Some applications of higher Demuth's theorem

Liang Yu Institute of mathematics Nanjing University

June 16, 2014

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Demuth's theorem

Theorem (Demuth (1988))

If r_0 is Martin-Löf random and $z \leq_{tt} r_0$ is nonrecursive, then $z \equiv_T r_1$ for some Martin-Löf random real r_1 .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

The philosophy of Demuth's theorem

Demuth's theorem is a kind of formalization of the following thesis

"Any information computed by a random oracle is either trivial or useless."

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

The philosophy of Demuth's theorem

Demuth's theorem is a kind of formalization of the following thesis

"Any information computed by a random oracle is either trivial or useless."

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

However, the appearance of the truth table reduction in the theorem makes the theorem a little imperfect.

Higher Demuth's theorem

Theorem (Chong and Y.)

If r_0 is Π_1^1 -random and $z \leq_h r_0$ is nonhyperarithmetic, then $z \equiv_h r_1$ for some Π_1^1 random real r_1 .

The partial relativization of the theorem can be read as: If r_0 is $\Pi_1^1(x)$ -random and $z \leq_h r_0$ is nonhyperarithmetic, then $z \equiv_h r_1$ for some $\Pi_1^1(x)$ random real r_1 .

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Sacks's theorem

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Given a set of real A, let $\mathcal{U}_h(A) = \{y \mid \exists x \in A(y \ge_h x)\}.$

Theorem (Sacks (1969))

If x is no hyperarithmetic, then $U_h(\{x\})$ is null.

Kripke's theorem

Sacks's theorem was greatly strengthened by Kripke.

Theorem (Kripke (1969))

If A is null, closed under hyperarithmetic equivalence relation and does not contain a hyperarithmetic real, then $U_h(A)$ is null.

Proof.

Suppose not. Then fix a real *x* so that *A* does not contain any $\Pi_1^1(x)$ -random real. But $\mathcal{U}_h(A)$ must contain such a real. Relativizing the higher Demuth's theorem to *x*, *A* must contain a $\Pi_1^1(x)$ -random real, a contradiction.

Antichains of hyperdegrees

An antichain of hyperdegrees is a set of hyperdegrees so that it has at least two elements and any two of them are incomparable.

Theorem (Y.)

- If A has positive measure, then A contains two reals x ≤_m y but x ≤_h y.
- There exists a maximal nonmeasurable antichain of hyperdegrees.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

A null maximal antichain of hyperdegrees.

Theorem (Chong and Y.)

There is a null maximal antichain A of hyperdegrees. Actually every Π_1^1 random real is strictly hyperarithmetically below some real in A.

Note that any nontrivial upper cone of hyperdegrees does not contain a maximal antichain.

・ コット (雪) (小田) (コット 日)

The proof

- If g is sufficiently generic, then g form a minimal pair (in the hyperdegree sense) with any Π¹₁-random reals;
- For any hypdegree *x*, there are 2^{ℵ0} many generic reals {*g_α*}_α which mutually form an exact pair over the low cone of *x*.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

③ By induction and try to avoid Π_1^1 -random reals.

Some additional results.

Proposition

- Given a set A of antichain of hyperdegrees. If U_h(A) is measurable, then it must be null.
- There is a nonmeasurable set A of hyperdegrees so that *U_h(A)* is conull.

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Measure theory of Turing degrees

Given a set of reals A, let $U_T(A) = \{y \exists x \in A(y \ge_T x)\}.$

Theorem (Sacks (1963); de Leeuw, Moore, Shannon, and Shapiro (1956))

If x is not recursive, then $U_T(\{x\})$ is null.

Theorem (Kurtz (1981) and Kautz (1991))

There is a null set A of Turing degrees which does not contain **0** so that $U_T(A)$ is conull.

Antichains of Turing degrees

There is nonmeasurable maximal antichain of Turing degrees.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

 If A is antichain of Turing degrees so that U_T(A) is measurable, then so is A.

Jockusch's question

Question (Jockusch (2006))

- Is there a measurable maximal antichain of Turing degrees?
- Is there a maximal antichain A of Turing degrees so that *U*_T(A) is null?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

The first question can be easily answered under CH.

Some classical genericity result (1)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Theorem (Kurtz and Kautz)

Every 2-random real is REA.

Theorem (Wang (2011))

If x is REA, then x is r.e. above some 1-generic real g.

Some classical genericity result (2)

Lemma (Chong and Y.)

If x is REA, then for any $n \ge 1$, there are n-many Turing incomparable 1-generic reals $\{g_i\}_{i\le n}$ so that for any $i \ne j \le n$, $g_i \oplus g_j \equiv_T x$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

The main theorem

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Theorem (Chong and Y.)

There is a maximal antichain A of Turing degrees so that $\mu(U_T(A)) = 1$.

The proof

- Fix a null maximal antichain B of hyperdegrees so that each Π¹₁-random real is hyperarithmetically below some element in B;
- Putting all the previous genericity results together and by an induction locally working below some element in *B*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Additional results

Theorem (Chong and Y.)

- There is a null maximal antichain A of Turing degrees so that μ(U_T(A)) = 0.
- There is a null maximal antichain A of Turing degrees so that $U_T(A)$ is not measurable.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Demuth's theorem on *L*-degrees

Theorem (Forklore)

If r_0 is random over L and $z \in L[r_0] \setminus L$, then $z \equiv_L r_1$ for some L-random real r_1 .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

So random forcing only adds random reals.

Kripke's results in L

Theorem

Suppose that for any real x, $\omega_1^{L[x]}$ is countable. The for any null set A of constructible degrees not containing $\mathbf{0}_L$, $\mathcal{U}_L(A)$ is null.

A question

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Question

Is there a Π_1^0 set of maximal antichain of Turing degrees?

Thank you

