

Coloring on trees and Ramsey's theorem for pairs

Wei Li

Kurt Gödel Research Center for Mathematical Logic

2 September, 2014

Join work with C. T. Chong, National University of Singapore

Reverse Mathematics

- ▶ Main Question: investigate *set existence axiom* required to show theorems in *ordinary mathematics*.

Reverse Mathematics

- ▶ Main Question: investigate *set existence axiom* required to show theorems in *ordinary mathematics*.
- ▶ Language: second order arithmetic.

Reverse Mathematics

- ▶ Main Question: investigate *set existence axiom* required to show theorems in *ordinary mathematics*.
- ▶ Language: second order arithmetic.
- ▶ Model: $\mathcal{M} = (M, S)$, where M is the first order part and $S \subset P(M)$.

Reverse Mathematics

- ▶ Main Question: investigate *set existence axiom* required to show theorems in *ordinary mathematics*.
- ▶ Language: second order arithmetic.
- ▶ Model: $\mathcal{M} = (M, S)$, where M is the first order part and $S \subset P(M)$.
- ▶ Assumption on M : Usual axioms for Peano Arithmetic, where the induction is restricted to Σ_1^0 -formulas (with set parameters).

Hierarchy of First order principles

In general,

- ▶ $I\Sigma_n^0$: Induction principle restricted to Σ_n^0 formulas;

Hierarchy of First order principles

In general,

- ▶ $I\Sigma_n^0$: Induction principle restricted to Σ_n^0 formulas;
- ▶ $B\Sigma_n^0$: Bounding principle restricted to Σ_n^0 formulas;

Bounding Principle:

$$\forall a < x (\exists b \varphi(a, b)) \rightarrow \exists u \forall a < x (\exists b < u \varphi(a, b))$$

Theorem (Paris and Kirby)

Over Peano Arithmetic with $I\Sigma_0^0$ and the assumption that exponential functions are total,

$$\dots \rightarrow I\Sigma_{n+1}^0 \rightarrow B\Sigma_{n+1}^0 \rightarrow I\Sigma_n^0 \rightarrow B\Sigma_n^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I\Sigma_1^0 \rightarrow B\Sigma_1^0,$$

and the arrows are not reversible.

Hierarchy of Second order principles – Big Five

Principle	Assumption on S
RCA_0	Closed under join and Turing reduction.
WKL_0	RCA_0 + Every infinite binary tree has an infinite path.
ACA_0	RCA_0 + Arithmetically definable sets exist.
ATR_0	ACA_0 + Transfinite induction holds.
$\Pi_1^1\text{-}CA_0$	ACA_0 + Π_1^1 definable sets exist.

Combinatorial Principles are usually between **RCA_0** and **ACA_0** .

Ramsey's Theorem

- ▶ $[X]^n$ = the collection of all size n subsets of X ;

Ramsey's Theorem

- ▶ $[X]^n$ = the collection of all size n subsets of X ;
- ▶ k -coloring: a function f from $[X]^n$ to k ;

Ramsey's Theorem

- ▶ $[X]^n$ = the collection of all size n subsets of X ;
- ▶ k -coloring: a function f from $[X]^n$ to k ;
- ▶ Homogeneous set H : $H \subset X$ such that $f \upharpoonright [H]^n$ is constant;

Ramsey's Theorem

- ▶ $[X]^n$ = the collection of all size n subsets of X ;
- ▶ k -coloring: a function f from $[X]^n$ to k ;
- ▶ Homogeneous set H : $H \subset X$ such that $f \upharpoonright [H]^n$ is constant;
- ▶ **Ramsey's Theorem:** For every $n, k \geq 1$, for every k -coloring on $[M]^n$, there is an infinite homogeneous set. (For a fixed pair n, k , we call this principle RT_k^n .)

Two general questions

1. For a recursive coloring, what is the complexity of a homogeneous set?

Two general questions

1. For a recursive coloring, what is the complexity of a homogeneous set?
2. **From the view point of reverse mathematics, what is the logical strength of RT_k^n ?**

Examples

Theorem (Specker)

There is a recursive 2-coloring of $[\mathbb{N}]^2$, which has no recursive homogeneous set.

Corollary

$RCA_0 \not\vdash RT_2^2$.

Theorem (Jockusch)

- (1) *For any recursive k -coloring of $[\mathbb{N}]^n$, there is a Π_n^0 homogeneous set.*
- (2) *For every $n \geq 2$, there is a recursive 2-coloring of $[\mathbb{N}]^n$ with no Σ_n^0 homogeneous set.*

Corollary

Suppose $n \geq 2$, $k \geq 2$. $WKL_0 \not\vdash RT_k^n$, $ACA_0 \vdash RT_k^n$.

Theorem (Simpson)

Suppose $n \geq 3$, $k \geq 2$. Over RCA_0 , the following are equivalent:

- (i) ACA_0 ;
- (ii) RT_k^n ;
- (iii) $RT_{<\infty}^n$

Theorem (Simpson)

Suppose $n \geq 3$, $k \geq 2$. Over RCA_0 , the following are equivalent:

- (i) ACA_0 ;
- (ii) RT_k^n ;
- (iii) $RT_{<\infty}^n$

Theorem (Liu)

Over RCA_0 , RT_2^2 does not imply WKL_0 .

Theorem (Simpson)

Suppose $n \geq 3$, $k \geq 2$. Over RCA_0 , the following are equivalent:

- (i) ACA_0 ;
- (ii) RT_k^n ;
- (iii) $RT_{<\infty}^n$

Theorem (Liu)

Over RCA_0 , RT_2^2 does not imply WKL_0 .

Theorem (Hirst)

Over RCA_0 , $RT_{<\infty}^1$ is equivalent with $B\Sigma_2^0$.

Application of Nonstandard Models

Theorem (Chong, Slaman and Yang)

Over RCA_0 , SRT_2^2 (Stable version of Ramsey's theorem for pairs) does not imply RT_2^2 or $I\Sigma_2^0$.

The ideas of our results of TT^1 , coloring on trees, are originated from the proof of the last theorem.

Ramsey's Theorem for trees

Definition by Chubb, Hirst and McNicholl.

- ▶ $[2^{<M}]^n$ = the collection of all size n linearly ordered subsets of $2^{<M}$;

Ramsey's Theorem for trees

Definition by Chubb, Hirst and McNicholl.

- ▶ $[2^{<M}]^n$ = the collection of all size n linearly ordered subsets of $2^{<M}$;
- ▶ k -coloring: a function f from $[2^{<M}]^n$ to k ;

Ramsey's Theorem for trees

Definition by Chubb, Hirst and McNicholl.

- ▶ $[2^{<M}]^n$ = the collection of all size n linearly ordered subsets of $2^{<M}$;
- ▶ k -coloring: a function f from $[2^{<M}]^n$ to k ;
- ▶ Monochromatic tree H : $H \subset 2^{<M}$ such that (1) $H \cong 2^{<M}$ and (2) $f \upharpoonright [H]^n$ is constant; [A monochromatic tree may not be a real “tree”.]

- ▶ **Ramsey's Theorem for trees:** For every $n, k \geq 1$, for every k -coloring on $[2^{<M}]^n$, there is a monochromatic tree. (For a fixed pair n, k , we call this principle $\underline{\text{TT}}_k^n$. We also write TT^n for $\text{TT}_{<\infty}^n$.)

Two general questions

1. For a recursive coloring, what is the complexity of a monochromatic tree?

Two general questions

1. For a recursive coloring, what is the complexity of a monochromatic tree?
2. **From the view point of reverse mathematics, what is the logical strength of TT_k^n ?**

Theorem (Jockusch)

For every $n \geq 2$, there is a recursive 2-coloring of $[2^{<\mathbb{N}}]^n$ with no Σ_n^0 monochromatic tree.

Theorem (Jockusch)

For every $n \geq 2$, there is a recursive 2-coloring of $[2^{<\mathbb{N}}]^n$ with no Σ_n^0 monochromatic tree.

Theorem (Chubb, Hirst and McNicholl)

For any recursive k -coloring of $[2^{<\mathbb{N}}]^n$, there is a Π_n^0 monochromatic tree.

Theorem (Jockusch)

For every $n \geq 2$, there is a recursive 2-coloring of $[2^{<\mathbb{N}}]^n$ with no Σ_n^0 monochromatic tree.

Theorem (Chubb, Hirst and McNicholl)

For any recursive k -coloring of $[2^{<\mathbb{N}}]^n$, there is a Π_n^0 monochromatic tree.

Corollary

Suppose $n, k \geq 2$. $WKL_0 \not\vdash TT_k^n$, $ACA_0 \vdash TT_k^n$.

Theorem (Simpson)

Suppose $n \geq 3$, $k \geq 2$. Over RCA_0 , the following are equivalent:

- (i) ACA_0 ;
- (ii) RT_k^n ;
- (iii) TT_k^n ;
- (iv) $RT_{<\infty}^n$;
- (v) $TT_{<\infty}^n$.

Corollaries for $n = 1, 2$

Corollary

- ▶ $WKL_0 \not\vdash TT_2^2$;
- ▶ $RCA_0 + TT^1 \vdash B\Sigma_2^0$.

Questions

(1) $TT^1 \vdash I\Sigma_2^0$?

(2) $RCA_0 + TT^2 \vdash ACA_0$?

(3) $RCA_0 + TT_2^2 \vdash TT^2$?

What happens on tree colorings?

Lemma

$$RCA_0 + I\Sigma_2^0 \vdash TT^1.$$

Corollary

$$RCA_0 + TT^1 \not\vdash RT_2^2.$$

We already know that TT^1 implies $B\Sigma_2^0$. So we only consider models of $RCA_0 + B\Sigma_2^0 + \neg I\Sigma_2^0$.

Lemma

Given b . There is a recursive coloring of $2^{<M}$ such that for every $X \subset [0, b]$, there is no $\emptyset' \oplus X$ -recursive monochromatic tree.

Corollary

$RCA_0 + SRT_2^2 \not\vdash TT^1$.

Main Theorem

Theorem (Chong and Li)

$RCA_0 + RT_2^2 \not\vdash TT^1$.

Sketch of the proof.

- ▶ We prove this theorem in a $B\Sigma_2^0$ reflection model.

Main Theorem

Theorem (Chong and Li)

$RCA_0 + RT_2^2 \not\vdash TT^1$.

Sketch of the proof.

- ▶ We prove this theorem in a $B\Sigma_2^0$ reflection model.
- ▶ We fix a recursive coloring with no recursive monochromatic tree.

Main Theorem

Theorem (Chong and Li)

$RCA_0 + RT_2^2 \not\vdash TT^1$.

Sketch of the proof.

- ▶ We prove this theorem in a $B\Sigma_2^0$ reflection model.
- ▶ We fix a recursive coloring with no recursive monochromatic tree.
- ▶ It is well known that $RT_2^2 \leftrightarrow SRT_2^2 + COH$.

Main Theorem

Theorem (Chong and Li)

$$RCA_0 + RT_2^2 \not\vdash TT^1.$$

Sketch of the proof.

- ▶ We prove this theorem in a $B\Sigma_2^0$ reflection model.
- ▶ We fix a recursive coloring with no recursive monochromatic tree.
- ▶ It is well known that $RT_2^2 \leftrightarrow SRT_2^2 + COH$.
- ▶ In general, we split the construction into two sorts of stages:
Sort I: Solve one SRT_2^2 problem;
Sort II: Solve one COH problem.

At each stage, we make sure that

- ▶ $B\Sigma_2^0$ is preserved, and
- ▶ no monochromatic tree is added to the second order part.

A Lemma to avoid monochromatic trees

Lemma

Suppose there is no Y -recursive monochromatic tree and $M \models B\Sigma_2^0[Y]$ and $T_2 \leq_T Y$. Then there is a string $\sigma \in T_2$ such that for every $e \in B$ either (1) $\Phi_{e,|\sigma|}^{\sigma \oplus Y}$ is not a finite monochromatic tree, or (2) there is an $n \in M$ such that for all $\tau \supset \sigma$ in T_2 , either $\Phi_{e,|\tau|}^{\tau \oplus Y} \upharpoonright n \downarrow$ is not a finite monochromatic tree or $\Phi_{e,|\tau|}^{\tau \oplus Y} \upharpoonright n \uparrow$.

Corollary

Over RCA_0 , TT^1 and RT_2^2 are independent.

Reference

- ✍ Subsystems of Second Order Arithmetic, Stephen G. Simpson, Cambridge University Press.
- ✍ On the strength of Ramsey's theorem for pairs, Cholak, Jockusch and Slaman, Journal of Symbolic Logic, Volume 74, Issue 4 (2009), 1438-1439.
- ✍ The matamathematics of stable Ramsey's theorem for pairs, Chong, Slaman and Yang, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 27 (2014), 863-892.

- ✍ Reverse mathematics, computability and partitions of trees,
Chubb, Hirst and McNicholl, Journal of Symbolic Logic,
Volume 74, Issue 1 (2009), 201-215.
- ✍ Reverse mathematics and Ramsey's property for trees,
Corduan, Groszek, and Mileti, Journal of Symbolic Logic,
Volume 75, Issue 3 (2010), 945-954.

Thank you!