

Notes for talk on "Some applications of Stein's method to number theory",
Workshop on New Directions in Stein's Method, IMS Singapore, 26th May 2015

Plan of the talk: ① A little bit of number theory notation/background

② Erdős-Kac Central Limit Theorem

③ Random multiplicative functions

Other Topics: ④ Some ideas about prime number races / $3(\frac{1}{2} + it)$

1) Number Theory background

Let $w(n) := \#\{\text{distinct prime factors of } n\}$ (e.g. $w(12) = w(2^2 \cdot 3) = 2$)

Let $P(n) := \text{largest prime factor of } n (n \geq 2)$.

Let $\mu(n) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is divisible by a non-trivial square} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is sqfree and } w(n) \text{ is even} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \text{ is sqfree and } w(n) \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$, the Möbius Function

(e.g. $\mu(2) = \mu(3) = \mu(\text{prime}) = -1$, $\mu(4) = 0$, $\mu(6) = 1$)

Theorem: (Prime Number Theorem, Hadamard, de la Vallée Poussin, 1896).

$$\#\{p \leq x : p \text{ prime}\} = (1 + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Notation: we write $f(x) \ll g(x)$ to mean $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$ (i.e. the same as "big O" but "much much less than")

Notes for talk on "Some applications of Stein's method to number theory",
Workshop on New Directions in Stein's Method, IMS Singapore, 26th May 2015

1) Erdős-Kac Central Limit Theorem

We can write $w(n) = \sum_{\text{primes } p \leq n} \delta_p(n)$, where $\delta_p(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p|n \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$

If we randomly choose an integer $n \leq x$, then $\mathbb{P}(\delta_p(n)=1) = \frac{1}{x} \# \{n \leq x : p|n\}$
 $= \frac{1}{x} \# \{m \leq \frac{x}{p}\} = \frac{1}{x} (\frac{x}{p} + O(1))$
 $= \frac{1}{p} + O(\frac{1}{x})$,

and $\mathbb{P}(\delta_p(n)=1 \text{ and } \delta_q(n)=1) = \frac{1}{x} \# \{m \leq \frac{x}{pq}\} = \frac{1}{pq} + O(\frac{1}{x})$ ($p \neq q$).

So $w(n)$ is a sum of indicators that behave "roughly independently" — so maybe there is a CLT here?

Theorem: (Erdős-Kac, 1939-40)

If $n \leq x$ is chosen ^{discrete} uniformly at random, then $\frac{w(n) - \log \log x}{\sqrt{\log \log x}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$

Particular consequence:
 $w(n) \approx \log \log x$ with
 very high prob

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

This is now very classical, but in my opinion it is still an open problem to give a "nice" proof of the sharp rate of convergence:

• the correct rate is a Berry-Esseen type rate $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log x}}$ (Rényi-Turán, 1958, proved this but proof is difficult complex and

Notes for talk on "Some applications of Stein's method to number theory",
Workshop on New Directions in Stein's Method, IMS Singapore, 26th May 2015

• there is a very simple qualitative proof using moments, by Billingsley, 1969
[Erdős-Kac also used moments, but it was much messier].

• I ²⁰⁰⁹ used the Chen-Stein method to give a total variation bound $O(\frac{1}{\log \log x})$
between a truncated version of $w(n)$ (don't count very large prime factors)
and Poisson — this leads to a rate $O(\frac{\log \log \log x}{\sqrt{\log \log x}})$ in Erdős-Kac.

Nice open problem: prove such a total variation approximation (maybe with a
weaker rate) without truncating $w(n)$? This would show that $w(n)$ is odd and
even asymptotically $\frac{1}{2}$ the time, which is equivalent to PNT.

2) Random multiplicative functions

This is a random model introduced by Wintner, 1940, as a heuristic for the
Möbius function $\mu(n)$ [and can also think of it as a heuristic for the character
of $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ that are real-valued, with q very large]

Model: Let $(f(p))_{p \text{ prime}}$ be a sequence of independent Rademacher RVs (example of Rademacher
chaos, $\mathbb{P}(f(p)=1) = \mathbb{P}(f(p)=-1) = \frac{1}{2}$)
Define $f(n) = 0$ if n has a non-trivial square divisor, $f(n) = \prod_{p|n} f(p)$ o/w.

Notes for talk on "Some applications of Stein's method to number theory",
Workshop on New Directions in Stein's Method, IMS Singapore, 26th May 2015

Unit Theorems

Question: Is it true that $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) / \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\sum_{n \leq x} f(n))^2} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$?

Answer: Note that $\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ w(n)=1}} f(n) = \sum_{p \leq x} f(p)$ does satisfy the CLT (sum of indep. Rademacher).

Hough, 2011, proved using moments that $\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ w(n)=k}} f(n)$ satisfies CLT, provided $k = o(\log \log \log x)$.

I proved ²⁰¹³ using martingales that CLT holds provided $k = o(\log \log x)$ (i.e. just fewer than average prime factors).

(We can write $\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ w(n)=k}} f(n) = \sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ w(n)=k, \\ p|n}} f(n)$, a martingale decomposition w.r.t. the "prime revealing filtration").

This reduces matters to a fourth moment calculation. I also proved that $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) / \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\sum_{n \leq x} f(n))^2} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (by a conditioning argument).

Chatterjee & Soundararajan, 2011: proved that CLT holds for $\sum_{x-y \leq n \leq x} f(n)$, if $Cx^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x < y = o(\frac{x}{\log x})$.

The proof uses Chatterjee's "new method" of normal approximation.

Notes for talk on "Some applications of Stein's method to number theory",
Workshop on New Directions in Stein's Method, IMS Singapore, 26th May 2015

Open problems: • What is the correct range of y in Chatterjee & Soundararajan's result?

II. Does $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$ have a limit distribution, and if so what?

Size of $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$

We know that $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = O_\varepsilon(\sqrt{x} (\log \log x)^{5/2+\varepsilon})$ [a.s. for any $\varepsilon > 0$]

(Lau, Tenenbaum & Wu, 2013) ← Uses Borovik hyperconcentration ineq.
 $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \neq O\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{(\log \log x)^{5/2+\varepsilon}}\right)$ (H., 2013) ← Uses Gaussian processes

Open problem: What is the true size of the fluctuations of $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$?

In particular, is it true that $\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \right| \geq c \sqrt{x}$?

— I conjecture yes, but other people might disagree

3) Other topics

Prime number race: Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $a_1, \dots, a_r$ be some^(possibly all) of the coprime residue classes mod q .

Notes for talk on "Some applications of Stein's method to number theory",
Workshop on New Directions in Stein's Method, IMS Singapore, 26th May 2015

Let $\pi(x; q, a_i) := \#\{\text{primes } p \leq x : p \equiv a_i \pmod{q}\}$.

Question: As $x \rightarrow \infty$, how often do we have

$$\pi(x; q, a_1) \geq \pi(x; q, a_2) \geq \dots \geq \pi(x; q, a_r)?$$

"a₁ wins, a₂ comes second, ..."

Assuming GRH & L1

Rubinstein & Sarnak showed that as $x \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of $(\pi(x; q, a_1), \dots, \pi(x; q, a_r))$ has a limit $d_q(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ (when suitably centred and rescaled). This limit is the same as the distribution of certain weighted sums of independent RVs (RVs depend on q , weights depend on a_i).

So to answer the Question, we can try to approximate $d_q(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ by a multivariate Gaussian distribution, and then use tools like Gaussian comparison inequalities.

Can be done using Stein's method, cf. H & Canzow.