Forcing with matrices of countable elementary submodels

Boriša Kuzeljević

IMS-JSPS Joint Workshop - IMS Singapore, January 2016

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

Matrices of elementary submodels

January 2016 1 / 14

▲ロ ▶ ▲局 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

Joint work with Stevo Todorčević

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

Matrices of elementary submodels

January 2016 2 / 14

<ロ> <0</p>

Definition

Let $\theta \geq \omega_2$ be a regular cardinal. By H_{θ} we denote the collection of all sets whose transitive closure has cardinality $< \theta$. We consider it as a model of the form $(H_{\theta}, \in, <_{\theta})$ where $<_{\theta}$ is some fixed well-ordering of H_{θ} that will not be explicitly mentioned. The partial order \mathcal{P} is the set of all functions $p: \omega_1 \to H_\theta$ satisfying:

- supp $(p) = \{ \alpha < \omega_1 : p(\alpha) \neq \emptyset \}$ is a finite set;
- $p(\alpha)$ is a finite collection of isomorphic countable elementary submodels of H_{θ} for every $\alpha \in \text{supp}(p)$;
- for each $\alpha, \beta \in \text{supp}(p)$ if $\alpha < \beta$ then $\forall M \in p(\alpha) \exists N \in p(\beta) M \in N$;

The ordering on \mathcal{P} is given by:

$$p \leq q \iff \forall \alpha < \omega_1 \ q(\alpha) \subseteq p(\alpha).$$

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

SQA

<ロト < 同ト < 三ト < 三ト

Theorem (Todorcevic, 1984)

PFA implies that \Box_{κ} *fails for every uncountable cardinal* κ *.*

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

Matrices of elementary submodels

3 January 2016 4 / 14

SQC

Theorem (Todorcevic, 1984)

PFA implies that \Box_{κ} fails for every uncountable cardinal κ .

Theorem (Todorcevic, 1985)

It is consistent with ZF that every directed set of cardinality ω_1 is cofinally equivalent to one of the following five: $1, \omega, \omega_1, \omega \times \omega_1, [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$.

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

Matrices of elementary submodels

JQ P

Theorem (Todorcevic, 1984)

PFA implies that \Box_{κ} fails for every uncountable cardinal κ .

Theorem (Todorcevic, 1985)

It is consistent with ZF that every directed set of cardinality ω_1 is cofinally equivalent to one of the following five: $1, \omega, \omega_1, \omega \times \omega_1, [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$.

Theorem (Aspero-Mota, 2015)

 $PFA^{fin}(\omega_1)$ is consistent with $\mathfrak{c} > \omega_2$.

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

Matrices of elementary submodels

January 2016 4 / 14

SQA

By $M \prec H_{\theta}$ we denote that M is a countable elementary submodel of H_{θ} .

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

By $M \prec H_{\theta}$ we denote that M is a countable elementary submodel of H_{θ} . If $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is a filter in \mathcal{P} generic over V, then we define $G : \omega_1 \to H_{\theta}$ as the function satisfying

 $G(\alpha) = \{ M \prec H_{\theta} : \exists p \in \mathcal{G} \text{ such that } M \in p(\alpha) \}.$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● の Q @

By $M \prec H_{\theta}$ we denote that M is a countable elementary submodel of H_{θ} . If $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is a filter in \mathcal{P} generic over V, then we define $G : \omega_1 \to H_{\theta}$ as the function satisfying

$$\mathcal{G}(\alpha) = \left\{ M \prec \mathcal{H}_{ heta} : \exists p \in \mathcal{G} \text{ such that } M \in p(\alpha)
ight\}.$$

For $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ we will define their 'join' $p \lor q$ as the function from ω_1 to H_θ satisfying $(p \lor q)(\alpha) = p(\alpha) \cup q(\alpha)$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$.

▲ロ▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨー のの⊙

If a condition $q \in \mathcal{P}$ and $M \prec H_{\kappa}$ $(\delta_M = M \cap \omega_1)$ for $\kappa \geq \theta$ are given, it is clear what intersection $q \cap M$ represents.

If a condition $q \in \mathcal{P}$ and $M \prec H_{\kappa} (\delta_M = M \cap \omega_1)$ for $\kappa \geq \theta$ are given, it is clear what intersection $q \cap M$ represents.

We define the restriction of q to M as a function with finite support $q \mid M : \omega_1 \to H_\theta$ satisfying $\operatorname{supp}(q \mid M) = \operatorname{supp}(q) \cap \delta_M$ and for $\alpha < \delta_M$ we let $(q \mid M)(\alpha)$ to be the set of all $\varphi_{M'}(N)$ where $M' \in q(\delta_M)$, $\varphi_{M'} : M' \xrightarrow{\cong} M \cap H_\theta$ and $N \in q(\alpha) \cap M'$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

If a condition $q \in \mathcal{P}$ and $M \prec H_{\kappa} (\delta_M = M \cap \omega_1)$ for $\kappa \geq \theta$ are given, it is clear what intersection $q \cap M$ represents.

We define the restriction of q to M as a function with finite support $q \mid M : \omega_1 \to H_\theta$ satisfying $\operatorname{supp}(q \mid M) = \operatorname{supp}(q) \cap \delta_M$ and for $\alpha < \delta_M$ we let $(q \mid M)(\alpha)$ to be the set of all $\varphi_{M'}(N)$ where $M' \in q(\delta_M)$, $\varphi_{M'} : M' \xrightarrow{\cong} M \cap H_\theta$ and $N \in q(\alpha) \cap M'$.

Note that the function $q \mid M$ is in \mathcal{P} .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

We will also need the following notion which we call 'the closure of p below $\delta'.$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

We will also need the following notion which we call 'the closure of p below δ '.

Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\delta \in \operatorname{supp}(p)$. Then $\operatorname{cl}_{\delta}(p) : \omega_1 \to H_{\theta}$ is a function such that $\operatorname{supp}(\operatorname{cl}_{\delta}(p)) = \operatorname{supp}(p)$ and $\operatorname{cl}_{\delta}(p)(\gamma) = p(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \geq \delta$, while for $\gamma < \delta$ we define $\operatorname{cl}_{\delta}(p)(\gamma)$ to be the set of all $\psi_{N_1,N_2}(M)$ where $M \in p(\gamma) \cap N_1$, $N_1, N_2 \in p(\delta)$ and $\psi_{N_1,N_2} : N_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} N_2$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

Lemma

 \mathcal{P} is strongly proper.

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

Matrices of elementary submodels

3 January 2016 8 / 14

996

Lemma

 \mathcal{P} is strongly proper.

Lemma

Let \mathcal{G} be a filter generic in \mathcal{P} over V, let $M, M' \prec H_{(2^{\theta})^+}$ and $p, \mathcal{P} \in M \cap M'$. If $\varphi : M \xrightarrow{\cong} M'$ then for $\delta = M \cap \omega_1 = M' \cap \omega_1$ the condition $p_{MM'} = p \cup \{ \langle \delta, \{ M \cap H_{\theta}, M' \cap H_{\theta} \} \}$ satisfies:

$$p_{MM'} \Vdash \check{\varphi}[\dot{\mathcal{G}} \cap \check{M}] = \dot{\mathcal{G}} \cap \check{M}'.$$

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

Matrices of elementary submodels

3 January 2016 8 / 14

SQA

- 4 E F

イロト イポト イラト

For $p \in \mathcal{P}$, by \bar{p} we define $\bar{p} : \omega_1 \to [H_{\omega_1}]^{\omega}$ as a function with the same support as p which maps $\alpha \in \text{supp}(\bar{p})$ to the transitive collapse of some model from $p(\alpha)$, while for $\alpha \in \omega_1 \setminus \text{supp}(\bar{p})$ take $\bar{p}(\alpha) = \emptyset$.

Lemma

Let $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$. If $\bar{p} = \bar{q}$, then p and q are compatible conditions.

200

・ロト ・雪ト ・ヨト ・ヨー

For $p \in \mathcal{P}$, by \bar{p} we define $\bar{p} : \omega_1 \to [H_{\omega_1}]^{\omega}$ as a function with the same support as p which maps $\alpha \in \text{supp}(\bar{p})$ to the transitive collapse of some model from $p(\alpha)$, while for $\alpha \in \omega_1 \setminus \text{supp}(\bar{p})$ take $\bar{p}(\alpha) = \emptyset$.

Lemma

Let $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$. If $\bar{p} = \bar{q}$, then p and q are compatible conditions.

Lemma (CH)

 \mathcal{P} satisfies ω_2 -c.c.

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

Matrices of elementary submodels

January 2016 9 / 14

SQA

イロト イポト イラト イラト

For $p \in \mathcal{P}$, by \bar{p} we define $\bar{p} : \omega_1 \to [H_{\omega_1}]^{\omega}$ as a function with the same support as p which maps $\alpha \in \text{supp}(\bar{p})$ to the transitive collapse of some model from $p(\alpha)$, while for $\alpha \in \omega_1 \setminus \text{supp}(\bar{p})$ take $\bar{p}(\alpha) = \emptyset$.

Lemma

Let $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$. If $\bar{p} = \bar{q}$, then p and q are compatible conditions.

Lemma (CH)

 \mathcal{P} satisfies ω_2 -c.c.

Lemma

 \mathcal{P} preserves CH.

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

SQA

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Kurepa tree I

Definition

A tree $\langle T, \langle \rangle$ is called Kurepa tree if is of height ω_1 , with at least ω_2 branches and with all levels countable.

200

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Kurepa tree I

Definition

A tree $\langle T, < \rangle$ is called Kurepa tree if is of height ω_1 , with at least ω_2 branches and with all levels countable.

- Introduced in Kurepa's PhD thesis (Paris, 1935);
- Solovay showed that there is a Kurepa tree in L;
- Silver showed that consistently there are no Kurepa trees;
- Devlin showed that all the theories $ZFC\pm CH\pm SH\pm KH$ are consistent.

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

Matrices of elementary submodels

January 2016 10 / 14

200

Kurepa tree II

Theorem

 \mathcal{P} adds a Kurepa tree T.

ヨー つくぐ

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Kurepa tree II

Theorem

 \mathcal{P} adds a Kurepa tree T.

Sketch of the proof: Consider the family of functions $f_{\alpha}: \omega_1 \to \omega_1 \ (\alpha < \omega_2)$ defined by

 $f_{\alpha}(\delta) = \begin{cases} \xi, & \text{if there is } M \in G(\delta) \text{ such that } \alpha \in M \text{ and } \pi_{M}(\alpha) = \xi, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Denote this family by $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ and for a fixed $\alpha < \omega_2$ let the α -th branch of our tree be given by $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} = \{f_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \delta : \delta < \omega_1\}$.

So the Kurepa tree will be $T = \bigcup_{\delta < \omega_1} T_{\delta}$, where $T_{\delta} = \{f_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \delta : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ are its levels.

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

Matrices of elementary submodels

January 2016 11 / 14

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

Kurepa tree II

Theorem

 \mathcal{P} adds a Kurepa tree T.

Sketch of the proof: Consider the family of functions $f_{\alpha}: \omega_1 \to \omega_1 \ (\alpha < \omega_2)$ defined by

 $f_{\alpha}(\delta) = \begin{cases} \xi, & \text{if there is } M \in G(\delta) \text{ such that } \alpha \in M \text{ and } \pi_{M}(\alpha) = \xi, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Denote this family by $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ and for a fixed $\alpha < \omega_2$ let the α -th branch of our tree be given by $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} = \{f_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \delta : \delta < \omega_1\}$.

So the Kurepa tree will be $T = \bigcup_{\delta < \omega_1} T_{\delta}$, where $T_{\delta} = \{f_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \delta : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ are its levels.

Corollary

Every uncountable downward closed set $S \subseteq T$ contains a branch of T.

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

Matrices of elementary submodels

January 2016 11 / 14

SQA

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Continuous matrices

Definition

Let \mathcal{P}_c be the suborder of \mathcal{P} containing all the conditions satisfying:

for every p ∈ P_c there is a continuous ∈-chain ⟨M_ξ : ξ < ω₁⟩ (i.e. if β is a limit ordinal, then M_β = ⋃_{ξ<β} M_ξ) of countable elementary submodels of H_θ such that ∀ξ ∈ supp(p) M_ξ ∈ p(ξ).

SQA

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • • □ ▶

Almost Souslin Kurepa tree I

Definition

A tree S of height ω_1 is an almost Souslin tree if for every antichain $X \subseteq S$, the set $(S_{\gamma} \text{ is the } \gamma\text{-th level of } S)$

$$L(X) = \{\gamma < \omega_1 : X \cap S_\gamma \neq \emptyset\}$$

is not stationary in ω_1 .

SQA

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Almost Souslin Kurepa tree I

Definition

A tree S of height ω_1 is an almost Souslin tree if for every antichain $X \subseteq S$, the set $(S_{\gamma} \text{ is the } \gamma\text{-th level of } S)$

$$L(X) = \{\gamma < \omega_1 : X \cap S_\gamma \neq \emptyset\}$$

is not stationary in ω_1 .

- The existence of an almost Souslin Kurepa tree was asked by Zakrzewski (1986).
- Todorcevic showed that the existence of an almost Souslin Kurepa tree is consistent (1987).
- Golshani showed there is an almost Souslin Kurepa tree in L (2013).

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

= ~~~

イロト イポト イラト イラト

Almost Souslin Kurepa tree II

Theorem (CH)

The tree T is an almost Souslin Kurepa tree.

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

Matrices of elementary submodels

 \equiv January 2016 14 / 14

200

Almost Souslin Kurepa tree II

Theorem (CH)

The tree T is an almost Souslin Kurepa tree.

Sketch of the proof: Let $\tau \in H_{\theta}$ be a \mathcal{P}_{c} -name. Then the set

$$\Gamma_{\tau} = \{ \gamma < \omega_1 : \exists M \in G_c(\gamma) \ \tau \in M \& M[\mathcal{G}_c] \cap \omega_1 = M \cap \omega_1 = \gamma \}.$$

is closed and unbounded in ω_1 .

Now, let τ' be a \mathcal{P}_c -name for an antichain X in T.

Because CH holds in V, \mathcal{P}_c is ω_2 -c.c. so there is a \mathcal{P}_c -name σ for X which is in H_{θ} .

Then $L(X) \cap \Gamma_{\sigma} = \emptyset$.

Boriša Kuzeljević (NUS)

January 2016 14 / 14

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●