

Differential Forms and the Abundance Conjecture

Singapore, 16. 1. 2017

Nonvanishing Conjecture (NC)

X normal projective variety / \mathbb{C} , canonical singularities,
(terminal)

K_X pseudo effective $\Rightarrow \kappa(X) \geq 0$.

MMP predicts: $X \dashrightarrow X'$, X' canonical singularities,
birat (terminal)

$K_{X'}$ nef.

Abundance Conjecture (AC) K_X is semiample.

(NC) and (AC) known in dimension ≤ 3

One shortly says that X has a "good (minimal) model".

Important: Version for klt pairs (X, Δ) .

Report on joint work with V. Lazić. (on arXiv)

Theorem 1 X normal projective variety with only
terminal singularities, terminal variety for short.

Assume K_X pseudo effective.

Assume furthermore ($n = \dim X$)

(a) Existence of good models for klt pairs in dimension $\leq n-1$ (o.k. if $\dim X = 4$) or

(b) K_X nef, $\nu(X) = 1$ (so $K_X \neq 0$, $K_X^2 = 0$).

Assume finally: $\exists \pi: Y \rightarrow X$ desingularization,

$\exists q > 0$, such that

$$H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^q \otimes \pi^*(mK_X)) \neq 0$$

for ∞ many m (s. that mK_X is Cartier).

Then $\kappa(X) \geq 0$.

Theorem 2 X terminal variety, K_X pseudo-effective,

$$X(O_X) \neq 0, n = \dim X.$$

(a) Assume existence of good models for klt pairs in dimension $\leq n-1$. If K_X has a

(singular) metric with algebraic singularities and semipositive curvature (current), then

$$\kappa(X) \geq 0.$$

(b) If K_X nef, $\nu(X) = 1 \Rightarrow \kappa(X) \geq 0$.

Remark (1) If K_X is hermitian semipositive, then
in Thm 2 (a), $\kappa(X) \geq 0$. Even more:

K_X is semiample.

(2) Assume $\dim X = 4$, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$.

May assume $\kappa(X) = 0$ (Kawamata, Lai, ...),

hence $H^3(\mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$, so \exists local explicit 3-Form.

\leadsto foliation by curves. useful?

Idea of proof of Thm 1, (a)

Say X smooth. Get ∞ many m : $-mK_X \subset \Omega_X^q$

for some q . $F := \text{Im} \left(\bigoplus_m (-mK_X) \rightarrow \Omega_X^q \right)$

$\leadsto \det F \subset \mathcal{L}^r \Omega_X^q$, saturated wlog.

$Q := \mathcal{L}^r \Omega_X^q / \det F$; then $\det Q$ is pseudo =

effective; and $\exists l \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$lK_X = \det F + \det Q$$

Now $H^0(-mK_X \otimes \det F^l) \neq 0$, ∞ many m

$\leadsto N_m \sim mK_X + \det F^l$, N_m effective.

$$\Rightarrow N_m + \text{cl } Q \sim (m+l) K_X$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} \{ \\ \text{indep. of } m \end{array} \right\} \quad \left. \begin{array}{c} \{ \\ \text{indep. of } m \end{array} \right\}$$

Now apply:

Theorem 3 Assume existence of good models for klt pairs in dim $\leq n-1$. Let (X, Δ) be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial klt pair of dimension n , $K_X + \Delta$ pseudo effective. Assume \exists pseudo effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor F on X , $\exists S \subset \mathbb{N}$ infinite, such that

$$N_m + F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} m(K_X + \Delta),$$

N_m effective integral. Then

$$\kappa(K_X + \Delta) \geq \max \{ \kappa(X, N_m) \mid m \in S \} \geq 0.$$

Idea of proof of Theorem 2, (a)

Choose desingularization $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$, choose

l such that lK_X Cartier.

By assumption obtain singular metric h on

$$\pi^*(lK_X):$$

$$\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j \log |g_j| + \text{loc. bounded,}$$

$\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \rightsquigarrow$ multiplier ideal sheaves

$$\mathcal{I}(h^{\otimes m}) = \mathcal{O}_Y \left(- \sum_{j=1}^r \lfloor m \alpha_j \rfloor D_j \right) \quad (*)$$

Assume $\kappa(X) = -\infty$. Then 1 gives:

$$H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^q \otimes \pi^*(L_m K_X)) = 0, \quad \forall q, \forall m \gg 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^q \otimes \pi^*(L_m K_X) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h^{\otimes m})) = 0$$

$\downarrow \omega^{n-q}$ Hard Lefschetz

$$H^{n-q}(Y, K_Y \otimes \pi^*(L_m K_X) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h^{\otimes m}))$$

$$\text{So } H^{n-q} = 0.$$

(*) plus Serre duality \Rightarrow

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \lfloor m \alpha_j \rfloor D_j - m L \pi^*(K_X) \right)) = 0, \quad m \gg 0.$$

$$\text{Set } \alpha_j = \frac{p_j}{q_j}, \quad D := \sum \frac{q_j p_j}{q_j} D - q L \pi^*(K_X)$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y(mD)) = 0 \quad \forall m \text{ suff. divisible}$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) = 0 \quad \frac{4}{4}.$$

What is better if $\nu(X) = 1$? Key:

Theorem 4 X_n projective, \mathbb{Q} -factorial, L nef, $\nu(L) = 1$. Assume $\exists F$ pseudo effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor and a \mathbb{Q} -divisor $D \neq 0$, effective, such that $D + F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} L$. Then \exists effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor E , s. that $L \equiv E$ and $\kappa(E) \geq \kappa(D)$.

Reason: $\nu(L) = 1$ allows to reduce to surface and to apply Hodge index Theorem.

Corollary Assume existence of good models for left pairs in dimension $\leq n-1$. Let X_n be terminal variety. Assume $\exists \pi: Y \rightarrow X$ desingularization, $\exists \ell$, such that $\pi^*(\ell K_X)$ has singular metric with semi positive curvature and vanishing Chern #. If the polynomial $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m\ell K_X))$ is not identically 0, then K_X is semi ample.

Proof was result of Gongyo - Matsumura.

Remark Actually more is true in Corollary:

if K_X is not semi ample, then $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-mK_X)) = 0$
 $\forall q \forall m$ sufficiently divisible.

Concerning (AC):

Theorem 5 X_n terminal variety. Assume either

(a) existence of good models for klt pairs
 in dimension $\leq n-1$, $|X(\mathcal{O}_X)| > 2^{n-1}$, $\nu(X) \geq 0$

(b) K_X nef, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$, $\nu(X) = 1$.

(c) K_X nef, $\nu(X) = n-1$, $\exists \pi: Y \rightarrow X$

desingularization, s. that

$$\pi^* K_X^{n-2} \cdot c_2(Y) \neq 0$$

$$\text{as } \pi^* K_X^{n-2} \cdot c_2(Y) = 0 \text{ and } \pi^* K_X^{n-3} \cdot c_2(Y) \cdot K_Y \neq 0$$

Then K_X is semi ample, in case (b), (c)

resp. X has a good model in (a).

Remark Several ~~that~~ results extend to klt pairs

(X, Δ) .

Remarks (1) In (a) of The 5, if

X does not have a good model, then

$$\forall q \geq 0: h^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \leq \binom{n}{q}.$$

(2) Strengthening of (c) in case $n = 4$:

suffices $\exists \pi: Y \rightarrow X$ desingularization, s. that

$$\pi^* h_X^2 \mathcal{O}_2(Y) \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{u}^* h_X^2 \mathcal{O}_2(Y) = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0.$$