for attention!

