Zero Range Process with Sitewise Disorder: IMS Workshop on Stochastic Processes in Random Media May 7 2015

K. Ravishankar (in collaboration with C. Bahadoran, T.S. Mountford, E. Saada)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Motivation

(Ferrari, Krug,96) Consider a row of cars moving in *L* sites in **Z** with periodic boundary conditions moving to the right. Suppose the first L - 1 cars move at rate 1 and the car at *L* moves at rate c < 1. Then there are stationary distributions for car spacings if $\rho < c$ where ρ is the spacing density for speed 1 cars. $\rho_1 = \frac{\rho}{c}$ is the spacing density for the slow car. If $\rho > c$ there are no product measure equilibria. Can be transformed to zero range process with site wise disorder.

The Model

Let $\mathbf{\tilde{N}} := \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and let $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{\tilde{N}}\}^{\mathbf{Z}}$ be the state space of the process. Let c > 0 and define the set of admissible environments as $\alpha \in \mathbf{A} = (c, 1]^{\mathbf{Z}}$. Let $g : \mathbf{N} \to [0, 1]$ be a nondecreasing function with $0 = g(0) < g(1) \le \lim_{k \to \infty} g(k) := g(\infty) = 1$. Let 1/2 and <math>q = 1 - p.

For $\eta \in \mathbf{X}$ and any local function f and $\alpha \in \mathbf{A}$ let the quenched Markov process $(\eta_t^{\alpha}), t \ge 0$ on \mathbf{X} be defined by the generator

$$L^{\alpha}f(\eta) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{Z}} \alpha(x) \left[pg(\eta(x))(f(\eta^{x,x+1}) - f(\eta)) + qg(\eta(x)x)(f(\eta^{x-1,x}) - f(\eta)) \right]$$

The invariant measures

For $\lambda < 1$, we define the probability measure θ_{λ} on \mathbb{N} by

$$\theta_{\lambda}(n) := Z(\lambda)^{-1} \frac{\lambda^n}{g(n)!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$
(1)

where $g(n)! = \prod_{k=1}^{n} g(k)$ for $n \ge 1$, g(0)! = 1, and $Z(\lambda)$ is the normalizing factor:

$$Z(\lambda) := \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{g(n)!}$$
(2)

We extend θ_{λ} into a probability measure on $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ by setting $\theta_{\lambda}(\{+\infty\}) = 0$. For $\lambda \leq c$, we denote by μ_{λ}^{α} the invariant measure of L^{α} defined as the product measure on **X** with one-site marginal $\theta_{\lambda/\alpha(x)}$. μ_{λ}^{α} is weakly continuous and stochastically increasing with respect to λ . Let

$$R(\lambda) := \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} n\theta_{\lambda}(n)$$
(3)

denote the mean value of $\theta_\lambda.$ The quenched mean particle density at x under μ^α_λ is defined by

$$R^{\alpha}(x,\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\alpha}_{\lambda}}[\eta(x)] = R\left(\frac{\lambda}{\alpha(x)}\right)$$
(4)

For our main theorem, we need to assume that the environment α has the following properties. First, the set of slow sites should not be too sparse. To this end we require that

$$\forall \varepsilon \in (0,1), \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} \min\{\alpha(x) : x \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-n, n(1-\varepsilon)]\} = c \quad (5)$$

Assumption (5) implies in particular

$$\liminf_{x \to -\infty} \alpha(x) = c \tag{6}$$

Next, we assume existence of an annealed mean density to the left of the origin:

$$\overline{R}(\lambda) := \lim_{n \to +\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{x=-n}^{0} R\left(\frac{\lambda}{\alpha(x)}\right) \quad \text{exists for every } \lambda \in [0, c)$$
(7)
It can be shown that \overline{R} is an increasing C^{∞} function on $[0, c)$. We define the critical density by

$$\rho_{c} := \overline{R}(c) := \lim_{\lambda \uparrow c} \overline{R}(\lambda) \in [0, +\infty]$$
(8)

We assume $\rho_c < \infty$. Finally, we need the following convexity assumption:

d

(H) For every $\lambda \in [0, c)$, $\overline{R}(\lambda) - \overline{R}(c) - (\lambda - c)\overline{R}'^+(c) > 0$ where

$$\overline{R}^{'+}(c) := \limsup_{\lambda \to c} \frac{\overline{R}(c) - \overline{R}(\lambda)}{c - \lambda}$$
(9)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Previous Results

Benjamini, Ferrari and Landim [bfl 96] considered an asymmetric simple exclusion process where each particle has a random jump rate and the corresponding zero range process with $g(\eta) = 1\{\eta > 0\}$. Under the same condition on the site-wise disorder they proved the existence of a critical density ρ_c above which there were no product invariant measures for the above zero range process and also proved quenched hydrodynamics in the subcritical regime. Andjel, Ferrari, Guiol and Landim [afgl 2000] proved for the totally asymmetric zero range process with site-wise disorder and $g(\eta) = 1{\eta > 0}$ the following: almost every initial configuration with lower left empirical density greater than ρ_c converges to as time goes to infinity to the upper invariant measure with density ρ_c .

Theorem Let $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be such that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{x = -n}^{0} \eta_0(x) \ge \rho_c \tag{10}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Then the quenched process $(\eta_t^{\alpha})_{t\geq 0}$ with initial state η_0 converges in distribution to μ_c^{α} as $t \to \infty$.

Upper bound is obtained modifying the argument used in [afgl]; Let $\epsilon > 0$ and suppose l < 0 < r are two points in **Z** such that $l = \max\{x \le 0 | \alpha(x) \le c + \epsilon\}$ and $r = \min\{\epsilon^{-1}, r_{\epsilon}\}$ where $r_{\epsilon} = \min\{x \ge 0 | \alpha(x) \le c + \epsilon\}$. If we make $\eta(l) = \eta(r) = +\infty$ then using arguments from queueing theory and attractivity of the system we can show that the asymptotic distribution of the process restricted to (l, r) is bounded above by μ_{ϵ} with a flux $c + \epsilon$. Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ we obtain the upper bound.

The strategy of proof is to compare η_t^{α} in the neighborhood of 0 to the process $(\eta_s^{\alpha,t})_{s\geq 0}$ whose initial configuration is (with the convention $(+\infty) \times 0 = 0$)

$$\eta_0^{\alpha,t}(x) = (+\infty)\mathbf{1}_{\{x \le x_t\}} \tag{11}$$

Main ingredients in the proof of lower bound are 1) flux estimates based on initial configurations; 2) Interface property 3) Hydrodynamics for a semi infinite system with source/sink to the left of origin (or x_0 in general); 4) Derivation of local equilibrium.

If $x_s^{\alpha,t}$ denotes the location of the interface between η_s^{α} and $\eta_s^{\alpha,t}$ at time *s* then if we can prove prove the following the result follows from local equilibrium for the source/sink process.

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_0 \otimes \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{x_t^{\alpha, t} < A_{\varepsilon}(\alpha)\right\}\right) = 1$$
(12)

This follows from the following lemma

Lemma

For \mathcal{P} -a.e. environment $\alpha \in \mathbf{A}$, the following limits hold in $\mathbb{P}_0 \otimes \mathbb{P}$ -probability as $t \to +\infty$:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left[t^{-1} \sum_{x=1+\lfloor bt \rfloor}^{A_{\varepsilon}(\alpha)} \eta_t^{\alpha}(x) + b\rho_c + \varepsilon \right]^- = 0$$
(13)

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \left[t^{-1} \sum_{x=1+\lfloor bt \rfloor} \eta_t^{\alpha,t}(x) + b\rho_c + 2\varepsilon \right] = 0 \qquad (14)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

We define the flux for our system as

$$f(\rho) := (p - q)\overline{R}^{-1}(\rho)$$
(15)

To state our results, we define $\lambda^-(v)$ as the smallest maximizer of $\lambda \mapsto (p-q)\lambda - v\overline{R}(\lambda)$ We also define the Legendre transform of the current :

$$f^*(v) := \sup_{\rho \in [0,\rho_c]} [f(\rho) - v\rho] = \sup_{\lambda \in [0,c]} [(p-q)\lambda - v\overline{R}(\lambda)] \quad (16)$$

Proposition

Assume x_t in (11) is such that $\beta := \lim_{t \to +\infty} t^{-1}x_t$ exists and $\beta < 0$. Then statements (17) and (18) below hold for $v \in (0, -\beta]$, and statement (19) below holds for $v_0 < v < -\beta$ and $h : \mathbb{N}^Z \to \mathbb{R}$ a bounded local increasing function:

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left| t^{-1} \sum_{x > x_t} \eta_t^{\alpha, t}(x) - (p - q)c \right| - p[\alpha(x_t) - c] \right\} \leq 0$$
(17)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left| t^{-1} \sum_{x > x_t + \lfloor vt \rfloor} \eta_t^{\alpha, t}(x) - f^*(v) \right| = 0$$
(18)
$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E} h\left(\tau_{\lfloor x_t + vt \rfloor} \eta_t^{\alpha, t} \right) - \int_{\mathbf{X}} h(\eta) d\mu_{\lambda^{-}(v)}^{\tau_{\lfloor x_t + vt \rfloor} \alpha}(\eta) \right\} \geq 0 \quad (19)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Proposition

Make all the assumptions of the theorem except super criticality. Assume further that η_0 satisfies

$$\rho = \liminf_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{x = -n}^{0} \eta_0(x) < \rho_c$$
 (20)

Then η_t^{α} does not converge in distribution to μ_c^{α} as $t \to +\infty$.

Proposition

Make the same assumptions as for the the theorem and assume further that the jump kernel p(.) is totally asymmetric and p(1) < 1. Then there exists $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying (10), such that η_t^{α} does not converge in distribution to μ_c^{α} as $t \to +\infty$.

Thank You!

◆□ → < @ → < E → < E → ○ < ♡ < ♡</p>

- Benjamini, I.; Ferrari, P. A.; Landim, C. Asymmetric conservative processes with random rates. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **61** (1996), no. 2, 181–204.
- Ferrari, P.A., Krug, J Phase transition in driven diffusive systems with random rates. J. Phys. A. Math Gen (29) (1996) L465-471.

Krug, J.; Seppäläinen, T.: Hydrodynamics and platoon formation for a totally asymmetric exclusion process with particlewise disorder. J. Stat. Phys. **95** (1999), 525–567.



Andjel, E.; Ferrari, P.A.; Guiol, H.; Landim, C. Convergence to the maximal invariant measure for a zero-range process with random rates. *Stoch. Proces. Appl.* **90** (2000) 67–81.