

# Regularity properties and derived forcing properties

David Chodounský

Institute of Mathematics AS CR

Joint work with Jindřich Zapletal.



D. Chodounský, J. Zapletal, *Why  $Y$ -c.c.*, to appear in *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*; arXiv:1409.4596



T. Yorioka, *Todorćevic orderings as examples of ccc orderings without adding random reals*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 56,1 (2015) 125–132.

# Outline

Definitions

Properties

Examples

Iterations

Applications

Questions

Generalizations

# Definitions

## Definition

A poset  $P$  satisfies  $Y$ -c.c. if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,  $P \in M$ , and every condition  $q \in P$  there is a filter  $\mathcal{F} \in M$  on  $\text{RO}(P)$  such that  $\{p \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : p \geq q\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

# Definitions

## Definition

A poset  $P$  satisfies *Y-c.c.* if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,  $P \in M$ , and every condition  $q \in P$  there is a filter  $\mathcal{F} \in M$  on  $\text{RO}(P)$  such that  $\{p \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : p \geq q\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

## Definition

A poset  $P$  is *Y-proper* if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,  $P \in M$ , and every condition  $p \in P \cap M$  there is a *Y-master* condition  $q \leq p$  which is a master condition for  $M$  and such that for every  $r \leq q$  there is a filter  $\mathcal{F} \in M$  on  $\text{RO}(P)$  such that  $\{s \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : s \geq r\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

# Properties

## Lemma

$\sigma$ -centered  $\Rightarrow$   $Y$ -c.c.  $\Rightarrow$  c.c.c.

## Fact

$strongly\ proper \Rightarrow Y\text{-proper} \Rightarrow proper$

# Properties

## Lemma

$\sigma$ -centered  $\Rightarrow$   $Y$ -c.c.  $\Rightarrow$  c.c.c.

## Fact

*strongly proper*  $\Rightarrow$   *$Y$ -proper*  $\Rightarrow$  *proper*

## Proposition

*An atomless  $Y$ -proper forcing adds an unbounded real.*

## Corollary

*A  $Y$ -proper forcing does not add random reals.*

# Properties

## Theorem ( $\omega_1$ -approximation property)

*Let  $P$  be a  $Y$ -proper poset,  $\kappa$  be a cardinal, and let  $f$  be a name for a function in  $\kappa^\kappa$ . If  $P \Vdash \dot{f} \upharpoonright a \in V$  for each  $a \in [\kappa]^\omega \cap V$ , then  $P \Vdash \dot{f} \in V$ .*

## Corollary

*A  $Y$ -proper forcing does not add branches of uncountable cofinality into trees.*

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a second countable topological space and  $H \subseteq [X]^2$  be an open set – a graph. If  $P$  is  $Y$ -proper, then every  $H$ -anticlique in a  $P$ -generic extension is covered by a ground model countable set of  $H$ -anticliques.*

## Corollary

*A  $Y$ -proper forcing cannot force an instance of OCA for a clopen graph.*



# Properties

## Theorem

*$Y$ -proper forcings preserve  $\omega_1$ -covers of compact Polish spaces consisting of  $G_\delta$  sets.*

## Corollary

*A  $Y$ -proper forcing cannot separate a gap in  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  of uncountable cofinality.*

## Corollary

*A  $Y$ -proper forcing does not increase  $\text{cov}(\mathcal{N})$ .*

## Examples

Let  $X$  be a set,  $\pi \subset [X]^2$

1.  $P_\pi = \{ p \in [X]^{<\omega} : [p]^2 \subset \pi \}$

$$q \leq p \quad \text{iff} \quad q \supseteq p$$

2.  $Q_\pi = [X]^{<\omega}$

$$q \leq p \quad \text{iff} \quad q \supseteq p \quad \& \quad (q \setminus p) \times p \subset \pi$$

## Examples

Let  $X$  be a set,  $\pi \subset [X]^2$

$$1. P_\pi = \{ p \in [X]^{<\omega} : [p]^2 \subset \pi \}$$

$$q \leq p \quad \text{iff} \quad q \supseteq p$$

$$2. Q_\pi = [X]^{<\omega}$$

$$q \leq p \quad \text{iff} \quad q \supseteq p \quad \& \quad (q \setminus p) \times p \subset \pi$$

## Theorem

*If  $Q_\pi$  is c.c.c., then both  $Q_\pi$  and  $P_\pi$  are  $Y$ -c.c.*

## Examples

Let  $X$  be a set,  $\pi \subset [X]^2$

$$1. P_\pi = \{ p \in [X]^{<\omega} : [p]^2 \subset \pi \}$$

$$q \leq p \quad \text{iff} \quad q \supseteq p$$

$$2. Q_\pi = [X]^{<\omega}$$

$$q \leq p \quad \text{iff} \quad q \supseteq p \quad \& \quad (q \setminus p) \times p \subset \pi$$

## Theorem

*If  $Q_\pi$  is c.c.c., then both  $Q_\pi$  and  $P_\pi$  are  $Y$ -c.c.*

## Corollary

*The following forcings are  $Y$ -c.c.:*

- ▶ *specializing an  $\omega_1$ -Aronszajn tree,*
- ▶ *freezing an  $(\omega_1, \omega_1)$ -gap,*
- ▶ *poset of finite sets of functions with positive oscillation,*
- ▶ *Todorćević poset  $T(Y)$  as defined by Balcar & Pazák & Thümmel (provided that  $T(Y)$  is c.c.c.).*

# Examples

The following are Y-proper;

- ▶ Laver forcing, Miller forcing,
- ▶ poset for forcing an instance of PID,
- ▶ poset for killing an S-space,
- ▶ forcing for killing Tukey types between  $\omega \times \omega_1$  and  $[\omega_1]^{<\omega}$ .

# Iterations

## Theorem

*$Y$ -c.c. is preserved under finite support forcing iteration.*

## Meta-theorem

*Let  $\Phi$  be a property of complete Boolean algebras such that*

*$\text{ZFC} \vdash \Phi \Rightarrow \text{c.c.c.}$ , and  $\Phi$  is preserved under the  
finite support iteration and complete sub-algebras.*

*Let  $\kappa$  be a regular uncountable cardinal such that  $\diamond_{\kappa^+}(\text{cof } \kappa)$  holds.  
There is a complete Boolean algebra satisfying  $\Phi$  and forcing  $\text{MA}_\kappa(\Phi)$ .*

## Corollary

*If  $\kappa$  is a regular uncountable cardinal such that  $\diamond_{\kappa^+}(\text{cof } \kappa)$  holds, then  
there is a  $Y$ -c.c. poset forcing  $\text{MA}_\kappa(Y\text{-c.c.})$ .*

# Iterations

## Proposition

*Y-properness is preserved under finite step forcing iteration.*

## Theorem

*Assume there is a supercompact cardinal. Then there is a Y-proper poset forcing  $\text{FA}(Y\text{-proper})$ .*

# Applications



# Applications

## Question (Bagaria)

Does  $\text{MA}(\sigma\text{-centered}) + \text{every } \omega_1\text{-Aronszajn tree is special}$  imply  $\text{MA}(\sigma\text{-linked})$ ?

# Questions

## Question

Suppose that  $P, Q$  are Y-c.c. posets such that  $P \times Q$  is c.c.c.  
Is  $P \times Q$  Y-c.c.?

## Question

Is every Y-proper c.c.c. poset Y-c.c.?

## Question

Does  $\text{FA}(\text{Y-proper})$  imply  $\mathfrak{c} = \omega_2$ ?

## Question

Let  $P = \langle P_n, \dot{Q}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  be a CS iteration of atomless Y-proper posets. Is this forcing Y-proper?

## Question

Is there a Y-c.c. poset not adding a Cohen real?

# Generalizations

## Definition

A poset  $P$  satisfies  $Y$ -c.c. if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,  $P \in M$ , and every condition  $q \in P$  there is a **filter**  $\mathcal{F} \in M$  on  $\text{RO}(P)$  such that  $\{p \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : p \geq q\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

## Definition

A poset  $P$  is  $Y$ -proper if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,  $P \in M$ , and every condition  $p \in P \cap M$  there is a  $Y$ -master condition  $q \leq p$  which is a master condition for  $M$  and such that for every  $r \leq q$  there is a **filter**  $\mathcal{F} \in M$  on  $\text{RO}(P)$  such that  $\{s \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : s \geq r\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

# Generalizations

## Definition

A poset  $P$  satisfies  $Y$ -c.c. if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,  $P \in M$ , and every condition  $q \in P$  there is a **filter**  $\mathcal{F} \in M$  on  $\text{RO}(P)$  such that  $\{p \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : p \geq q\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

## Definition

A poset  $P$  is  $Y$ -proper if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,  $P \in M$ , and every condition  $p \in P \cap M$  there is a  $Y$ -master condition  $q \leq p$  which is a master condition for  $M$  and such that for every  $r \leq q$  there is a **filter**  $\mathcal{F} \in M$  on  $\text{RO}(P)$  such that  $\{s \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : s \geq r\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

Variations:

- ▶ filter

# Generalizations

## Definition

A poset  $P$  satisfies  $Y$ -c.c. if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,  $P \in M$ , and every condition  $q \in P$  there is a **filter**  $\mathcal{F} \in M$  on  $\text{RO}(P)$  such that  $\{p \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : p \geq q\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

## Definition

A poset  $P$  is  $Y$ -proper if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,  $P \in M$ , and every condition  $p \in P \cap M$  there is a  $Y$ -master condition  $q \leq p$  which is a master condition for  $M$  and such that for every  $r \leq q$  there is a **filter**  $\mathcal{F} \in M$  on  $\text{RO}(P)$  such that  $\{s \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : s \geq r\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

Variations:

- ▶ filter
- ▶ principal filter

# Generalizations

## Definition

A poset  $P$  satisfies  $Y$ -c.c. if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,  $P \in M$ , and every condition  $q \in P$  there is a **filter**  $\mathcal{F} \in M$  on  $\text{RO}(P)$  such that  $\{p \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : p \geq q\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

## Definition

A poset  $P$  is  $Y$ -proper if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,  $P \in M$ , and every condition  $p \in P \cap M$  there is a  $Y$ -master condition  $q \leq p$  which is a master condition for  $M$  and such that for every  $r \leq q$  there is a **filter**  $\mathcal{F} \in M$  on  $\text{RO}(P)$  such that  $\{s \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : s \geq r\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

Variations:

- ▶ filter
- ▶ principal filter
- ▶  $\sigma$ -complete filter

# Generalizations

Variations:

- ▶  $F$  is a filter
- ▶  $F$  is a principal filter
- ▶  $F$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete filter

# Generalizations

Variations:

- ▶  $F$  is a filter
- ▶  $F$  is a principal filter
- ▶  $F$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete filter
- ▶  $F$  is a  $n$ -linked set
- ▶ for every  $\{p_n : n \in \omega\} \subset F$  the Boolean value  $\liminf p_n \neq 0$
- ▶ ...



# Generalizations

Variations:

- ▶  $F$  is a filter
- ▶  $F$  is a principal filter
- ▶  $F$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete filter
- ▶  $F$  is a  $n$ -linked set
- ▶ for every  $\{p_n : n \in \omega\} \subset F$  the Boolean value  $\liminf p_n \neq 0$
- ▶ ...
- ▶ there is  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^+$  and a finitely additive probability measure  $\mu$  such that  $\varepsilon < \mu(p)$  for all  $p \in F$
- ▶ there is  $g \in (\omega^\omega)^\vee$  such that for every  $n \in \omega$  and every collection of  $g(n)$  many elements of  $F$ , there are  $n$  many elements in the collection with a common lower bound
- ▶ ...

# Generalizations

## Meta-definition

A property  $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B})$  of subsets  $F$  of complete Boolean algebras  $\mathbb{B}$  is a *regularity property* if the following is provable in ZFC:

1. (nontriviality)  $\Phi(\{1\}, \mathbb{B})$  for every complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$ ;
2. (closure up)  $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \Phi(G, \mathbb{B})$  whenever  $G = \{p \in \mathbb{B} : \exists q \in F \ q \leq p\}$ ;
3. (restriction)  $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B})$  implies  $\Phi(F \cap (\mathbb{B} \upharpoonright p), \mathbb{B} \upharpoonright p)$ , and  $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B} \upharpoonright p)$  implies  $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B})$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{B}^+$ ;
4. (complete subalgebras) if  $\mathbb{B}_0$  is a complete subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}_1$ : for every  $F \subset \mathbb{B}_1$   $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B}_1) \rightarrow \Phi(F \cap \mathbb{B}_0, \mathbb{B}_0)$  holds, and for every  $F \subset \mathbb{B}_0$   $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B}_0) \rightarrow \Phi(F, \mathbb{B}_1)$  holds;

# Generalizations

## Meta-definition

A property  $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B})$  of subsets  $F$  of complete Boolean algebras  $\mathbb{B}$  is a *regularity property* if the following is provable in ZFC:

1. (nontriviality)  $\Phi(\{1\}, \mathbb{B})$  for every complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{B}$ ;
2. (closure up)  $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \Phi(G, \mathbb{B})$  whenever  $G = \{p \in \mathbb{B} : \exists q \in F \ q \leq p\}$ ;
3. (restriction)  $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B})$  implies  $\Phi(F \cap (\mathbb{B} \upharpoonright p), \mathbb{B} \upharpoonright p)$ , and  $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B} \upharpoonright p)$  implies  $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B})$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{B}^+$ ;
4. (complete subalgebras) if  $\mathbb{B}_0$  is a complete subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}_1$ : for every  $F \subset \mathbb{B}_1$   $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B}_1) \rightarrow \Phi(F \cap \mathbb{B}_0, \mathbb{B}_0)$  holds, and for every  $F \subset \mathbb{B}_0$   $\Phi(F, \mathbb{B}_0) \rightarrow \Phi(F, \mathbb{B}_1)$  holds;
5. (iteration) if  $\dot{\mathbb{B}}_1$  is a  $\mathbb{B}_0$ -name for a complete Boolean algebra,  $F_0 \subset \mathbb{B}_0$ ,  $\dot{F}_1$  a name for a subset of  $\mathbb{B}_1$ ,  $\Phi(F_0, \mathbb{B}_0)$  and  $1 \Vdash \Phi(\dot{F}_1, \dot{\mathbb{B}}_1)$ , then  $\Phi(F_0 * \dot{F}_1, \mathbb{B}_0 * \dot{\mathbb{B}}_1)$  where

$$F_0 * \dot{F}_1 = \{\langle p_0, \dot{p}_1 \rangle \in \mathbb{B}_0 * \dot{\mathbb{B}}_1 : p_0 \wedge \|\dot{p}_1 \in \dot{F}_1\| \in F_0\}.$$

# Generalizations

## Meta-definition

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a set with a binary operation  $*$ . A property  $\Phi(g, F, \mathbb{B})$  of subsets  $F$  of complete Boolean algebras  $\mathbb{B}$  and elements  $g \in \mathfrak{G}$  is a  *$\mathfrak{G}$ -regularity property* if the following is provable in ZFC for each  $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ :

1. (nontriviality);
2. (closure up);
3. (restriction);
4. (complete subalgebras);

# Generalizations

## Meta-definition

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a set with a binary operation  $*$ . A property  $\Phi(g, F, \mathbb{B})$  of subsets  $F$  of complete Boolean algebras  $\mathbb{B}$  and elements  $g \in \mathfrak{G}$  is a  *$\mathfrak{G}$ -regularity property* if the following is provable in ZFC for each  $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ :

1. (nontriviality);
2. (closure up);
3. (restriction);
4. (complete subalgebras);

and

5. if  $\dot{\mathbb{B}}_1$  is a  $\mathbb{B}_0$ -name for a complete Boolean algebra,  $F_0 \subset \mathbb{B}_0$ ,  $\dot{F}_1$  a name for a subset of  $\mathbb{B}_1$ ,  $\Phi(g_0, F_0, \mathbb{B}_0)$  and  $1 \Vdash \Phi(\check{g}_1, \dot{F}_1, \dot{\mathbb{B}}_1)$ , then  $\Phi(g_0 * g_1, F_0 * \dot{F}_1, \mathbb{B}_0 * \dot{\mathbb{B}}_1)$ .

# Generalizations

## Definition

Suppose that  $\langle \mathfrak{G}, * \rangle$  is a set with a binary operation. Suppose that  $\Phi$  is a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -regularity property of subsets of complete Boolean algebras.

1. A poset  $P$  is  $\Phi$ -c.c. if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$  containing  $P$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}$ , and every condition  $q \in P$  there is an element  $g \in \mathfrak{G} \cap M$  and a set  $F \subset \text{RO}(P)$ ,  $F \in M$  such that  $\Phi(g, F)$  and  $\{p \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : p \geq q\} \subseteq F$ .
2.  $P$  is  $\Phi$ -proper if for every countable elementary submodel  $M \prec H_\theta$  containing  $P$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}$  and every condition  $p \in P \cap M$  there is a  $\Phi$ -master condition  $q \leq p$  which is a master for  $M$ , and for every  $r \leq q$ , there is an element  $g \in \mathfrak{G} \cap M$  and a set  $F \subset \text{RO}(P)$ ,  $F \in M$  such that  $\Phi(g, F)$  and  $\{p \in \text{RO}(P) \cap M : p \geq q\} \subseteq F$ .

# Generalizations

## Theorem

*If  $ZFC \Vdash \Phi(F) \rightarrow F$  is  $\omega$ -c.c. (“ $\Phi$  is  $\omega$ -c.c.”)  
then  $P$  is  $\Phi$ -c.c.  $\Rightarrow P$  is c.c.c.*

# Generalizations

## Theorem

*If  $\text{ZFC} \Vdash \Phi(F) \rightarrow F$  is  $\omega$ -c.c. (“ $\Phi$  is  $\omega$ -c.c.”)  
then  $P$  is  $\Phi$ -c.c.  $\Rightarrow P$  is c.c.c.*

## Theorem ( $\omega_1$ -approximation property)

*Let  $\Phi$  be an  $\omega$ -c.c.,  $P$  be a  $\Phi$ -proper poset,  $\kappa$  be a cardinal, and let  $f$  be a name for a function in  $\kappa^\kappa$ . If  $P \Vdash \dot{f} \restriction a \in V$  for each  $a \in [\kappa]^\omega \cap V$ , then  $P \Vdash \dot{f} \in V$ .*

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a second countable topological space and  $H \subseteq [X]^2$  be an open set – a graph. If  $\Phi$  is  $\omega$ -c.c. and  $P$  is  $\Phi$ -proper, then every  $H$ -anticlique in a  $P$ -generic extension is covered by a ground model countable set of  $H$ -anticliques.*



# Generalizations

## Theorem

$\Phi$ -c.c. + c.c.c. is preserved under finite support forcing iteration.

## Corollary

If  $\kappa$  is a regular uncountable cardinal such that  $\diamond_{\kappa^+}(\text{cof } \kappa)$  holds, and  $\Phi$  is a regularity property such that  $\Phi$ -c.c.  $\Rightarrow$  c.c.c., then there is a  $\Phi$ -c.c. poset forcing  $\text{MA}_{\kappa}(\Phi$ -c.c.).

# Generalizations

## Theorem

$\Phi$ -c.c. + c.c.c. is preserved under finite support forcing iteration.

## Corollary

If  $\kappa$  is a regular uncountable cardinal such that  $\diamond_{\kappa^+}(\text{cof } \kappa)$  holds, and  $\Phi$  is a regularity property such that  $\Phi$ -c.c.  $\Rightarrow$  c.c.c., then there is a  $\Phi$ -c.c. poset forcing  $\text{MA}_{\kappa}(\Phi$ -c.c.).

## Proposition

$\Phi$ -properness is preserved under finite step forcing iteration.

## Theorem

Assume there is a supercompact cardinal. Then there is a  $\Phi$ -proper poset forcing  $\text{FA}(\Phi$ -proper).