## Large Volatility Matrix Estimation for High-Frequency Financial Data

#### Yazhen Wang

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Workshop on Self-normalized Asymptotic Theory in Probability, Statistics and Econometrics Institute for Mathematical Sciences, National University of Singapore May 19-23, 2014

Joint work with Donggyu Kim, Yi Liu, Minjing Tao and Harry Zhou

### Outline

- 1. High-Frequency Data
- 2. Large Volatility Matrix Estimation
- 3. Convergence Rate and Positiveness
- 4. Numerical Studies

### **High-Frequency Finance**

High-Frequency Data: Intradaily observations on asset prices such as tick by tick stock price data and minute by minute exchange rate data.

**Data Characteristics**: High-frequency data have complex structure with microstructure noise.

**<u>One-Dim Model</u>**: Observed data:  $Y_{t_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $X_t$  = true log-price of a stock

$$\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{i}}} = \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{i}}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{i}}}, \qquad i = 1, \cdots, n$$

 $\varepsilon_{t_i}$ : microstructure noise and independent of  $X_t$ .

### Very High Dim: Large Volatility Matrix

High Dim Model: For the *i*-th asset, observation times  $t_{ij}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n_i$  and observed log price  $Y_i(t_{i,j})$ ,

$$Y_i(t_{i,j}) = X_i(t_{i,j}) + \varepsilon_i(t_{i,j}),$$

 $X_i(t)$ : true log price of asset *i*, and microstructure noise  $\varepsilon_i(\cdot)$ : i.i.d. with zero mean, and independent of  $X_i(t)$ .

**Nonsynchronization**: stocks' transactions occur at distinct times and the prices of different stocks are recorded at mismatched time points.



#### **Price Model**

$$X_t = (X_{1t}, \cdots, X_{pt})^{\dagger}$$
: log price of *p* assets

$$dX_t = \mu_t \, dt + \sigma_t \, dW_t, \qquad t \in [0, 1],$$

where  $W_t$ : *p*-dimensional BM, and  $\sigma_t$ :  $p \times p$  matrix.

Integrated volatility matrix:

$$\Gamma = \int_0^1 \gamma(t) \, dt, \qquad \gamma(t) = \sigma_t \sigma_t^\dagger$$

**<u>Goal</u>**: Estimate  $\Gamma$  based on data  $Y_i(t_{ij})$ .

### Methodology:

- 1. Form volatility matrix estimators
- 2. Regularize the matrix estimators

#### Realized co-volatility based on previous tick

 $\tau = \{\tau_r = r/m, r = 1, \cdots, m\}$ : pre-determined sampling frequency. For asset *i*, select previous-tick times:

$$\tau_{i,r} = \max\{t_{i_s,j} \leq \tau_r, j = 1, \cdots, n_{i_s}\}, \qquad r = 1, \cdots, m$$

Realized co-volatility  $\hat{\Gamma}_{i_1i_2}(\tau)$  between assets  $i_1$  and  $i_2$ :

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{i_1,i_2}(\tau) = \sum_{r=1}^{m} [Y_{i_1}(\tau_{i_1,r}) - Y_{i_1}(\tau_{i_1,r-1})] [Y_{i_2}(\tau_{i_2,r}) - Y_{i_2}(\tau_{i_2,r-1})].$$

Realized co-volatility matrix:  $\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}(\tau) = (\hat{\Gamma}_{i_1 i_2}(\tau))$ 

### **Data synchronization: Previous tick**





### Two-scale

### **Realized volatility matrix estimator**

$$au^{k} = au + (k-1)/n, \qquad k = 1, \cdots, K = [n/m]$$
 $\widehat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}^{K} = rac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \widehat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}(\tau^{k}), \quad n = \sum_{i=1}^{p} n_{i}/p$ 

where  $\widehat{\Gamma}_{ii}$  are adjusted by subtracting them from estimated noise variance components:  $\frac{2m}{n_i} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_i} [Y_i(t_{i,\ell}) - Y_i(t_{i,\ell-1})]^2$ Asymptotics:

Entrywise 
$$\widehat{\Gamma}^{K} - \Gamma = O_{P}(n^{-1/6}),$$
 if  $K \sim n^{2/3}$ 

### Multi-scale

### **Realized volatility matrix estimator**

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{a}_{m} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{K_{m}} + \zeta (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{K_{1}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{K_{M}}), \qquad (1)$$

where  $K_m = m + N$ ,

$$a_m = \frac{12(m+N)(m-M/2-1/2)}{M(M^2-1)}, \quad \zeta = \frac{(M+N)(N+1)}{(n+1)(M-1)}$$
(2)

Asymptotics:

Entrywise 
$$\widehat{\Gamma} - \Gamma = O_P(n^{-1/4})$$
, if  $M, N \sim n^{1/2}$ 

### Data Synchronization: Refresh time

Asset *i* has trading time  $t_j^i$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n^i = N_1^i$  $N_t^i = \#$  of  $t_j^i$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n^i$ , that  $\leq t$ 

Define 1st refresh time:  $\tau_1 = \max(t_1^1, ..., t_1^p)$ , subsequent refresh times

$$au_{j+1} = \max(t^1_{N^1_{\tau_j}+1}, ..., t^p_{N^p_{\tau_j}+1}).$$

**Intuition**:  $\tau_1$  is the time all their posted prices have been updated (i.e it has taken for all assets to trade);  $\tau_2$  is the first time when all the prices are again updated.

At each refreshed time  $\tau_j$ , one new price and p - 1 stale prices Let m = the resulting Refresh Time sample size



### Realized kernel based on refresh time

Synchronized data: at refresh time  $\tau_j$ ,  $j = 1, \cdots, m$ , define

$$Y_{i}(\tau_{j}) = \text{ observation of asset } i \text{ at time point } t_{N_{\tau_{j}}^{i}}^{i}$$

$$Y_{j}(\cdot) = (Y_{1}(\tau_{j}), \cdots, Y_{p}(\tau_{j}))', \quad \mathbf{y}_{j} = \mathbf{Y}(\tau_{j}) - \mathbf{Y}(\tau_{j-1}), \quad j = 1, \cdots, m$$

$$\gamma_{h} = \sum_{j=|h|+1}^{m} \mathbf{y}_{j} \mathbf{y}_{j-h}', \quad h \ge 0 \qquad \gamma_{h} = \sum_{j=|h|+1}^{m} \mathbf{y}_{j-1} \mathbf{y}_{j}', \quad h < 0$$
Realized Kernel estimator  $H(\mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{h=-m}^{m} H\left(\frac{h}{K+1}\right) \gamma_{h}$  for kernel  $H(\cdot)$ 

**Asymptotics**:  $H(\mathbf{Y})$  can be semi-positive but with entrywise convergence rate  $n^{-1/5}$ 

Or it may achieve  $n^{-1/4}$  convergence rate but not semi-positive.

### Data Synchronization: Generalized sampling time

A sequence of time points  $\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2, ..., \tau_m\}$  is said to be the Generalized Sampling Time for a collection of *p* assets, if

1. 
$$0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_m = T$$
.

2. Each asset has at least one observation between consecutive  $\tau_i$ 's. 3. Time intervals,  $\{\Delta_j = \tau_j - \tau_{j-1}, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ , satisfy  $\sup_i \Delta_i \xrightarrow{P} 0$ . The synchronized data sets are generated by selecting an arbitrary observation  $Y_i(\check{t}_j)$  of the *i*th asset in time interval  $(\tau_{j-1}, \tau_j]$ . Therefore, the synchronized data are  $\{Y_i(\tau_j), 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  such that  $Y_i(\tau_j) = Y_i(\check{t}_j)$ .

It allows to choose the sampling times by requiring each asset to lead in turn.

#### Data synchronization: Generalized sampling time



- Previous-tick and refresh time schemes may be treated as special cases of generalized sampling time.
- With synchronized data  $Y_i(\tau_j)$  we may define MSRV estimator, Realized kernel estimator, and quasi-MLE to achieve entrywise convergence rate  $n^{-1/4}$ .

### Pre-averaging estimator

Define the difference of two local averages at j/n,

$$ar{Y}_{ij} = rac{2}{K} \left[ \sum_{\ell = K/2}^{K-1} Y_i(t_{i,j+\ell}) - \sum_{j=0}^{K/2-1} Y_i(t_{i,j+\ell}) 
ight],$$

and realized volatility based on all  $\bar{Y}_{ij}$ 

$$ARV = rac{1}{K}\sum_{i=0}^{n-K+1}(ar{Y}_{1j},\cdots,ar{Y}_{pj})'(ar{Y}_{1j},\cdots,ar{Y}_{pj}).$$

Asymptotics: *ARV* can be semi-positive but with entrywise convergence rate  $n^{-1/5}$ .

Or adjust the biases of ARV for the noise variances to obtain PARV with  $n^{-1/4}$  convergence rate but not semi-positive.

## **Matrix Size**

**<u>Dimension Reduction</u>** For moderate to large p,  $(p^2 + p)/2$  entries in  $\Gamma$ : too many parameters and too much random fluctuation.

**Issue**: Usual dimension reduction techniques are **not applicable** to non-synchronized data.

#### Numerical Illustration

 $X(t) = (W_1(t), \dots, W_p(t))$ : vector of *p* independent Brownian motions. Observations = X(k/n),  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

$$\Gamma = I_p, \qquad \widehat{\Gamma} = \left(\widehat{\Gamma}_{ij}\right), \qquad \widehat{\Gamma}_{ij} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^N Z_{ik}Z_{jk}$$

 $Z_{ik} = \sqrt{n}[W_i(k/n) - W_i((k-1)/n)] \sim N(0,1)$ 

Take n = 100 and p = 100. We compute the eigenvalues of  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  in a simulation with 50 replications.



# **Regularize Volatility Matrix**

Write  $\mathbf{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_{ij})$ 

**Sparsity**: assume **Γ** has a sparse representation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} |\Gamma_{ij}|^{\delta} \leq M \pi(p), \qquad i = 1, \cdots, p, \qquad E[M] \leq C,$$

where  $0 \le \delta < 1$  and  $\pi(p) = 1$ , log p, or a small power of p.

**Examples**: (1) Block diagonal matrix

(2) Matrix with decay elements from diagonal

(3) Matrix with small number of non-zero elements in each row

(4) Random permutations of rows and columns for above matrices

#### Estimation with regularization

Write  $\hat{\Gamma} = (\hat{\Gamma}_{ij})$  as any of volatility matrix estimators **Thresholding**: for sparse  $\Gamma$ , regularize  $\hat{\Gamma}$  by hard and soft thresholding rules

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Hard}: \quad \mathcal{T}_{\varpi}[\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}] &= \left(\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}\mathbf{1}(|\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}| \geq \varpi, i \neq j)\right) + \left(\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}\mathbf{1}(|\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}| \geq 0, i = j)\right), \\ \mathsf{Soft}: \mathcal{T}_{\varpi}[\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}] &= \left((\hat{\Gamma}_{ij} - \mathit{sign}(\hat{\Gamma}_{ij})\varpi)\mathbf{1}(|\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}| \geq \varpi, i \neq j)\right) + \left(\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}\mathbf{1}(|\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}| \geq 0, i = j)\right). \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varpi$  is a threshold.

#### **Technical conditions**

A1:  $\varepsilon_i(\cdot)$ ,  $\mu_i(t)$ , and  $\sigma_{ii}^{1/2}(t)$  all have bounded 2 $\beta$  moments.

A2: Each asset has at least one observation between consecutive time points of the selected sampling frequency. With  $n = (n_1 + \cdots + n_p)/p$ ,

$$C_1 \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq p} \frac{n_i}{n} \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq p} \frac{n_i}{n} \leq C_2, \qquad \max_{1 \leq i \leq p} \max_{1 \leq \ell \leq n_i} |t_{i\ell} - t_{i,\ell-1}| = O(n^{-1}).$$

Matrix norm

 $\|\mathbf{\Gamma}\|_2 = \sup\{\|\mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{x}\|_2, \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = 1\} = \max$  absolute eigenvalue

<u>Theorem</u> Assume sparsity on  $\Gamma$  and conditions A1-A2. If  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is any of volatility matrix estimators using (i) multi-scale based on previous-tick or generalized sampling scheme; (ii) realized kernel based on refresh time or generalized sampling scheme; (iii) pre-averaging, and  $\hat{\Gamma}$  has entrywise convergence rate  $n^{-1/4}$ , we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\varpi}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{\Gamma}}}] - \boldsymbol{\mathsf{\Gamma}}\|_2 = O_P\left(\pi(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \left[n^{-1/4} \boldsymbol{\rho}^{2/\beta}\right]^{1-\delta}
ight),$$

where  $\varpi \sim n^{-1/4} p^{2/\beta}$ .

#### Asymptotic Theory: Semi-positiveness

<u>Theorem</u> Assume sparsity on  $\Gamma$  and conditions A1-A2. If  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is one of (a) semi-positive pre-averaging estimator; (b) semi-positive realized kernel estimator based on refresh time or generalized sampling scheme, and  $\hat{\Gamma}$  has entrywise convergence rate  $n^{-1/5}$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_{\varpi}[\hat{\Gamma}]$  is semi-positive and

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\varpi}[\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}] - \mathbf{\Gamma}\|_2 = O_P\left(\pi(p)\left[n^{-1/5}p^{2/\beta}\right]^{1-\delta}\right),$$

where  $\varpi \sim n^{-1/5} p^{2/\beta}$ .

### Asymptotic optimality: Multi-scale RV with sub-Gaussian noise

 $\varepsilon_i(\cdot)$  have sub-Gaussian tail,  $\mu_i(t)$  and  $\sigma_{ii}(t)$  are bounded, data are synchronized.

<u>Theorem</u> For sparse  $\Gamma$ , we have for multi-scale RV based on previous-tick scheme,

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\varpi}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}] - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\|_{2} = O_{P}\left(\pi(p) \left[n^{-1/4}\sqrt{\log p}\right]^{1-\delta}\right),$$

where  $\varpi \sim n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log p}$ .

Lower bound: For sparse Γ, we have that for any estimator Ě

$$\inf \sup \mathbb{E} \|\check{\mathbf{\Gamma}} - \mathbf{\Gamma}\|_2 \asymp c \pi(p) \left[ n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log p} \right]^{1-\delta},$$

where *c* is a generic constant.

Optimal Estimator: Threshold Multi-scale RV based on previous-tick

Y. Wang (at UW)

# Optimality

Take  $\mu_t = 0$ ,  $\sigma_t = \sigma$ ,  $\Gamma = \sigma \sigma^{\dagger}$ ,

$$Y_i(t_j) = \sigma \boldsymbol{W}_{t_j} + \varepsilon_i(t_j), \qquad j = 1, \cdots, n$$

$$Y_i(t_j) - Y_i(t_{j-1}) = \sigma \left[ \boldsymbol{W}_{t_j} - \boldsymbol{W}_{t_{j-1}} \right] + \varepsilon_i(t_j) - \varepsilon_i(t_{j-1})$$

Take discrete sine transform

$$\boldsymbol{U}_{l} = \sigma \boldsymbol{V}_{l} + \kappa \sin \left[ \frac{\pi l}{2(n+1)} \right] \boldsymbol{e}_{l}, \qquad l = 1, \cdots, n$$

where  $m{V}_{l} \sim N(0, m{I}_{
ho}), \, m{e}_{l} \sim N(0, m{I}_{
ho})$ 

**Covariance matrix estimation: Lower Bound** 

$$\boldsymbol{U}_{l} \sim N\left(0, \boldsymbol{\Gamma} + \kappa^{2}\sin^{2}\left[\frac{\pi l}{2(n+1)}\right] \boldsymbol{I}_{\rho}\right), \ l = 1, \cdots, n$$

<u>Theorem</u> For sparse  $\Gamma$ , we have that for any estimator  $\check{\Gamma}$ 

inf sup 
$$\mathbb{E} \|\check{\mathbf{\Gamma}} - \mathbf{\Gamma}\|_2 \asymp c \pi(p) \left[ n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log p} \right]^{1-\delta}$$
,  
 $\check{\mathbf{\Gamma}}$ 

where c is a generic constant.

**Optimal Estimator**:  $T_{\varpi}[\hat{\Gamma}]$ .

#### **Some Insights**

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i(t_{i,j}) &= X_i(t_{i,j}) + \varepsilon_i(t_{i,j}) \\ X_i(t_{i,j}) &= \int_0^{t_{i,j}} \mu_{i,s} \, ds + \int_0^{t_{i,j}} \sigma_{i,s} \, dW_s \end{aligned}$$

- (1) Noise = Measurement Error:  $n^{-1/4}$
- (2) SubGaussian:  $\sqrt{\log p}$
- (3) Matrix Sparsity:  $\pi(p)\&\delta$

### **Concluding Remarks**

- Good matrix estimators may perform poorly when the matrix size is very large. We need to regularize large sample covariance and volatility matrix estimators.
- For sparse volatility matrices, thresholding yields great or even optimal volatility matrix estimators.

## http://www.stat.wisc.edu/~yzwang