

Tutorial in Econometrics Part II(c): Sieve QLR Inference on Semi/Nonparametric Conditional Moment Restrictions

Xiaohong Chen (Yale) and Demian Pouzo (UC Berkeley)

NUS, IMS, May 16, 2014

May 16, 2014

Results in the Paper

- ▶ For possibly *irregular* (slower than root-n estimable) functionals, $\phi()$, of semi/nonparametric conditional moment restrictions $E[\rho(Z, \alpha_0)|X] = 0$, we establish:
 1. asymp. normality of plug-in PSMD estimators of $\phi(\alpha_0)$;
 2. consistency of sieve variance estimators of plug-in PSMD $\hat{\phi}$;
 3. asymp. chi-square of an optimally weighted SQLR statistic;
 4. tight limiting dist. of possibly non-optimally weighted SQLR;
 5. consistency of the nonparametric and the weighted bootstrap (possibly non-optimally weighted) SQLR, sieve Wald, and sieve score statistics.

Example I: Partially linear quantile IV

- ▶ $Y_3 = \beta_0' Y_2 + h_0(Y_1) + U$ where $E[1\{U \leq 0\} | X] = \gamma$.
- ▶ parameters $\alpha = (\beta, h) \in \mathcal{A} \equiv B \times \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{d_\beta} \times L^2_{f_{Y_1}}$.

Example I: Partially linear quantile IV

- ▶ $Y_3 = \beta_0' Y_2 + h_0(Y_1) + U$ where $E[1\{U \leq 0\}|X] = \gamma$.
- ▶ parameters $\alpha = (\beta, h) \in \mathcal{A} \equiv B \times \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{d_\beta} \times L_{f_{Y_1}}^2$.
- ▶ In terms of conditional moment restrictions,

$$\rho(Z, \alpha) \equiv 1\{Y_3 \leq \beta' Y_2 + h(Y_1)\} - \gamma,$$

$$m(X, \alpha_0) \equiv E[\rho(Z, \alpha_0)|X] = 0, \text{ a.s.} - X.$$

Example I: Partially linear quantile IV

- ▶ $Y_3 = \beta_0' Y_2 + h_0(Y_1) + U$ where $E[1\{U \leq 0\}|X] = \gamma$.
- ▶ parameters $\alpha = (\beta, h) \in \mathcal{A} \equiv B \times \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{d_\beta} \times L_{f_{Y_1}}^2$.
- ▶ In terms of conditional moment restrictions,

$$\rho(Z, \alpha) \equiv 1\{Y_3 \leq \beta' Y_2 + h(Y_1)\} - \gamma,$$

$$m(X, \alpha_0) \equiv E[\rho(Z, \alpha_0)|X] = 0, \text{ a.s.} - X.$$

- ▶ functionals of interest: $\phi(\alpha_0) = \lambda' \beta_0, E[\nabla h_0(Y_1)]$ or $\nabla h_0(y_1^*)$.
- ▶ Y are endogenous variables and X are the IV or conditioning instruments.

Example II: Additive NPIV

- ▶ parameters $h = (h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \subseteq L^2_{f_{Y_1}} \times L^2_{f_{Y_2}}$.

$$\rho(Z, \alpha) \equiv Y_3 - h_1(Y_1) - h_2(Y_2)$$

$$m(X, \alpha_0) \equiv E[\rho(Z, \alpha_0) | X] = 0, \text{ a.s. } - X.$$

- ▶ functional of interest is

$$\phi(h_0) = b_1 \nabla h_{10}(y_1^*) + b_2 \nabla h_{20}(y_2^*)$$

where $b = (b_1, b_2)$ are known weights and (y_1^*, y_2^*) is a given point in the support of $Y_1 \times Y_2$.

- ▶ E.g. Y_3 log demand and Y_i are log-prices of firm i . $\nabla h_i(y_i^*)$ is the price elasticity.

Example III: Asset Pricing

- ▶ parameters $\alpha = (\beta, h) \in \mathcal{A} \equiv B \times \mathcal{H}$.
- ▶ $m(X, \alpha_0) \equiv E[\rho(Z, \alpha_0)|X] = 0$, a.s. $- X$., where

$$\rho(Z, \alpha) \equiv SDF(\beta, h) \times PAY(\beta, h) - PRICE,$$

- ▶ $SDF(\beta, h)$: Stochastic Discount factor.
 - ▶ $PAY(\beta, h)$: payoff of the bond.
- ▶ External habit: $SDF(\alpha) = \left(c' \times \frac{1-h(c')}{1-h(c)} \right)^{-\beta}$ and $PAY(\alpha) = 1$, where (c, c') are today and tomorrow's consumption growth rate resp.
- ▶ Is the habit function, h , linear?
- ▶ functional of interest: $\phi(\alpha_0) = \|\nabla^2 h_0\|_{L^2}$.

Example III: Asset Pricing

- ▶ parameters $\alpha = (\beta, h) \in \mathcal{A} \equiv B \times \mathcal{H}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{R} \times \mathbf{H}$.
- ▶ $m(X, \alpha_0) \equiv E[\rho(Z, \alpha_0)|X] = 0$, a.s. $- X$., where

$$\rho(Z, \alpha) \equiv SDF(\beta, h) \times PAY(\beta, h) - PRICE,$$

- ▶ $SDF(\beta, h)$: Stochastic Discount factor.
 - ▶ $PAY(\beta, h)$: payoff of the bond.
- ▶ Defaultable assets: $SDF(\alpha) = (c')^{-\beta}$ and $PAY(\alpha) = 1\{Y'_i \geq h(L'_i)\}$ with Y' tomorrow's income.
- ▶ Will more liabilities (L') lead to higher likelihood of default for a given level of liabilities I^* ?
- ▶ functional of interest: $\phi(\alpha_0) = \nabla h_0(I^*)$.

Main Features of these Examples

- ▶ General semi/non-parametric conditional moment restrictions.
The residual function, ρ , can be linear (e.g., NPIV); non-linear pointwise smooth (e.g., asset pricing); pointwise non-smooth wrt parameters (e.g., NPQIV, defaultable assets);...
- ▶ The functional of interest, ϕ , can be linear (e.g., Euclidean parameter, evaluation functional, weighted integration functional,...); non-linear (e.g., L^2 norm,...).
- ▶ The $\phi(\alpha_0)$ can be regular (i.e., root-n estimable) or irregular (i.e., slower than root-n estimable). Sometimes difficult to check which is the case

Roadmap

- ▶ The Model, Functional of interest, Estimator.
- ▶ Asymptotic Normality of plug-in PSMD estimator
- ▶ Asymp. chi-square of optimally weighted SQLR.
- ▶ Consistency of sieve variance estimator
- ▶ Consistency of bootstrap generally weighted SQLR.
- ▶ CS based on asymp. critical values
- ▶ CS based on bootstrap critical values
- ▶ Monte Carlo and Empirical Illustration of NPQIV
- ▶ Related literature, Extensions.

The Model

- ▶ The Semi/nonparametric conditional moment model is:

$$m(X, \alpha_0) \equiv E[\rho(Z, \alpha_0)|X] = 0, \text{ a.s. } - X.$$

True parameter values: $\alpha_0 = (\beta_0, h_0) \in \mathcal{A} \equiv B \times \mathcal{H}$,

- ▶ $B \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{d_\beta}$ and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbf{H}$.
- ▶ $\|\alpha\|_s = \|\beta\|_e + \|h\|_{h,s}$ where $(\mathbf{H}, \|\cdot\|_{h,s})$ is a Banach Space.

And

- ▶ $\rho : \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{d_\rho}$ is the generalized residual function.
- ▶ $F_{Z|X}$ is unknown (nuisance parameter).

The Model

- ▶ The Semi/nonparametric conditional moment model is:

$$m(X, \alpha_0) \equiv E[\rho(Z, \alpha_0)|X] = 0, \text{ a.s.} - X.$$

True parameter values: $\alpha_0 = (\beta_0, h_0) \in \mathcal{A} \equiv B \times \mathcal{H}$,

- ▶ $B \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{d_\beta}$ and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbf{H}$.
- ▶ $\|\alpha\|_s = \|\beta\|_e + \|h\|_{h,s}$ where $(\mathbf{H}, \|\cdot\|_{h,s})$ is a Banach Space.

And

- ▶ $\rho : \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{d_\rho}$ is the generalized residual function.
 - ▶ $F_{Z|X}$ is unknown (nuisance parameter).
- ▶ Let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. The functional of interest is:

$$\phi_0 \equiv \phi(\alpha_0) \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Difficulty in Estimation and Inference of the Model

- ▶ Let $\Sigma(X)$ be a positive definite weighting matrix; let $Q(\alpha) \equiv E[m(X, \alpha)' \Sigma^{-1}(X) m(X, \alpha)]$.

Difficulty in Estimation and Inference of the Model

- ▶ Let $\Sigma(X)$ be a positive definite weighting matrix; let $Q(\alpha) \equiv E[m(X, \alpha)' \Sigma^{-1}(X) m(X, \alpha)]$.
- ▶ Estimation of α_0 could be (globally) ill-posed, i.e.,
 - ▶ $\|\alpha - \alpha_0\|_s$ is not continuous w.r.t. the criterion $Q(\alpha)$.
- ▶ That is, $\exists(\alpha_k) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $Q(\alpha_k) \rightarrow 0$ but $\liminf_k \|\alpha_k - \alpha_0\|_s > 0$. “Identifiable uniqueness” fails!

Difficulty in Estimation and Inference of the Model

- ▶ Let $\Sigma(X)$ be a positive definite weighting matrix; let
$$Q(\alpha) \equiv E[m(X, \alpha)' \Sigma^{-1}(X) m(X, \alpha)].$$
- ▶ Estimation of α_0 could be (globally) ill-posed, i.e.,
 - ▶ $\|\alpha - \alpha_0\|_s$ is not continuous w.r.t. the criterion $Q(\alpha)$.
- ▶ That is, $\exists(\alpha_k) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $Q(\alpha_k) \rightarrow 0$ but
$$\liminf_k \|\alpha_k - \alpha_0\|_s > 0.$$
 “Identifiable uniqueness” fails!
- ▶ NPIV: $E[Y_2 - h_0(Y_1)|X] = 0.$
$$\|h - h_0\|_s^2 = E[(h(Y_1) - h_0(Y_1))^2].$$
$$Q(h) = E[(E[h(Y_1) - h_0(Y_1)|X])^2].$$

Difficulty in Estimation and Inference of the Model

- ▶ Let $\Sigma(X)$ be a positive definite weighting matrix; let
$$Q(\alpha) \equiv E[m(X, \alpha)' \Sigma^{-1}(X) m(X, \alpha)].$$
- ▶ Estimation of α_0 could be (globally) ill-posed, i.e.,
 - ▶ $\|\alpha - \alpha_0\|_s$ is not continuous w.r.t. the criterion $Q(\alpha)$.
- ▶ That is, $\exists(\alpha_k) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $Q(\alpha_k) \rightarrow 0$ but
$$\liminf_k \|\alpha_k - \alpha_0\|_s > 0.$$
 “Identifiable uniqueness” fails!
- ▶ NPIV: $E[Y_2 - h_0(Y_1)|X] = 0$.
$$\|h - h_0\|_s^2 = E[(h(Y_1) - h_0(Y_1))^2].$$
$$Q(h) = E[(E[h(Y_1) - h_0(Y_1)|X])^2].$$
- ▶ One has to “regularize” the problem via methods of sieves and/or penalization.

The PSMD Estimator

- ▶ PSMD (Chen-Pouzo '09, 12): $\hat{\alpha}_n$ solves:

$$\inf_{\mathcal{A}_n} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \hat{m}(X_i, \alpha)' [\hat{\Sigma}(X_i)]^{-1} \hat{m}(X_i, \alpha) \} + \lambda_n \text{Pen}(h)$$

- ▶ $\hat{m}(X, \alpha)$ is any consistent nonparametric estimator of $m()$.
- ▶ $\hat{\Sigma}$ is any consistent nonparametric estimators of $\Sigma(X)$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{A}_n \equiv B \times \mathcal{H}_n$, \mathcal{H}_n is a finite dimensional linear sieve.
- ▶ $\text{Pen}(\cdot) > 0$ is a penalty; $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ fast.

The PSMD Estimator

- ▶ PSMD (Chen-Pouzo '09, 12): $\hat{\alpha}_n$ solves:

$$\inf_{\mathcal{A}_n} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \hat{m}(X_i, \alpha)' [\hat{\Sigma}(X_i)]^{-1} \hat{m}(X_i, \alpha) \} + \lambda_n \text{Pen}(h)$$

- ▶ $\hat{m}(X, \alpha)$ is any consistent nonparametric estimator of $m()$.
- ▶ $\hat{\Sigma}$ is any consistent nonparametric estimators of $\Sigma(X)$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{A}_n \equiv B \times \mathcal{H}_n$, \mathcal{H}_n is a finite dimensional linear sieve.
- ▶ $\text{Pen}(\cdot) > 0$ is a penalty; $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ fast.
- ▶ Plug-in PSMD estimator of ϕ_0 : $\hat{\phi}_n = \phi(\hat{\alpha}_n)$.

Examples of Sieves

- ▶ Finite-dimensional linear sieves \mathcal{H}_n is of the form

$$\{h(\cdot) = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} a_k q_k(\cdot) : a_k \in \mathcal{R}\}, \text{ with } q_k(\cdot) \text{ a known basis,}$$

e.g.

1. Polynomials: $q_k(Y) = Y^k$
2. Sine (or Cosine): $q_k(Y) = \text{Sin}(k\pi Y)$ (or $\text{Cos}(k\pi Y)$)
3. B-Splines: $q_k(X) = 2^{k_{1n}/2} B_r(2^{k_{1n}} Y - k)$
4. Polynomial splines, wavelets, finite elements, Hermite poly.,
Laguerre poly., radial basis,...

Convergence Rates in “Weak” and “Strong” Norms

- ▶ For nonlinear illposed inverse problems, Chen-Pouzo (12) establish convergence rates in “weak” and “strong” norms.
- ▶ “Strong Norm”: $\|\cdot\|_s = \|\cdot\|_e + \|\cdot\|_{h,s}$
 - ▶ $\|\cdot\|_{h,s}$ is a Banach norm, e.g., L^2 .
 - ▶ Estimation of α_0 may be ill-posed under this strong norm.

Convergence Rates in “Weak” and “Strong” Norms

- ▶ For nonlinear illposed inverse problems, Chen-Pouzo (12) establish convergence rates in “weak” and “strong” norms.
- ▶ “Strong Norm”: $\|\cdot\|_s = \|\cdot\|_e + \|\cdot\|_{h,s}$
 - ▶ $\|\cdot\|_{h,s}$ is a Banach norm, e.g., L^2 .
 - ▶ Estimation of α_0 may be ill-posed under this strong norm.
- ▶ “Weak Norm”: $\|\cdot\|$ where

$$\|\alpha - \alpha_0\|^2 = E \left[\frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [\alpha - \alpha_0]' \Sigma(X)^{-1} \frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [\alpha - \alpha_0] \right]$$

with

$$\frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [\alpha - \alpha_0] = \frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{d\beta} (\beta - \beta_0) + \frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{dh} [h - h_0]$$

$$\text{and } \frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{dh} [h - h_0] \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{E[\rho(Z, (\beta_0, h_0 + t(h - h_0)))]}{t}$$

Convergence Rates in “Weak” and “Strong” Norms

- ▶ For nonlinear illposed inverse problems, Chen-Pouzo (12) establish convergence rates in “weak” and “strong” norms.
- ▶ “Strong Norm”: $\|\cdot\|_s = \|\cdot\|_e + \|\cdot\|_{h,s}$
 - ▶ $\|\cdot\|_{h,s}$ is a Banach norm, e.g., L^2 .
 - ▶ Estimation of α_0 may be ill-posed under this strong norm.


- ▶ “Weak Norm”: $\|\cdot\|$ where

$$\|\alpha - \alpha_0\|^2 = E \left[\frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [\alpha - \alpha_0]' \Sigma(X)^{-1} \frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [\alpha - \alpha_0] \right]$$

with

$$\frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [\alpha - \alpha_0] = \frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{d\beta} (\beta - \beta_0) + \frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{dh} [h - h_0]$$

$$\text{and } \frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{dh} [h - h_0] \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{E[\rho(Z, (\beta_0, h_0 + t(h - h_0)))]}{t}$$

- ▶ Key: $E[m(X, \alpha)' \Sigma(X)^{-1} m(X, \alpha)] \asymp \|\alpha - \alpha_0\|^2$ locally. 

Local Neighborhood

- ▶ Our proof of asymp. normality of $\hat{\phi}_n$ relies on local linear approximations.
- ▶ Given the consistency and convergence rate of PSMD estimator $\hat{\alpha}_n$ (Chen-Pouzo, 12), we can restrict to a shrinking $\|\cdot\|_s$ -neighborhood around α_0 . Let

$$\mathcal{A}_{os} \equiv \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} : \|\alpha - \alpha_0\|_s = o(1) \text{ and } \|\alpha - \alpha_0\| = o(1)\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{os} \equiv \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} : \|\alpha - \alpha_0\|_s = O(\delta_{s,n}) \text{ and } \|\alpha - \alpha_0\| = O(\delta_n)\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{osn} \equiv \mathcal{A}_n \cap \mathcal{N}_{os}$$

where δ_n and $\delta_{s,n}$ are the convergence rates under “weak” and “strong” norm, respectively.

Local Characterization of $\phi()$

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{V} = \text{c/sp}_{\|\cdot\|} \{ \mathcal{A}_{os} - \{ \alpha_0 \} \}$.
- ▶ $\frac{d\phi(\alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [v]$ is a linear functional $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow L^2(f_X)$.

Local Characterization of $\phi()$

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{V} = \text{c/sp}_{\|\cdot\|} \{ \mathcal{A}_{os} - \{ \alpha_0 \} \}$.
- ▶ $\frac{d\phi(\alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [v]$ is a linear functional $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow L^2(f_X)$.
- ▶ Under mild conditions,

$$\sqrt{\text{Asym. Var.}(n^{1/2}[\phi(\hat{\alpha}_n) - \phi(\alpha_0)])} \asymp \|v^*\| \equiv \sup_{v \in \mathbf{V}} \frac{|\frac{d\phi(\alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [v]|}{\|v\|}.$$

Local Characterization of $\phi()$

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{V} = \text{clsp}_{\|\cdot\|} \{ \mathcal{A}_{os} - \{ \alpha_0 \} \}$.
- ▶ $\frac{d\phi(\alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [v]$ is a linear functional $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow L^2(f_X)$.
- ▶ Under mild conditions,

$$\sqrt{\text{Asym. Var.}(n^{1/2}[\phi(\hat{\alpha}_n) - \phi(\alpha_0)])} \asymp \|\mathbf{v}^*\| \equiv \sup_{v \in \mathbf{V}} \frac{|\frac{d\phi(\alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [v]|}{\|v\|}.$$

- ▶ If $\|\mathbf{v}^*\| < \infty$, $\phi_0 \equiv \phi(\alpha_0)$ could be root-n estimable, *regular*.
- ▶ If $\|\mathbf{v}^*\| = \infty$ then $\phi_0 \equiv \phi(\alpha_0)$ could at best be estimated at a slower than root-n rate, *irregular*.

Local Characterization of $\phi()$

- ▶ Our solution: regardless of whether $\|v^*\|$ is finite or infinite, it can always be approximated by a sequence of finite $\|v_n^*\|$ that are computed in sieve spaces.
- ▶ Since $\mathbf{V}_n \equiv \Pi_n \mathbf{V} = \text{clsp}\{\mathcal{A}_n\} - \{\alpha_{0,n}\}$ is of finite dimension, $\frac{d\phi(\alpha_0)}{d\alpha}[v]$ is linear and hence is *always bounded* in \mathbf{V}_n , i.e.,

$$\|v_n^*\| = \sup_{v \in \mathbf{V}_n} \frac{\left| \frac{d\phi(\alpha_0)}{d\alpha}[v] \right|}{\|v\|} < \infty.$$

- ▶ $\|v_n^*\|$ can be computed in closed form via population least squares regression.

NPQIV Example

- ▶ NPQIV: $E[1(Y_2 \leq h_0(Y_1))|X] = \gamma$. Let $\phi_0 = \int \nabla h_0(y)w(y)dy = E_w[\nabla h_0]$ where w is a weight function.
- ▶ Let $h \mapsto T[h](X) \equiv E[f_{U|Y_1,X}(0; Y_1, X)h(Y_1)|X]$, where $T : \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbf{H} \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{X}, f_x)$.
- ▶ Assume T is compact, then
 - ▶ $\exists \{\mu_k, \psi_k(Y), b_k(X)\}_k$ such that $T[\psi_k](X) = \mu_k b_k(X)$.

NPQIV Example

- ▶ It can be shown that $\|v^*\|^2$, is proportional to,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k^{-2} (E_w[\nabla \psi_k])^2.$$

If it is ∞ , then ϕ_0 is not root-n estimable.

NPQIV Example

- ▶ It can be shown that $\|v^*\|^2$, is proportional to,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k^{-2} (E_w[\nabla\psi_k])^2.$$

If it is ∞ , then ϕ_0 is not root-n estimable.

- ▶ If $(E_w[\nabla\psi_k])^2 \leq \text{Const.} < \infty$, then:
 - ▶ The faster $\mu_j \rightarrow 0$ (i.e., the “higher the ill-posedness”), the harder is to get root-n.
 - ▶ Even if $\mu_j \geq \text{const} > 0$ (e.g. no endogeneity) it may not be root-n estimable (depends on summability of $(E_w[\nabla\psi_k])^2$, e.g., on the weights w).

NPQIV Example

- ▶ It can be shown that $\|v^*\|^2$, is proportional to,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k^{-2} (E_w[\nabla\psi_k])^2.$$

If it is ∞ , then ϕ_0 is not root-n estimable.

- ▶ If $(E_w[\nabla\psi_k])^2 \leq \text{Const.} < \infty$, then:
 - ▶ The faster $\mu_j \rightarrow 0$ (i.e., the “higher the ill-posedness”), the harder is to get root-n.
 - ▶ Even if $\mu_j \geq \text{const} > 0$ (e.g. no endogeneity) it may not be root-n estimable (depends on summability of $(E_w[\nabla\psi_k])^2$, e.g., on the weights w).
- ▶ Hard to know ex-ante if the functional is \sqrt{n} -estimable. It is desirable to perform inference without knowing this.

NPQIV Example

- ▶ Even if $\|v^*\| = \infty$, we still have $\|v_n^*\| < \infty$, where

$$\|v_n^*\|^2 \asymp \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \mu_k^{-2} (E_w[\nabla \psi_k])^2;$$

NPQIV Example

- ▶ Even if $\|v^*\| = \infty$, we still have $\|v_n^*\| < \infty$, where

$$\|v_n^*\|^2 \asymp \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \mu_k^{-2} (E_w[\nabla \psi_k])^2;$$

- ▶ $\|v_n^*\|$ is non-decreasing in $k(n)$.

Asymp. Normality of Plug-in PSMD Estimator

- ▶ Let $\|v_n^*\|_{sd}^2 = \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n S_{n,i} \right) \asymp \|v_n^*\|^2$. Assume:

$$\left| \phi(\hat{\alpha}_n) - \phi(\alpha_0) - \frac{\partial \phi(\alpha_0)}{\partial \alpha} [\hat{\alpha}_n - \alpha_0] \right| = o_p \left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|v_n^*\| \right), \quad (1)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial \phi(\alpha_0)}{\partial \alpha} [\alpha_{0,n} - \alpha_0] \right| = o \left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|v_n^*\| \right), \quad (2)$$

- ▶ **Theorem 1.** Under (1), (2) and some mild conditions,

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\phi(\hat{\alpha}_n) - \phi(\alpha_0)}{\|v_n^*\|_{sd}} = -\sqrt{n} \mathbb{Z}_n + o_p(1) \Rightarrow N(0, 1),$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{S_{n,i}}{\|v_n^*\|_{sd}}$,

$$S_{n,i} = \left(\frac{dm(X_i, \alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [v_n^*] \right)' \Sigma(X_i)^{-1} \rho(Z_i, \alpha_0)$$

Asymp. Normality of Plug-in PSMD Estimator

- ▶ For iid data,

$$\|v_n^*\|_{sd}^2 = \text{Var} \left(\left(\frac{dm(X, \alpha_0)}{d\alpha} [v_n^*] \right)' \Sigma(X)^{-1} \rho(Z, \alpha_0) \right) \asymp \|v_n^*\|^2$$

- (1) Root-n Case: $\|v^*\| < \infty$ then:

- ▶ $\|v_n^*\| \rightarrow \|v^*\|$; root-n asymptotic normality.

- (2) Slower than root-n Case: $\|v^*\| = \infty$ then:

- ▶ $\|v_n^*\| \rightarrow \infty$; slower than root-n asymptotic normality.

NPQIV Example

- ▶ By Normality Theorem, we obtain:

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\phi(\hat{h}_n) - \phi(h_0)}{[\gamma(1-\gamma)]^{1/2}\sigma_n} \Rightarrow N(0, 1),$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{\partial\phi(h_0)}{\partial h} [q^{k(n)}(\cdot)]' \Omega^{-1} \frac{\partial\phi(h_0)}{\partial h} [q^{k(n)}(\cdot)],$$

$$\Omega = E \left(E[f_{U|Y_1, X}(0) q^{k(n)}(Y_1) | X] E[f_{U|Y_1, X}(0) q^{k(n)}(Y_1) | X]' \right)$$

- ▶ For the evaluation functional $\phi(h) = h(\bar{y}_1)$ we have

$$\frac{\partial\phi(h_0)}{\partial h} [q^{k(n)}(\cdot)] = q^{k(n)}(\bar{y}_1).$$

- ▶ For the weighted integration functional

$\phi(h) = \int a(y_1)h(y_1)dy_1$ we have

$$\frac{\partial\phi(h_0)}{\partial h} [q^{k(n)}(\cdot)] = \int a(y_1)q^{k(n)}(y_1)dy_1.$$

Stochastic Boundedness of SQLR

- ▶ $\widehat{Q}_n(\alpha) \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{m}(X_i, \alpha)' \widehat{\Sigma}(X_i)^{-1} \widehat{m}(X_i, \alpha).$
- ▶ $\mathcal{A}_n(\phi_0) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n : \phi(\alpha) = \phi_0\}.$
- ▶ $\widehat{QLR}_n(\phi_0) = \inf_{\mathcal{A}_n(\phi_0)} \widehat{Q}_n(\alpha) - \widehat{Q}_n(\widehat{\alpha}_n).$

Theorem

Let $\widehat{\alpha}_n$ be the PSMD estimator. Then, under the null hypothesis of $\phi(\alpha_0) = \phi_0,$

$$\widehat{QLR}_n(\phi_0) = \frac{\|v_n^*\|_{sd}^2}{\|v_n^*\|^2} (\sqrt{n}Z_n)^2 + o_{P_{Z^\infty}}(1) = O_p(1).$$

Chi-square of Optimally Weighted SQLR

- ▶ Assume $\hat{\Sigma}(X)$ approximates $\Sigma_0(X) \equiv E[\rho(Z, \alpha_0)^2 | X]$.

Chi-square of Optimally Weighted SQLR

- ▶ Assume $\hat{\Sigma}(X)$ approximates $\Sigma_0(X) \equiv E[\rho(Z, \alpha_0)^2 | X]$.
- ▶ Then $\|v_n^*\|^2 = \|v_n^*\|_{sd}^2$

Chi-square of Optimally Weighted SQLR

- ▶ Assume $\hat{\Sigma}(X)$ approximates $\Sigma_0(X) \equiv E[\rho(Z, \alpha_0)^2 | X]$.
- ▶ Then $\|v_n^*\|^2 = \|v_n^*\|_{sd}^2$
- ▶ Theorem: Let $\hat{\alpha}_n$ be the optimally weighted PSMD estimator.
Then, under the null hypothesis of $\phi(\alpha_0) = \phi_0$,

$$\widehat{QLR}_n(\phi_0) = (\sqrt{n}\mathbb{Z}_n)^2 + o_{P_{Z^\infty}}(1) \Rightarrow \chi_1^2.$$

Chi-square Approximation: Confidence Intervals

- ▶ Let $c_{\chi^2}(\tau)$ the $(1 - \tau)$ quantile of the χ^2 distribution. Then the $(1 - \tau)$ SQLR based CS for the functional $\phi(\alpha)$ is

$$\left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{R} : n \left(\inf_{\mathcal{A}_n(\phi)} \widehat{Q}_n(\alpha) - \widehat{Q}_n(\widehat{\alpha}_n) \right) \leq c_{\chi^2}(\tau) \right\}$$

Chi-square Approximation: Confidence Intervals

- ▶ Let $c_{\chi^2}(\tau)$ the $(1 - \tau)$ quantile of the χ^2 distribution. Then the $(1 - \tau)$ SQLR based CS for the functional $\phi(\alpha)$ is

$$\left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{R} : n \left(\inf_{\mathcal{A}_n(\phi)} \widehat{Q}_n(\alpha) - \widehat{Q}_n(\widehat{\alpha}_n) \right) \leq c_{\chi^2}(\tau) \right\}$$

- ▶ This allows to compute the CS, *without* computing $\|v_n^*\|$.
- ▶ It is valid regardless of whether the functional is root-n estimable or not.

Sieve Estimator of the Asymptotic Variance

- ▶ After specifying the finite dimensional linear sieve space \mathbf{V}_n , v_n^* and $\|v_n^*\| = \sup_{v \in \mathbf{V}_n} \frac{|\frac{d\phi(\alpha_0)}{d\alpha}[v]|}{\|v\|}$ can be computed explicitly.
- ▶ $\|v_n^*\|_{st}$ can be consistently estimated via a standard plug-in sieve estimation procedure.
- ▶ See paper.

Bootstrap SQLR

- ▶ Let $\widehat{Q}_n^B(\alpha) \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{m}^B(X_i, \alpha)' \widehat{\Sigma}(X_i)^{-1} \widehat{m}^B(X_i, \alpha)$ be bootstrap version of $\widehat{Q}_n(\alpha)$, where $\widehat{m}^B(X, \alpha)$ is computed in the same way as the original-sample $\widehat{m}(X, \alpha)$ except that we use the weighted residual $\omega_j \rho(Z_j, \alpha)$ instead of $\rho(Z_j, \alpha)$.

Bootstrap SQLR

- ▶ Let $\widehat{Q}_n^B(\alpha) \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{m}^B(X_i, \alpha)' \widehat{\Sigma}(X_i)^{-1} \widehat{m}^B(X_i, \alpha)$ be bootstrap version of $\widehat{Q}_n(\alpha)$, where $\widehat{m}^B(X, \alpha)$ is computed in the same way as the original-sample $\widehat{m}(X, \alpha)$ except that we use the weighted residual $\omega_j \rho(Z_j, \alpha)$ instead of $\rho(Z_j, \alpha)$.
- ▶ For example, if $\widehat{m}(X, \alpha)$ is a series LS estimator of $m(X, \alpha) = E[\rho(Z, \alpha)|X]$, then

$$\widehat{m}^B(X, \alpha) = p^{J_n}(X)' (P'P)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n p^{J_n}(X_j) \omega_j \rho(Z_j, \alpha).$$

- ▶ Weighted bootstrap: $(\omega_j)_j \sim iid$, $E[\omega_1] = 1$ and $\sigma_\omega^2 = Var(\omega_1) < \infty$. Or Nonparametric Bootstrap: $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \sim MN(1/n, \dots, 1/n; n)$.

Bootstrap SQLR

- ▶ Result: under null hypothesis,

$$n \frac{\inf_{\mathcal{A}_n(\hat{\phi}_n)} \hat{Q}_n^B(\alpha) - \hat{Q}_n^B(\hat{\alpha}_n^B)}{\sigma_\omega^2} \approx \left(\frac{\|v_n^*\|_{st}}{\|v_n^*\|} \sqrt{n} \frac{\mathbb{Z}_n^{\omega-1}}{\sigma_\omega} \right)^2. \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{\alpha}_n^B$ and $\hat{\alpha}_n$ the unconstrained PSMD w/ \hat{Q}_n^B and \hat{Q}_n resp. and $\hat{\phi}_n = \phi(\hat{\alpha}_n)$, and

$$\mathbb{Z}_n^{\omega-1} \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\omega_i - 1) \left(\frac{dm(X_i, \alpha_0)}{d\alpha} \left[\frac{v_n^*}{\|v_n^*\|_{st}} \right] \right)' \Sigma(X_i)^{-1} \rho(Z_i, \alpha_0)$$

Bootstrap SQLR

- ▶ Result: under null hypothesis,

$$n \frac{\inf_{\mathcal{A}_n(\hat{\phi}_n)} \widehat{Q}_n^B(\alpha) - \widehat{Q}_n^B(\hat{\alpha}_n^B)}{\sigma_\omega^2} \approx \left(\frac{\|v_n^*\|_{st}}{\|v_n^*\|} \sqrt{n} \frac{\mathbb{Z}_n^{\omega-1}}{\sigma_\omega} \right)^2. \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{\alpha}_n^B$ and $\hat{\alpha}_n$ the unconstrained PSMD w/ \widehat{Q}_n^B and \widehat{Q}_n resp. and $\hat{\phi}_n = \phi(\hat{\alpha}_n)$, and

$$\mathbb{Z}_n^{\omega-1} \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\omega_i - 1) \left(\frac{dm(X_i, \alpha_0)}{d\alpha} \left[\frac{v_n^*}{\|v_n^*\|_{st}} \right] \right)' \Sigma(X_i)^{-1} \rho(Z_i, \alpha_0)$$

- ▶ If $\Sigma(X) = \Sigma_0(X)$ then it is chi-square distributed.
- ▶ If $\Sigma(X) \neq \Sigma_0(X)$ then the LHS in (3) has the same asy dist. as that of $n\{\inf_{\mathcal{A}_n(\phi_0)} \widehat{Q}_n(\alpha) - \widehat{Q}_n(\hat{\alpha}_n)\}$.

Illustrative Example: Pointwise Asymptotic Normality of NPQIV

- ▶ $Y_1 = h_0(Y_2) + U$, $E[1\{U \leq 0\}|X] = \gamma$, $\phi(\alpha) = h(y_2^*)$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{H}_{k(n)} = \{h : h(y_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} b_k B_k(y_2)\}$.
- ▶ Let $h \mapsto T[h](X) \equiv E[f_{U|YX}(0; Y, X)h(Y_2)|X]$, and $T^*T[\psi_j] = \mu_j^2\psi_j$ and $B^{k(n)} = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{k(n)})'$.
- ▶ $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 = \gamma(1 - \gamma)$.

Illustrative Example: Pointwise Asymptotic Normality of NPQIV

- ▶ $Y_1 = h_0(Y_2) + U$, $E[1\{U \leq 0\}|X] = \gamma$, $\phi(\alpha) = h(y_2^*)$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{H}_{k(n)} = \{h : h(y_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} b_k B_k(y_2)\}$.
- ▶ Let $h \mapsto T[h](X) \equiv E[f_{U|YX}(0; Y, X)h(Y_2)|X]$, and $T^*T[\psi_j] = \mu_j^2 \psi_j$ and $B^{k(n)} = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{k(n)})'$.
- ▶ $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 = \gamma(1 - \gamma)$.
- ▶ Then: $\|v_n^*\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \mu_k^{-2} |\psi_k(y_2^*)|^2 \asymp \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \mu_k^{-2}$.

Illustrative Example: Pointwise Asymptotic Normality of NPQIV

- ▶ $Y_1 = h_0(Y_2) + U$, $E[1\{U \leq 0\}|X] = \gamma$, $\phi(\alpha) = h(y_2^*)$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{H}_{k(n)} = \{h : h(y_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} b_k B_k(y_2)\}$.
- ▶ Let $h \mapsto T[h](X) \equiv E[f_{U|YX}(0; Y, X)h(Y_2)|X]$, and $T^*T[\psi_j] = \mu_j^2\psi_j$ and $B^{k(n)} = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{k(n)})'$.
- ▶ $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 = \gamma(1 - \gamma)$.
- ▶ Then: $\|v_n^*\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \mu_k^{-2} |\psi_k(y_2^*)|^2 \asymp \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \mu_k^{-2}$.
- ▶ Bias order:

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\Pi_n h_0(y_2^*) - h_0(y_2^*)}{\|v_n^*\|} \leq \sqrt{n} \frac{\|h_0 - \Pi_n h_0\|_\infty}{\|v_n^*\|} = o(1).$$

An Example: Pointwise Asy Normality of NPQIV

- ▶ ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY:

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\widehat{h}_n(y_2^*) - h_0(y_2^*)}{\|v_n^*\| \sqrt{\gamma(1-\gamma)}} \Rightarrow N(0, 1)$$

An Example: Pointwise Asy Normality of NPQIV

- ▶ ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY:

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\widehat{h}_n(y_2^*) - h_0(y_2^*)}{\|v_n^*\| \sqrt{\gamma(1-\gamma)}} \Rightarrow N(0, 1)$$

- ▶ CONFIDENCE REGION:

$$\left\{ \beta \in \mathcal{R} : \beta = \phi(\alpha) \text{ and } n \left(\widehat{Q}_n(\alpha) - \widehat{Q}_n(\widehat{\alpha}_n) \right) \leq c_\chi(\tau) \right\}$$

where $c_\chi(\tau)$ is the τ -th quantile of the χ^2 distribution.

Monte Carlo: NPQIV model

- ▶ The model: $E[1\{Y_1 \leq h_0(Y_2)\}|X] = \gamma$.

$$Y_1 = h_0(Y_2) + \sqrt{0.0025}U$$

$$U = -\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{E[h_0|X] - h_0(Y_2)}{25} + \gamma\right) + V$$

$$V \sim N(0, 1).$$

- ▶ $(Y_2, X) \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ where μ and Σ are the sample estimators of BCK Engel curve dataset and $\rho = 0.75$.
- ▶ $h_0(Y_2) = \Phi\left(\frac{Y_2 - \mu_{Y_2}}{\sigma_{Y_2}}\right)$.
- ▶ Only present $\gamma = 0.5$; see CP (08a, 08b) for estimates of other quantiles.
- ▶ Sample size: $n \in \{250, 500\}$. MC repetitions: 1000.

Monte Carlo: NPQIV model

- ▶ Parameters of interest:

$$PAN : \phi_0 = \phi(h_0) = \nabla h_0(\mu_{Y_2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{Y_2}}$$

$$WAD : \phi_0 = \phi(h_0) = E[W(Y_2)\nabla h_0(Y_2)] \approx 0.43$$

$$\text{with } W(Y_2) = 75(\Phi(Y_2))^3(1 - \Phi(Y_2))^3$$

- ▶ \mathcal{H}_n : P-spline basis w/ $k(n) \in \{6, 7\}$. $P(h)$: $\|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^2}^2$ w/ $\lambda_n \in \{0.0001, 0.0005\}$.
- ▶ p^{J_n} : P-Spline(6,8).

Monte Carlo Results

		BIAS	Std. Dev.
PAN	$n = 250$	0.066	0.236
	$n = 500$	0.057	0.133
WAD	$n = 250$	0.026	0.117
	$n = 500$	0.020	0.087

		95% (MC)	95% (χ^2)
WAD (Effi.)	$n = 250$	(0.35,0.48)	(0.36,0.45)
	$n = 500$	(0.37,0.46)	(0.38,0.45)

Closely Related Literature

- ▶ **Review on NPIV** ($E[Y_2 - h_0(Y_1)|X] = 0$): Carrasco-Florens-Renault (07), Horowitz (11).
- ▶ **Nonparametric consistency**: Newey-Powell (03); Chen-Pouzo (12).
- ▶ **Nonparametric convergence rate**: Chen-Pouzo (12); Horowitz-Lee (07, NPQIV); many on NPIV (e.g., Hall-Horowitz (05), Blundell-Chen-Kristensen (07), Darolles-Fan-Florens-Renault (11),...)
- ▶ **Root-n normality and efficiency of regular functionals**: Ai-Chen (03), Chen-Pouzo (09), Otsu (11).
- ▶ **Chi-square CS for regular functionals**: Chen-Pouzo (09).
- ▶ **Asy normality of unknown functions**: CFR (07, NPIV), Horowitz (07, NPIV), Horowitz-Lee (09, NPIV for CS); Gagliardini-Scaillet (12, NPQIV-PAN).

Conclusions and Extensions

- ▶ For functionals of general semi/non-parametric conditional moment models, we derive the asy normality of plug-in PSMD, asy chi-square of the optimally weighted SQLR, asy tight dist of general weighted SQLR.
- ▶ Due to ill-posedness, it is difficult to know if a functional is regular or irregular. Our paper provides simple valid inference procedures regardless of whether the functional is root-n estimable or not.
- ▶ We show the validity of weighted and nonparametric bootstrap SQLR, sieve Wald, sieve score statistics under virtually the same conditions as those for original-sample statistics.

Conclusions and Extensions

- ▶ For functionals of general semi/non-parametric conditional moment models, we derive the asy normality of plug-in PSMD, asy chi-square of the optimally weighted SQLR, asy tight dist of general weighted SQLR.
- ▶ Due to ill-posedness, it is difficult to know if a functional is regular or irregular. Our paper provides simple valid inference procedures regardless of whether the functional is root-n estimable or not.
- ▶ We show the validity of weighted and nonparametric bootstrap SQLR, sieve Wald, sieve score statistics under virtually the same conditions as those for original-sample statistics.
- ▶ Extensions: Chen, Pouzo and Tamer (2011) consider bootstrap SQLR statistic for partially identified semi/nonparametric conditional moment models.

Thanks!