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#### Abstract

Let C be an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p and let G be a reductive p-adic group. The classification of the admissible irreducible representations of G over C has been reduced to the classification of the supercuspidal ones by Abe, Henniart, Herzig and V. The proof uses the (injective) general Satake transform and the change of weight (proved using the pro-p Iwahori Hecke algebra of G). The image of the general Satake transform was an open question.

With N. Abe and Fl. Herzig, using the pro-p Iwahori Hecke algebra, we can now describe the image of the general Satake transform and give an explicit formula for its inverse. The explicit inverse Satake transform implies easily the change of weight.
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Plan: 1. Classification 2. Satake homomomorphism 3. Weight, Hecke eigenvalue, supersingularity, change of weight, filtration.
$p$ prime number, the motivation of this work is that in the future p-adic Langlands correspondence relating $p$-adic rep of the Galois group and $p$-adic automorphic representations of a reductive group, we will need some theory on the modulo $p$ smooth rep of a red p-adic group. By modulo $p$, I mean that the coefficient field $C$ is algebraically closed of characteristic $p$, by red p-adic group, I mean the group $G$ of rational points of a linear connected reductive group $\underline{G}$ over a finite extension $F$ of the field $Q_{p}$ of $p$-adic numbers, or also of the field $F_{p}((X))$ of Laurent series over a finite field $F_{p}$ of $p$ elements in one variable $X$. Up to now the only case that is understood is the p-adic local Langlands for $G L\left(2, Q_{p}\right)$ where essentially all what I will say to-day is due to Barthel and Livne.

We fix a pair $(S, B)$ where $S$ is a maximal split torus, $B$ a minimal parabolic subgroup (with the abuse that $S, B$ are the $F$-rational points of such subgroups of $\underline{G}$ ). The groups $S, B$ are unique modulo conjugation in $G$. Let $\Delta$ denote the set of simple roots of $S$ with respect to $B$.

The parabolic subgroups $P$ of $G$ containing $B$ are in bijection with the subsets $\Delta(P)$ of $\Delta$. They have a Levi decomposition $P=M N$ with $N$ the unipotent radical and a Levi component $M$ containing $S$. Example: $B=Z U$ where $Z$ is the centralizer of $Z$.

Then $(S, B \cap M)$ is a pair for $M$, and the set $\Delta(M)$ of simple roots of $S$ with respect to $B \cap M$ is contained in $\Delta$. The parabolic subgroup $P$ is determined by $\Delta(M)$ that we denote also $\Delta(P)$. We get a bijection between the parabolic subgroups $P$ of $G$ containing $B$ and the subsets of $\Delta$.

A representation $W$ of $G$ over a $C$-vector space is smooth when the stabilizer of any vector of $W$ in $G$ is open. All the representations here will we smooth and over $C$.

Bad: $G$ has an open pro- $p$ group and there is no Haar measure on $G$ with values in $C$.
Good: The representations are moderately ramified: if they are not 0 , they have a non-zero vector invariant by any pro- $p$ subgroup of $G$. A representation of a finite $p$-group over $C$ has a fixed vector.
admissible: good: invariants by one open pro- $p$ group is finite dimensional (irr implies adm ? known for $C$ of char different from $p$ )

The representations of $G$ are obtained from representations $W$ of smaller subgroups $H$ by extension ${ }^{e} W$ or induction c- $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} W$ : . the smooth and compactly supported functions on the homogeneous space $H \backslash G$ with values in $W$ satisfying the functional equation $f(h g k)=h f(g)$ for $k$ in a small open sg of $G$, for the action of $G$ by right translation ( $f$ smooth).

Two basic examples : $H=P=M N, P / N=M . W$ a smooth representation of $M$.
Extension $W$ extends to a representation of $G$ trivial on $N$ if and only if $W$ is trivial on $Z \cap M_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta \backslash \Delta(M)$, where $M_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\left\langle U_{\alpha}, U_{-\alpha}\right\rangle$.
$P_{W}=M_{W} N_{W}$ biggest parabolic such that inflation of $W$ to $P$ extends to $P_{W}$, extension is unique trivial on $N_{W} \subset N . \quad P_{W}$ corresponds to $\Delta(P) \cup \Delta(W)$ where $\Delta(W)=\left\{\alpha \in \Delta \backslash \Delta(P) \mid W\right.$ is trivial on $\left.Z \cap M_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$.
parabolic induction $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} W(W$ inflated to $P)$, a left and a right adjoint, note that the quotient $G / P$ is compact.
c- $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} 1$ or $S t_{P}^{G}=\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} 1 / \sum_{P \subsetneq Q \subset G} \operatorname{Ind}_{Q}^{G} 1$ called a Steinberg representation.
supercuspidal: irr adm not a subquotient of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} W$ for some irr adm (after classification: kills left and right adjoint of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G}$ ).

Thm 1 Classification $S t_{P}^{G}$ is irr. (GK in the split case, Ly in general)
For any triple $P, W$ sc for $M, P \subset Q \subset P_{W}$, the representation

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{W}}^{G}\left({ }^{e} W \otimes \operatorname{St}_{Q}^{P_{W}}\right)
$$

of $G$ is irr. adm. We denote it by $I(P, W, Q)$.
$I(P, W, Q) \simeq I\left(P^{\prime}, W^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right)$ is equivalent to $P=P^{\prime}, Q=Q^{\prime}, W \simeq W^{\prime}$.
Any irr is isomorphic to a $I(P, W, Q)$.
$I(P, W, Q)$ is supercuspidal iff $P=G$, finite dimensional $I(B, W, G), 1=I(P, 1, G)$, $S t=I(B, 1, B)$.

What are the supercuspidal representations ? Existence ? For $G L(n, F)$ proof by global methods.

Ex $G=G L(2, F):$ supercuspidal, $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G}\left(\chi_{1} \otimes \chi_{2}\right)$ with $\chi_{1} \neq \chi_{2}, \chi \otimes \operatorname{det}$ and $(\chi \otimes$ det) $\otimes \operatorname{St}$ (Barthel-Livne).
$G$ finite $Z$ is a torus ( $G$ is quasi-split), if $V$ is an irreducible representation of $G$ then $V_{U}$ has dimension 1. Let $\psi_{V}$ denote the corresponding character of $Z$ is the lowest weight vector, $V^{U_{o p}} \simeq V_{U}$ (via the quotient map $v \rightarrow \bar{v}: V \rightarrow V_{U}$ ) as representations of $Z \cap K$. The $G$-stabilizer of the kernel $V_{U}$ is a parabolic subgroup $Q$ contained in the parabolic subgroup $P\left(\psi_{V}\right)$ associated to $\Delta\left(\psi_{V}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \psi_{V}\right.$ is trivial on $\left.Z \cap\left\langle U_{\alpha}, U_{-\alpha}\right\rangle\right\}$. If $Q$ corresponds to $\Delta(V)$, then $\left(\psi_{V}, \Delta(V)\right)$ determines the isomorphism class of $V$. Any such pair $\left(\psi_{V}, \Delta_{V}\right)$ corresponds to an irreducible representation $V$. There are no irreducible supercuspidal representations if $G \neq Z$. For all this Carter-Lusztig, Cabanes. The set $\Delta(V)$ measures the "irregularity" of the representation: The Steinberg representation $\Delta(S t)=\emptyset$, the trivial representation $\Delta(1)=\Delta$.

This is invisible in the statement, but the key of the proof of the classification is
the compact induction, the Satake homomorphism, and the pro-p Iwahori Hecke algebra.

We fix a special parahoric subgroup $K$ of $G$ (not unique modulo conjugation). Although it is for $G L(n, F)$ where $K$ is simply a conjugate of the maximal open compact subgroup $G L\left(n, O_{F}\right), O_{F}$ the ring of integers of $F$. We put $X^{0}=X \cap K$ for a sg of $G$. The group $M^{0}$ is special parahoric in $M$. The group $Z^{0}$ is the unique parahoric subgroup of $G$. It is normal in $Z$ with a commutative quotient $Z / Z^{0}$ even when $G$ is not quasisplit ( $Z$ is not a torus) (Haines-Rostami), has a unique pro-p Sylow subgroup $Z(1)$.

Satake homomorphism :

$$
f \mapsto S(f)(z)=\sum_{x \in U^{0} \backslash U} f(x z): H(G, K) \rightarrow H\left(Z, Z^{0}\right)
$$

It is injective. As $H\left(Z, Z^{0}\right)=C\left[Z / Z^{0}\right]$ is commutative, $H(G, K)$ is commutative.
When $C$ of characteristic 0 , normalized by the module of $B$, its image is $C\left[Z / Z^{0}\right]^{W}$ where $W$ is the Weyl group (quotient of the $G$-normalizer of $Z$ by $Z$ ), at the origin of the definition of the $L$-group by Langlands. When $G$ is simple split and simply connected, Lusztig-Kato formula gives a formula for the inverse of $S$ on $C\left[Z / Z^{0}\right]^{W}$.

Without normalization, the image is $C\left[Z^{+} / Z^{0}\right]$ where $Z^{+}$is the set of dominant elements, the natural map $Z^{+} / Z^{0} \rightarrow K \backslash G / K$ is an isomorphism. (Hen-V). $C\left[Z / Z^{0}\right]$ is a localization of $C\left[Z^{+} / Z^{0}\right]$. Valid over $\mathbb{Z}$. When $G$ is simple split and simply connected, there is also a formula for the inverse of $S$ on $C\left[Z^{+} / Z^{0}\right]$ (Her).

We need something to study more generally the representations.

$$
\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V
$$

for an irreducible representation $V$ of $K$. Understand their structure is not easy but of fundamental importance. (The first person who realized this for complex representations is in this room !). We can extend the Satake homomorphism to study the space $H\left(G, K, V, V^{\prime}\right)$ of $G$-intertwiners c- $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V \rightarrow \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V^{\prime}$ for two irreducible representations $V, V^{\prime}$ of $K$, we can break them and understand some pieces.
$G$-Intertwiners $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V, \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V^{\prime}\right)$ isomorphic to the spherical Hecke space $H\left(G, K, V, V^{\prime}\right)$ of compactly supported functions $f: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{C}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying the functional equation $f\left(k^{\prime} g k\right)=k^{\prime} f(g) k$. When $V=V^{\prime} \operatorname{End}_{G}$ c- $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V$ isomorphic to the spherical Hecke algebra $H(G, K, V)$ (convolution algebra).
$Z^{+} / Z^{0} \simeq K \backslash G / K$ and a double class $K z K$ with $z \in Z^{+}$supports an intertwiner iff $z$ belongs to

$$
Z\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)=\left\{z \in Z \mid z \cdot \psi_{V}=\psi_{V^{\prime}},\langle\alpha, v(z)\rangle>0 \text { for } \alpha \in \Delta(V) \backslash \Delta\left(V^{\prime}\right) \cup \Delta\left(V^{\prime}\right) \backslash \Delta(V)\right\}
$$

$v: Z \rightarrow X_{*}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Modulo a scalar, there is a unique intertwiner $T_{z}$ of support $K z K$ for $z \in Z^{+}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)=Z^{+} \cap Z\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)$. Basis of $H\left(G, K, V, V^{\prime}\right)$ is $\left(T_{z}\right)_{z \in Z^{+}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right) / Z^{0}}$.

If $V=V^{\prime}, Z(V, V)=Z_{\psi_{V}}$ is the $Z$-normalizer of the character $\psi_{V}$ of $Z^{0}$ and depends only on $\psi_{V}$.

Thm 2 Satake homomorphism The map

$$
f \mapsto S(f)(z)=\sum_{x \in U^{0} \backslash U} \bar{f}(x z): H\left(G, K, V, V^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H\left(Z, Z^{0}, V_{U^{0}}, V_{U^{0}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

is injective. It respects the product if $V=V^{\prime}$. Its image has the basis $\left(\tau_{z}^{\prime}\right)_{z \in Z^{+}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right) / Z^{0}}$ where

$$
\tau_{z}^{\prime}=\tau_{z} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^{\prime}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \backslash \Delta^{\prime}(V)}\left(1-\tau_{a_{\alpha}}\right) .
$$

Here $a_{\alpha}$ is the generator of $\left(Z \cap M_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) /\left(Z^{0} \cap M_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $v(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}<0 \alpha^{\vee}$ and $\Delta^{\prime}(V)=$ $\left\{\alpha \in \Delta(V) \mid \psi_{V}\right.$ trivial on $\left.Z^{0} \cap M_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$.

$$
S^{-1}\left(\tau_{z}\right)=\sum_{x \in Z^{+} \cap z} \sum_{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^{\prime}(V) \cap \Delta^{\prime}\left(V^{\prime}\right)} a_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{N}}} T_{x}
$$

after a good normalization of $\tau_{z}, T_{x}$
If $V=V^{\prime}$, the algebra $H\left(Z, Z^{0}, V_{U^{0}}\right)=H\left(Z_{\psi_{V}}, Z^{0}, \psi_{V}\right)$ is the localisation of $H(G, K, V) \simeq$ $H\left(Z_{\psi_{V}}^{+}, Z^{0}, \psi_{V}\right)$. The algebra depends only on $\psi_{V}$, is commutative when $G$ is quasi-split, but not in general. But it is a finitely generated module over the center $Z(G, K, V)$, and the center is a finitely generated algebra. When $G$ is semisimple simply connected split, Herzig using Lusztig-Kato determined $S^{-1}$.

If $\Delta\left(V^{\prime}\right) \subset \Delta(V), H\left(G, K, V, V^{\prime}\right) \simeq H\left(Z^{+}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right), Z^{0}, V_{U^{0}}, V_{U^{0}}^{\prime}\right)$. But $\tau_{z}^{\prime}$ is not contained in $Z^{+}$if $\Delta^{\prime}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \backslash \Delta^{\prime}(V) \neq \emptyset$.

Ex $G L(2, F), V=1, V^{\prime}=S t$ (Kisin Lemma 1.5.5, 2009 proof of Fontaine Mazur conjecture for $G L(2))$

The proof of (new) uses the pro-p Iwahori algebra $H(G, I)$ and the representation

$$
\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G} \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{Z(1)}^{Z} 1
$$

which contains an element $f_{V} \in \mathfrak{X}^{I}$ such that $\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V \simeq C G f_{V}$ and $f_{V} H(G, I) \simeq$ $\left(\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V\right)^{I}$.

The parabolic induction relates these representations and those of the Levi subgroups
The Satake homomorphism $S$ and the homomorphism c- $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ factorize

$$
H\left(G, K, V, V^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H\left(M, M^{0}, V_{N^{0}}, V_{N^{0}}^{\prime}\right), \quad \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G}\left(\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{M^{0}}^{M} V_{N^{0}}\right)
$$

$V_{N^{0}}$ irr repr of $M^{0}$ corresponding to $\left(\psi_{V}, \Delta(M) \cap \Delta(V)\right)$, replace $U$ by $N$ and $z \in Z$ by $m \in M$ in the formula for the Satake homomorphism.

Let $W$ be a non zero rep of $G$. An irreducible representation $V$ of $K$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(V, W) \neq 0$ is called a weight of $W$. There exists always a weight because $V$ is smooth. By Frobenius reciprocity $\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(V, W)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(c-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V, W\right)$. Every irreducible representation $W$ of $G$ is isomorphic to a subquotient of $\mathfrak{X}$, a representation parabolically induced from the Borel subgroup $B$ !
$\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V, W\right)$ is a right module over the algebra of $G$-intertwiners of c - $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V$. When $Z(G, K, V)$ admits an eigenvector in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V, W\right)$, the eigenvalue is called a Hecke eigenvalue of $Z(G, K, V)$ (or of $V$ ) in $W$. When $W$ is irreducible, $V$ is a weight of $W$ with Hecke eigenvalue $\chi$ means that $W$ is a quotient of

$$
\chi \otimes_{Z(G, K, V)} \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V
$$

Any weight of $W$ admits an eigenvalue in $W$ when $W$ is admissible.
We can now introduce the notions of supersingularity and explain the strategy of the proof of the classification theorem.

A character $\chi: Z(G, K, V) \rightarrow C$ is supersingular if it does not extend to $Z(M, K \cap$ $M, V_{N \cap K}$ ) for $M \neq G$. $W$ irr. adm. is called supersingular when all its Hecke eigenvalues are supersingular (for all weights $V$ ).
$\Delta(\chi)=\left\{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \chi\left(z_{\alpha}\right)=0\right\}$ for any $z_{\alpha} \in S, v\left(\left(z_{\alpha}\right)>0,\left\langle\beta, v\left(\left(z_{\alpha}\right)\right\rangle=0 \beta \in \Delta-\backslash\{\alpha\}\right\}\right.$ corresponds to the smallest $M$ such that $\chi$ extends to a (supersingular) character of $Z\left(M, N^{0}, V_{N^{0}}, V_{N^{0}}^{\prime}\right)$.

We prove the theorem with $W$ supersingular, not supercuspidal! After the theorem (with supersingular) we deduce that supersingular=supercuspidal.

The irreducibility of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{e}}^{G}\left({ }^{e} W \otimes \operatorname{St}_{Q}{ }_{Q}^{P_{e}}\right)$ uses that $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} W$ is irr iff $W$ contains a weight with $\Delta(V) \subset \Delta(P)$. This is the motivation for the change of weight. We try to lower $\Delta(V)$. Recall that $Z(G, K, V)$ depends only on $\psi$.

Change of weight When $\psi_{V^{\prime}}=\psi_{V}$ and $\Delta\left(V^{\prime}\right)=\Delta(V)-\{\alpha\}$

$$
\chi \otimes \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V \simeq \chi \otimes \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V^{\prime}
$$

except if $\alpha$ orthogonal to $\Delta(\chi), \psi$ is trivial on $Z^{0} \cap M_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and $\chi\left(\tau_{a_{\alpha}}\right)=1$.
The proof uses the description of the image of the Satake homomorphism for the two pairs $\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(V^{\prime}, V\right)$.
$\operatorname{Ind}_{P(W)}^{G}\left({ }^{e} W \otimes \operatorname{St}_{Q}^{P(W)}\right)$ determines $P, P_{W}, W, Q$. The proof uses that the weight and eigenvalues of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} W^{\prime}$ are determined by those $W^{\prime}$ that $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} W^{\prime}$ determines $W^{\prime}$ (for any rep $W^{\prime}$ ).

Finally the exhaustion... we are all exhausted !

Generalization of: $\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G} 1$ filtration quotients $\mathrm{St}_{Q}^{G}$, trivial as a subrepresentation, St as a quotient, but $\chi \otimes \mathrm{c}$ - $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} 1$ as a filtration with the same quotients in the inverse order St as a sub and 1 as a quotient.
$\chi \otimes \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} V$ has a natural filtration with quotients $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{e}}^{G}{ }^{e}\left(\chi \otimes \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{M^{0}}^{M} V_{N^{0}}\right) \otimes \operatorname{St}_{Q}^{P_{e}}$ for $P \subset Q \subset P_{e}$ in the inverse order (with $P$ as a sub and $P_{e}$ as a quotient).
$P$ is the smallest parabolic subgroup such that $\chi$ extends to a supersingular character of $Z\left(M, M^{0}, V_{N^{0}}\right)$,
$P_{e}$ is the biggest parabolic subgroup such that $\chi \otimes \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{M^{0}}^{M} V_{N^{0}}$ extends to a representation ${ }^{e}\left(\chi \otimes \mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{M^{0}}^{M} V_{N^{0}}\right)$ of $P_{e}$ trivial on $N$.

