Twisted Reidemeister torsion for twist knots

Jérôme Dubois (CRM Barcelona, Spain) Vu Huynh (Vietnam National University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam) Yoshikazu Yamaguchi (University of Tokyo, Japan)

arXiv:0706.2213

Twist knots

Twist knots



Figure: Twist knots J(2, n) and J(-2, n), n > 0.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Twist knots



Figure: Twist knots J(2, n) and J(-2, n), n > 0.

Example

In D. Rolfsen's table the trefoil knot is $3_1 = J(2,2)$, the figure eight knot is $4_1 = J(2,-2)$, $5_2 = J(2,4)$, $6_1 = J(2,-4)$.

▲ロト ▲圖 → ▲ 国 ト ▲ 国 - - - の Q ()

▶ are two-bridge knots (i.e. rational knots).

- are two-bridge knots (i.e. rational knots).
- the fundamental group has two generators and one relation.

$$\pi_1(J(2,2m)) = \langle x, y \mid w^m x = y w^m \rangle$$

where *w* is the word $[y, x^{-1}] = yx^{-1}y^{-1}x$.

$$\pi_1(J(2,2m+1)) = \langle x, y \mid w^m x = y w^m \rangle$$

where *w* is the word $[x, y^{-1}] = xy^{-1}x^{-1}y$.

- are two-bridge knots (i.e. rational knots).
- the fundamental group has two generators and one relation.

$$\pi_1(J(2,2m)) = \langle x, y \mid w^m x = y w^m \rangle$$

where *w* is the word $[y, x^{-1}] = yx^{-1}y^{-1}x$.

$$\pi_1(J(2,2m+1)) = \langle x,y \mid w^m x = yw^m \rangle$$

where w is the word $[x, y^{-1}] = xy^{-1}x^{-1}y$.

except the trefoil, are hyperbolic.

- ▶ are two-bridge knots (i.e. rational knots).
- the fundamental group has two generators and one relation.

$$\pi_1(J(2,2m)) = \langle x, y \mid w^m x = y w^m \rangle$$

where *w* is the word $[y, x^{-1}] = yx^{-1}y^{-1}x$.

$$\pi_1(J(2,2m+1)) = \langle x, y \mid w^m x = y w^m \rangle$$

where *w* is the word $[x, y^{-1}] = xy^{-1}x^{-1}y$.

- except the trefoil, are hyperbolic.
- except the trefoil and the figure-eight, are not fibered, but virtually fibered.

- are two-bridge knots (i.e. rational knots).
- the fundamental group has two generators and one relation.

$$\pi_1(J(2,2m)) = \langle x, y \mid w^m x = y w^m \rangle$$

where *w* is the word $[y, x^{-1}] = yx^{-1}y^{-1}x$.

$$\pi_1(J(2,2m+1)) = \langle x,y \mid w^m x = yw^m \rangle$$

where *w* is the word $[x, y^{-1}] = xy^{-1}x^{-1}y$.

- except the trefoil, are hyperbolic.
- except the trefoil and the figure-eight, are not fibered, but virtually fibered.
- ▶ representations of the fundamental group to SL₂(C) are described by Riley's method.

(日)
 (문)
 (문)
 (문)
 (문)

 Reidemeister torsion is a classical topological invariant, studied since 1930s.

- Reidemeister torsion is a classical topological invariant, studied since 1930s.
- ► Twisted Reidemeister torsion associated with a representation of the fundamental group to GL(n, F) has been studied since the early 1990's.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Reidemeister torsion is a classical topological invariant, studied since 1930s.
- ► Twisted Reidemeister torsion associated with a representation of the fundamental group to GL(n, F) has been studied since the early 1990's.

Here we are interested in the adjoints of representations to $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, in connections with hyperbolic structures and the theory of character varieties (as well as Chern-Simons theory).

- Reidemeister torsion is a classical topological invariant, studied since 1930s.
- ► Twisted Reidemeister torsion associated with a representation of the fundamental group to GL(n, F) has been studied since the early 1990's.

Here we are interested in the adjoints of representations to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, in connections with hyperbolic structures and the theory of character varieties (as well as Chern-Simons theory).

 Alexander polynomial = Reidemeister torsion (J. Milnor, 1962); twisted Alexander polynomial = twisted Reidemeister torsion (T. Kitano, 1996, Kirk-Livingston 1999).

- Reidemeister torsion is a classical topological invariant, studied since 1930s.
- ► Twisted Reidemeister torsion associated with a representation of the fundamental group to GL(n, F) has been studied since the early 1990's.

Here we are interested in the adjoints of representations to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, in connections with hyperbolic structures and the theory of character varieties (as well as Chern-Simons theory).

- Alexander polynomial = Reidemeister torsion (J. Milnor, 1962); twisted Alexander polynomial = twisted Reidemeister torsion (T. Kitano, 1996, Kirk-Livingston 1999).
- twisted Alexander polynomial detects the unknot, decides fiberness for some classes of knots (2006, 2007).

- Reidemeister torsion is a classical topological invariant, studied since 1930s.
- ► Twisted Reidemeister torsion associated with a representation of the fundamental group to GL(n, F) has been studied since the early 1990's.

Here we are interested in the adjoints of representations to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, in connections with hyperbolic structures and the theory of character varieties (as well as Chern-Simons theory).

- Alexander polynomial = Reidemeister torsion (J. Milnor, 1962); twisted Alexander polynomial = twisted Reidemeister torsion (T. Kitano, 1996, Kirk-Livingston 1999).
- twisted Alexander polynomial detects the unknot, decides fiberness for some classes of knots (2006, 2007).
- Sign-refined twisted torsion (in analog to V. Turaev's sign-refined torsion) was proposed by J. Dubois (2005).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

Let $C_* = (0 \to C_n \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \to 0)$ be a chain complex of finite dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{C} .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let $C_* = (0 \to C_n \stackrel{d_n}{\to} C_{n-1} \stackrel{d_{n-1}}{\to} \cdots \stackrel{d_1}{\to} C_0 \to 0)$ be a chain complex of finite dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{C} . Choose a basis \mathbf{c}^i for C_i , and a basis \mathbf{h}^i for $H_i = H_i(C_*)$. Let \mathbf{b}^i be a sequence of vectors in C_i such that $d_i(\mathbf{b}^i)$ is a basis of $B_{i-1} = \operatorname{im}(d_i)$. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}^i$ be a lift of \mathbf{h}^i in $Z_i = \operatorname{ker}(d_i)$.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Let $C_* = (0 \to C_n \stackrel{d_n}{\to} C_{n-1} \stackrel{d_{n-1}}{\to} \cdots \stackrel{d_1}{\to} C_0 \to 0)$ be a chain complex of finite dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{C} . Choose a basis \mathbf{c}^i for C_i , and a basis \mathbf{h}^i for $H_i = H_i(C_*)$. Let \mathbf{b}^i be a sequence of vectors in C_i such that $d_i(\mathbf{b}^i)$ is a basis of $B_{i-1} = \operatorname{im}(d_i)$. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}^i$ be a lift of \mathbf{h}^i in $Z_i = \operatorname{ker}(d_i)$. The sign-determined Reidemeister torsion of C_* is

$$\operatorname{Tor}(C_*,\mathbf{c}^*,\mathbf{h}^*) = (-1)^{|C_*|} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^n [d_{i+1}(\mathbf{b}^{i+1})\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}^i \mathbf{b}^i / \mathbf{c}^i]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

where $|C_*| = \sum_{k \ge 0} \alpha_k(C_*) \beta_k(C_*)$, $\alpha_i(C_*) = \sum_{k=0}^i \dim C_k$, $\beta_i(C_*) = \sum_{k=0}^i \dim H_k$.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへぐ

Let W be a finite CW-complex and ρ be an $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation of $\pi_1(W)$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let W be a finite CW-complex and ρ be an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation of $\pi_1(W)$. Define

$$\mathcal{C}_*(W;\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_
ho)=\mathcal{C}_*(\widetilde{W};\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W)]}\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_
ho.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Let W be a finite CW-complex and ρ be an $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation of $\pi_1(W)$. Define

$$\mathcal{C}_*(W;\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_
ho)=\mathcal{C}_*(\widetilde{W};\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W)]}\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_
ho.$$

Here:

 $C_*(\widetilde{W}; \mathbb{Z})$ is the complex of the universal cover with integer coefficients which is a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W)]$ -module, $Ad: \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})), A \mapsto Ad_A$ is the adjoint representation,

 $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_{\rho}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W)]$ -module via the composition $Ad \circ \rho$.

Let W be a finite CW-complex and ρ be an $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation of $\pi_1(W)$. Define

$$\mathcal{C}_*(W;\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_
ho)=\mathcal{C}_*(\widetilde{W};\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W)]}\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_
ho.$$

Here:

 $C_*(\widetilde{W}; \mathbb{Z})$ is the complex of the universal cover with integer coefficients which is a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W)]$ -module, $Ad: \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})), A \mapsto Ad_A$ is the adjoint

representation,

 $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_{\rho}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(W)]$ -module via the composition $Ad \circ \rho$. Let

$$\tau_0 = \operatorname{sgn} \left(\operatorname{Tor}(C_*(W; \mathbb{R}), c^*, h^*) \right) \in \{\pm 1\}.$$

Define the twisted Reidemeister torsion of W to be

$$\operatorname{TOR}(W;\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_\rho,\mathbf{h}^*,\mathfrak{o})=\tau_0\cdot\operatorname{Tor}(\mathcal{C}_*(W;\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_\rho),\mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{B}}^*,\mathbf{h}^*)\in\mathbb{C}^*.$$

Let E_k be the exterior of the knot K.

Let E_k be the exterior of the knot K. Roughly, with a notion of regularity there is a canonical way to choose bases for homologies.

Let E_k be the exterior of the knot K. Roughly, with a notion of regularity there is a canonical way to choose bases for homologies. We say that $\rho \in R^{irr}(\pi_1(K); SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is *regular* if dim $H_1^{\rho}(E_K) = 1$. For a regular representation ρ , we have

dim $H_1^{\rho}(E_{\mathcal{K}}) = 1$, dim $H_2^{\rho}(E_{\mathcal{K}}) = 1$ and $H_i^{\rho}(E_{\mathcal{K}}) = 0$ for all $j \neq 1, 2$.

Let E_k be the exterior of the knot K. Roughly, with a notion of regularity there is a canonical way to choose bases for homologies. We say that $\rho \in R^{irr}(\pi_1(K); \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is *regular* if dim $H_1^{\rho}(E_K) = 1$.

For a regular representation ρ , we have

$$\dim H_1^\rho(E_{\mathcal K})=1, \ \dim H_2^\rho(E_{\mathcal K})=1 \ \text{and} \ H_j^\rho(E_{\mathcal K})=0 \ \text{for all} \ j\neq 1,2.$$

Let λ be the longitude of K. We say that an irreducible representation $\rho : \pi_1(K) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is λ -regular, if (J. Porti 1997):

1. the inclusion $\iota \colon \lambda \hookrightarrow E_K$ induces a *surjective* map

$$\iota^* \colon H_1^{\rho}(\lambda) \to H_1^{\rho}(E_{\mathcal{K}}),$$

2. if trace $(\rho(\pi_1(\partial E_{\mathcal{K}}))) \subset \{\pm 2\}$, then $\rho(\lambda) \neq \pm 1$.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ■ めんの

Let $\rho: \pi_1(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a λ -regular representation. There is a canonical way to choose a basis \mathfrak{o} for the homology with real coefficients, and a basis $\{h_{(1)}^{\rho}(\lambda), h_{(2)}^{\rho}\}$ for the twisted homology.

Let $\rho: \pi_1(K) \to \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a λ -regular representation. There is a canonical way to choose a basis \mathfrak{o} for the homology with real coefficients, and a basis $\{h_{(1)}^{\rho}(\lambda), h_{(2)}^{\rho}\}$ for the twisted homology. The *Reidemeister torsion* \mathbb{T}_{λ}^K at ρ is defined to be

$$\mathbb{T}^{K}_{\lambda}(\rho) = \operatorname{TOR}\left(E_{K}; \mathfrak{sl}_{2}(\mathbb{C})_{\rho}, \{h^{\rho}_{(1)}(\lambda), h^{\rho}_{(2)}\}, \mathfrak{o}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{*}.$$

Let $\rho: \pi_1(K) \to \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a λ -regular representation. There is a canonical way to choose a basis \mathfrak{o} for the homology with real coefficients, and a basis $\{h_{(1)}^{\rho}(\lambda), h_{(2)}^{\rho}\}$ for the twisted homology. The *Reidemeister torsion* \mathbb{T}_{λ}^K at ρ is defined to be

$$\mathbb{T}^{\mathcal{K}}_{\lambda}(\rho) = \operatorname{TOR}\left(E_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathfrak{sl}_{2}(\mathbb{C})_{\rho}, \{h^{\rho}_{(1)}(\lambda), h^{\rho}_{(2)}\}, \mathfrak{o}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{*}.$$

This torsion (defined by Porti, Dubois) is a numerical invariant, associated with not necessary *acyclic* (i.e. exact) chain complexes, generally not easy to compute. It has role in the asymptotic expansions of the colored Jones polynomial (Dubois-Kashaev, 2007).

We study another torsion which is a function of one variable, associated to *acyclic* chain complex, which is easier to compute.

We study another torsion which is a function of one variable, associated to *acyclic* chain complex, which is easier to compute. Let the CW-complex W be E_K and the homomorphism $\alpha : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$ be the abelianization.

We study another torsion which is a function of one variable, associated to *acyclic* chain complex, which is easier to compute. Let the CW-complex W be E_K and the homomorphism $\alpha : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$ be the abelianization. Define the $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})_{\rho}$ -twisted chain complex of W to be

$$C_*(W; \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})_
ho) = C_*(\widetilde{W}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{Ad \circ
ho \otimes lpha} (\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t)).$$

The sign-defined Reidemeister torsion of W with respect to this $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -twisted chain complex is defined to be

$$\mathrm{TOR}(W;\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})_\rho,\mathbf{h}^*,\mathfrak{o})=\tau_0\cdot\mathrm{Tor}(\mathcal{C}_*(W;\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})_\rho,\mathbf{c}_\mathcal{B}^*,\mathbf{h}^*))\in\mathbb{C}(t)^*.$$

We study another torsion which is a function of one variable, associated to *acyclic* chain complex, which is easier to compute. Let the CW-complex W be E_K and the homomorphism $\alpha : \pi_1(K) \to \mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$ be the abelianization. Define the $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})_{\rho}$ -twisted chain complex of W to be

$$C_*(W; \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})_{
ho}) = C_*(\widetilde{W}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{Ad \circ \rho \otimes \alpha} (\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t)).$$

The sign-defined Reidemeister torsion of W with respect to this $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -twisted chain complex is defined to be

$$\operatorname{TOR}(W;\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})_\rho,\mathbf{h}^*,\mathfrak{o})=\tau_0\cdot\operatorname{Tor}(\mathcal{C}_*(W;\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})_\rho,\mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{B}}^*,\mathbf{h}^*))\in\mathbb{C}(t)^*.$$

If ρ is λ -regular, then all homology groups $H_*(E_K; \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_{\rho})$ vanishes, the chain $C_*(W; \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_{\rho})$ is acyclic (Y. Yamaguchi, 2005), and we define the twisted Reidemeister torsion polynomial at ρ to be

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{K}}_{\lambda}(
ho)=\mathrm{TOR}(W;\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_{2}(\mathbb{C})_{
ho},\emptyset,\mathfrak{o})\in\mathbb{C}(t)^{*}.$$

The torsion is also determined up to a factor t_{a}^{m} where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

Theorem (Yamaguchi (2005))

The derivative with respect to t of $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{K}(\rho)$ at t = 1 is equal to $-\mathbb{T}_{\lambda}^{K}(\rho)$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

How to compute $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{K}}(\rho)$ from Fox free differential calculus

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

How to compute $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{K}(\rho)$ from Fox free differential calculus

Choose and fix a Wirtinger presentation

$$\pi_1(K) = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \mid r_1, \ldots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

How to compute $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{K}}(\rho)$ from Fox free differential calculus

Choose and fix a Wirtinger presentation

$$\pi_1(K) = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \mid r_1, \ldots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

Let W_K be the 2-dimensional CW–complex constructed from the presentation.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

How to compute $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{K}(\rho)$ from Fox free differential calculus

Choose and fix a Wirtinger presentation

$$\pi_1(K) = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \mid r_1, \ldots, r_{k-1} \rangle$$

Let W_K be the 2-dimensional CW–complex constructed from the presentation.

F. Waldhausen proved that the Whitehead group of a knot group is trivial. As a result, W_K has the same simple homotopy type as E_K . So, the CW-complex W_K can be used to compute the twisted Reidemeister torsion polynomial.

The twisted complex $C_*(W_K; \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})_\rho)$ becomes:

 $0 \to (\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t))^{k-1} \stackrel{\partial_2}{\to} (\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t))^k \stackrel{\partial_1}{\to} \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t) \to 0.$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

The twisted complex $C_*(W_K; \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})_\rho)$ becomes:

$$0 o \left(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t)\right)^{k-1} \stackrel{\partial_2}{ o} \left(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t)\right)^k \stackrel{\partial_1}{ o} \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t) o 0.$$

Where, writing Φ for $(Ad \circ \rho) \otimes \alpha$:

$$\partial_1 = (\Phi(x_1 - 1), \Phi(x_2 - 1), \dots, \Phi(x_k - 1)).$$

and ∂_2 is expressed using the Fox's free differential calculus

$$\partial_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial x_1}) & \dots & \Phi(\frac{\partial r_{k-1}}{\partial x_1}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Phi(\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial x_k}) & \dots & \Phi(\frac{\partial r_{k-1}}{\partial x_k}) \end{pmatrix}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The twisted complex $C_*(W_K; \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2(\mathbb{C})_{\rho})$ becomes:

$$0 o \left(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t)\right)^{k-1} \stackrel{\partial_2}{ o} \left(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t)\right)^k \stackrel{\partial_1}{ o} \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(t) o 0.$$

Where, writing Φ for $(Ad \circ \rho) \otimes \alpha$:

$$\partial_1 = (\Phi(x_1 - 1), \Phi(x_2 - 1), \dots, \Phi(x_k - 1)).$$

and ∂_2 is expressed using the Fox's free differential calculus

$$\partial_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial x_1}) & \dots & \Phi(\frac{\partial r_{k-1}}{\partial x_1}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Phi(\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial x_k}) & \dots & \Phi(\frac{\partial r_{k-1}}{\partial x_k}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $A^1_{K,Ad\circ\rho}$ denote the $3(k-1) \times 3(k-1)$ -matrix obtained from the matrix of ∂_2 by deleting its first row.

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲□ > ▲□ >

The torsion polynomial $\mathcal{T}^K_\lambda(\rho)$ can be described, up to a factor t^m $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$ as:

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{K}}_{\lambda}(
ho) = au_0 \cdot rac{\det \mathcal{A}^1_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{A} d \circ
ho}}{\det(\Phi(x_1-1))}.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The torsion polynomial $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{K}(\rho)$ can be described, up to a factor t^{m} $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$ as:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{K}}(\rho) = \tau_0 \cdot rac{\det A^1_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{A} d \circ
ho}}{\det(\Phi(x_1 - 1))}.$$

This rational function has the first order zero at t = 1. The twisted Reidemeister torsion $\mathbb{T}_{\lambda}^{K}(\rho)$ is expressed as

$$\mathbb{T}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{K}}(\rho) = -\lim_{t \to 1} \frac{\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{K}}(\rho)}{(t-1)} = -\lim_{t \to 1} \left(\tau_0 \cdot \frac{\det A^1_{\mathcal{K}, Ad \circ \rho}}{(t-1)\det(\Phi(x_1-1))} \right).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Formulas for the torsion of twist knots

Formulas for the torsion of twist knots

Using Riley's method we can parametrize a non-abelian $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation ρ by two parameters u and s as follows:

$$ho(x) = \left(egin{array}{cc} \sqrt{s} & 1/\sqrt{s} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{s} \end{array}
ight), \
ho(y) = \left(egin{array}{cc} \sqrt{s} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{s}u & 1/\sqrt{s} \end{array}
ight).$$

Let $W = \rho(w)$. Then s and u satisfy Riley's equation $\phi_{J(2,2m)}(s, u) = W_{1,1} + (1-s)W_{1,2} = 0.$

Formulas for the torsion of twist knots

Using Riley's method we can parametrize a non-abelian $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation ρ by two parameters u and s as follows:

$$\rho(x) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{s} & 1/\sqrt{s} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{s} \end{array} \right), \ \rho(y) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{s} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{s}u & 1/\sqrt{s} \end{array} \right).$$

Let $W = \rho(w)$. Then s and u satisfy Riley's equation $\phi_{J(2,2m)}(s, u) = W_{1,1} + (1-s)W_{1,2} = 0.$ Let ξ_{\pm} are the eigenvalues of W, given by explicit expressions in terms of s and u.

Theorem

Let m be a positive integer.

1. The Reidemeister torsion $\mathbb{T}^{J(2,2m)}_{\lambda}(\rho)$ is:

$$\frac{\tau_0}{s+s^{-1}-2}\left[C_1(m)\xi_+^{m-1}t_m+C_2(m)\xi_-^{m-1}t_m+C_3(m)\right].$$

2. Similarly,
$$\mathbb{T}_{\lambda}^{J(2,-2m)}(\rho)$$
 is

$$\frac{\tau_0}{s+s^{-1}-2}\left[-C_1(-m)\xi_+^{-m-1}t_m-C_2(-m)\xi_-^{-m-1}t_m+C_3(-m)\right]$$

Where $C_1(m)$, $C_2(m)$, $C_3(m)$, t_m , ξ_+ , ξ_- are explicit expressions in terms of s, u, m (the formulas are available in our paper).

Torsion at the holonomy representation

Torsion at the holonomy representation

Formulas of the twisted Reidemeister torsion associated to twist knots are complicated.

But formulas for the twisted Reidemeister torsion at holonomy representations are simpler (could be efficiently computed using computer) .

Torsion at the holonomy representation

Formulas of the twisted Reidemeister torsion associated to twist knots are complicated.

But formulas for the twisted Reidemeister torsion at holonomy representations are simpler (could be efficiently computed using computer) .

Every twist knots except the trefoil knot are hyperbolic.

The exterior of a hyperbolic knot admits a hyperbolic structure which determines a unique discrete faithful representation of the knot group in $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$, called the *holonomy representation*. Such a representation lifts to $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and determines two representations in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Such lifts are λ -regular representations.

Lemma

Let K be a hyperbolic two-bridge knot and suppose that its knot group admits a presentation $\pi_1(K) = \langle x, y | wx = yw \rangle$. If ρ_0 denotes a lift in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of the holonomy representation, then ρ_0 is given by, up to conjugation,

$$x\mapsto\pm\left(egin{array}{cc} 1&1\\ 0&1\end{array}
ight),\quad y\mapsto\pm\left(egin{array}{cc} 1&0\\ -u&1\end{array}
ight),$$

where u is a root of Riley's equation $\phi_K(1, u) = 0$ of K.

Theorem Let m > 0, then 1.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{T}_{\lambda}^{J(2,2m)}(\rho_{u}) &= \frac{-\tau_{0}}{u^{2}+4} \left[\left(4 + m(u^{2}-4u+8) \right) t_{m}(\xi_{+}^{m}+\xi_{-}^{m}) \right. \\ &+ \left(t_{m}(\xi_{+}^{m-1}+\xi_{-}^{m-1}) - 1 \right) (u^{2}-4)m \\ &+ \left(-5u^{2}-8u+4 \right) t_{m}^{2} \right], \end{split}$$

	-		
	-		
2	-		1

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{T}_{\lambda}^{J(2,-2m)}(\rho_{u}) &= \frac{-\tau_{0}}{u^{2}+4} \left[\left(-4 + m(u^{2}-4u+8) \right) t_{m}(\xi_{+}^{m}+\xi_{-}^{m}) \right. \\ &+ \left(t_{m}(\xi_{+}^{m+1}+\xi_{-}^{m+1}) + 1 \right) (u^{2}-4)m \\ &+ \left(-5u^{2}-8u+4 \right) t_{m}^{2} \right]. \end{split}$$

Asymptotic behavior of torsion at the holonomy

Asymptotic behavior of torsion at the holonomy



Figure: Graph of $|\mathbb{T}_{\lambda}^{J(2,-2m)}(\rho_0)|$ and $f(m) = C(\sharp J(2,-2m))^3$, where $\sharp K$ is the number of crossings of K.

Observation The sequence $(|\mathbb{T}_{\lambda}^{J(2,-2m)}(\rho_0)|)_{m \ge 1}$ has the same behavior as the sequence $(C(\sharp J(2,-2m))^3)_{m \ge 1}$, for some constant C.

Observation The sequence $(|\mathbb{T}_{\lambda}^{J(2,-2m)}(\rho_0)|)_{m \ge 1}$ has the same behavior as the sequence $(C(\sharp J(2,-2m))^3)_{m \ge 1}$, for some constant C. Twist knots can be obtained by surgery on the Whitehead link. The above observation can be justifed by using the Product Formula for Reidemeister torsion.

We do not know yet the precise value of the constant C.

Observation The sequence $(|\mathbb{T}_{\lambda}^{J(2,-2m)}(\rho_0)|)_{m \ge 1}$ has the same behavior as the sequence $(C(\sharp J(2,-2m))^3)_{m \ge 1}$, for some constant C. Twist knots can be obtained by surgery on the Whitehead link. The above observation can be justifed by using the Product Formula for Reidemeister torsion.

We do not know yet the precise value of the constant C.

Thank you!