# Tutorial lecture 3 Reducing the dimension of the parameter space: Factor Models

Modeling of comovement or of relations between single time series in multivariate time series. Here we consider (static and dynamic)

- Principal component models
- Frisch or idiosyncratic noise model
- Reduced rank regression

### **3.1 The basic framework:**

We restrict ourselves to the stationary case:

$$y_t = \Lambda(z)\xi_t + u_t, \quad \mathbb{E}\xi_t u'_s = 0 \tag{1}$$

#### where

$$y_t$$
  

$$\xi_t$$
  

$$\Lambda(z) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda_j z^j, \Lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$$
  

$$\hat{y}_t = \Lambda(z)\xi_t$$
  

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0$$

- . observations (n–dim.)
- ... factors (unobserved) ( $r \ll n-dim$ .)
- ... factor loadings
- ... latent variables
- ... (quasi-static) case.

$$f_y = \Lambda f_{\xi} \Lambda^* + f_u \tag{2}$$

Ass.:  $f_y(\lambda) > 0$ ,  $f_{\xi}(\lambda) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda = r$ 

for the quasi-static case we obtain

$$\Sigma_y = \Lambda \Sigma_{\xi} \Lambda^* + \Sigma_u \quad \text{where e.g. } \Sigma_y = \mathbb{E} y_t y_t'$$
 (3)

Identifiability questions:

- Identifiability of  $f_{\hat{y}} = \Lambda f_{\xi} \Lambda^*$  and  $f_u$
- Identifiability of  $\Lambda$  and  $f_{\xi}$

Estimation of integers and real-valued parameters:

- Estimation of r
- Estimation of the free parameters in  $\Lambda$ ,  $f_{\xi}$ ,  $f_u$
- Estimation of  $\xi_t$

stability

Forecasting model for factors:

$$\xi_{t+1} = a(z)\xi_t + d(z)x_t + \epsilon_{t+1}, \quad (\epsilon_t) \text{ white noise, } \mathbb{E}x_t\epsilon'_s = 0 \tag{4}$$
condition: det $(I - za(z)) \neq 0 \quad |z| < 1$ 

### **3.2 Principal Component Analysis**

1. The quasi-static case:

Eigenvalue decomposition of  $\Sigma_y$ :

$$\Sigma_y = O\Omega O' = \underbrace{O_1 \Omega_1 O'_1}_{\Sigma_{\hat{y}}} + \underbrace{O_2 \Omega_2 O'_2}_{\Sigma_u},$$

where  $\Omega_1$  is the  $r \times r$ -dim. diogonal matrix containing the r largest eigenvalues of  $\Sigma_y$ . This decomposition is unique for  $\omega_r > \omega_{r+1}$ . A special choice for the factor loading matrix is  $\Lambda = O_1$ , then

 $y_t = O_1 \xi_t + u_t$ 

 $\xi_t = O'_1 y_t, \, u_t = y_t - O_1 O'_1 y_t = O_2 O'_2 y_t$ 

Note: Factors are linear functions of  $y_t$ .

### **Estimation:**

Determine r from  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n$ Estimate  $\Lambda, \Sigma_{\xi}, \Sigma_u, \xi_t$  from the eigenvalue decomposition of  $\hat{\Sigma}_y = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t y'_t$ 

2. The dynamic case: We commence from the spectral density  $f_y$  rather than from  $\Sigma_y$ 

$$f_y(\lambda) = \underbrace{O_1(\lambda)\Omega_1(\lambda)O_1^*(\lambda)}_{f_{\hat{y}}(\lambda)} + \underbrace{O_2(\lambda)\Omega_2(\lambda)O_2^*(\lambda)}_{f_u(\lambda)}$$

then

$$y_t = O_1(z)\xi_t + u_t$$

 $\xi_t = O_1^*(z)y_t$ 

Note: Here  $\mathbb{E}u'_t u_t$  is minimal among all decompositions where  $\operatorname{rk}(\Omega(z)) = r$  a.e.

Again  $\xi_t = O_1^*(z)y_t$ , i.e. factors are linear transformations of  $(y_t)$ <u>Problem</u>: In general, the filter  $O_1^*(z)$  will be non-causal and non-rational. Thus, naive forecasting may lead to infeasible forecasts for  $y_t$ . Restriction to causal filters is required.

In estimation, we commence from a spectral estimate.

## **3.3 The Frisch model**

Here the additional assumption  $f_u$  is diagonal is imposed in (1).

Interpretation: Factors describe the common effects, the noise  $u_t$  takes into account the individual effects, e.g. factors describe markets and sector specific movements and the noise the firm specific movements of stock returns.

For given  $\hat{y}_t$  the components of  $y_t$  are conditionally uncorrelated.

### 1. The quasi-static case:

Identifiability: More demanding compared to PCA

$$\Sigma_{y} = \underbrace{\Lambda \Sigma_{\xi} \Lambda'}_{\Sigma_{\hat{y}}} + \Sigma_{u}, \quad (\Sigma_{u}) \text{ digaonal}$$
(5)

Identifiability of  $\Sigma_{\hat{y}}$ :

Uniqueness of solution of (5) for given n and r, the number of equations (i.e. the number of free elements in  $\Sigma_y$ ) is  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ . The number of free parameters on the r.h.s. is  $nr - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + n$ . Now let

$$B(r) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - (nr - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + n) = \frac{1}{2}((n-r)^2 - n - r)$$
ECONOMETRIC FORECASTING AND HIGH-FREQUENCY DATA ANALYSIS, Singapore, May 2004

then the following cases may occur:

B(r) < 0: In this case we might expect non-uniqueness of the decomposition  $B(r) \ge 0$ : In this case we might expect uniqueness of the decomposition

The argument can be made more precise, in particular, for B(r) > 0 generic uniqueness can be shown.

Given  $\Sigma_y$ , if  $\Sigma_{\xi} = I_r$  is assumed, then  $\Lambda$  is unique up to postmultiplication by orthogonal matrices (rotation).

Note that, as opposed to PCA, here the factors  $\xi_t$ , in general, cannot be obtained as a function of the observations  $y_t$ . Thus, the factors have to be approximated by a linear function of  $y_t$ . The following two approximations are used:

1. The regression method investigated by Thomson:

The idea, here, is to estimate  $\xi_t$  by a linear function of  $y_t$  such that the variance of the estimation error,  $\xi_t - \hat{\xi}_t$ , is minimal. Therefore,  $\hat{\xi}_t$  is given by the regression of  $\xi_t$  onto  $y_t$ ,

$$\hat{\xi}_t^T = \Lambda' \Sigma_y^{-1} y_t, \tag{6}$$

since by the above assumptions

$$\mathbb{E}y_t\xi'_t = \mathbb{E}[(\Lambda\xi_t + u_t)\xi'_t] = \Lambda.$$
(7)

As can easily be seen, this estimator is biased in a certain sense, since  $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\xi}_t^T | \xi_t) = \Lambda' \Sigma_y^{-1} (\Lambda \xi_t + \mathbb{E}(u_t | \xi_t)) \neq \xi_t.$ 

#### 2. Bartlett's method:

In his method Bartlett suggests to minimize the sum of the standardized residuals with respect to  $\hat{\xi}_t$ , i.e.,

$$\min_{\hat{\xi}_t} (y_t - \Lambda \hat{\xi}_t)' \Sigma_u^{-1} (y_t - \Lambda \hat{\xi}_t).$$
(8)

Thus, the estimate for  $\xi_t$  is given by

$$\hat{\xi}_t^B = (\Lambda' \Sigma_u^{-1} \Lambda)^{-1} \Lambda' \Sigma_u^{-1} y_t.$$
(9)

This estimate is unbiased in the same sense as above, if  $\mathbb{E}(u_t|\xi_t) = 0$  holds true, since  $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\xi}_t^B|\xi_t) = (\Lambda' \Sigma_u^{-1} \Lambda)^{-1} \Lambda' \Sigma_u^{-1} (\Lambda \xi_t + \mathbb{E}(u_t|\xi_t)) = \xi_t$ .

**Estimation:** 

If  $\xi_t$  and  $u_t$  were Gaussian white noise, then the (negative logarithm of the) likelihood function has the form

$$L_T(\Lambda, \Sigma_u) = \frac{1}{2} T \log(\det(\Lambda\Lambda' + \Sigma_u)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t' (\Lambda\Lambda' + \Sigma_u)^{-1} y_t = \frac{1}{2} T \log(\det(\Lambda\Lambda' + \Sigma_u)) + \frac{1}{2} T tr((\Lambda\Lambda' + \Sigma_u)^{-1} \hat{\Sigma}_y).$$
(10)

2. The dynamic case: Here Equation (1) together with the assumption

 $f_u$  is diagonal.

is considered. Again  $u_t$  represents the individual influences and  $\xi_t$  the comovements. The only difference to the previous section is that  $\Lambda$  is now a dynamic filter and the components of  $u_t$  are orthogonal to each other for all leads and lags.

There are still many unsolved problems.

## **3.4 Reduced Rank Regression model**

Here we consider a regression model of the form

$$y_{t+1} = F \underbrace{G\tilde{x}_t}_{=\xi_{t+1}} + u_{t+1}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{Z},$$
(11)

where the  $\tilde{m}$ -dimensional vector process  $(\tilde{x}_t)$  of explanatory variables contains possibly lagged inputs  $x_t$  and lagged observed variables  $y_t$  and  $(u_t)$ denotes the n-dimensional noise process. In addition we assume:

(i)  $(x_t)$  and  $(u_t)$  are uncorrelated, i.e.  $\mathbb{E}x_t u'_s = 0 \ \forall s, t$ 

- (ii)  $(x_t)$  is stationary with a non-singular spectral density
- (iii)  $(u_t)$  is white noise with  $\mathbb{E}u_t u'_t > 0$
- (iv) a stability assumption

Assumption:  $\beta = FG$  is of rank  $r < \min(n, \tilde{m})$ . Thus,  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$  and  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times \tilde{m}}$  and  $G\tilde{x}_t$  can be interpreted as the *r*-dimensional factor process  $(\xi_{t+1})$ , the matrix *F* can be interpreted as the corresponding factor loading matrix.

Maximum likelihood estimate is obtained by an OLS estimation of  $\beta$  followed by a weighted singular value decomposition, where only the largest r singular values are kept.

Identifiability: *F* is unique only up to postmultiplication by a nonsingular matrix and an analogous statement holds for *G* and  $\xi_{t+1}$ .

Singular value decomposition of  $\beta = U\Sigma V'$ , where U and V are orthogonal matrices of dimensions n and  $\tilde{m}$ , resp., and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \tilde{m}}$  is the matrix of singular values,  $\sigma_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, \min(n, \tilde{m})$ , arranged in decreasing order. The strictly positive singular values are assumed to be different and the singular vectors, corresponding to these positive singular values, are unique up to sign change and suitably normalized in order to obtain uniqueness.

*Direct* procedure: Let  $\hat{\beta}$  denote the OLS estimator of  $\beta$  and let  $\hat{\beta} = \hat{U}\hat{\Sigma}\hat{V}'$  denote its singular value decomposition. The reduced rank estimator of  $\beta$ , denoted as *direct* estimator, then is given by

$$\hat{\hat{\beta}}_D = \hat{U}_1 \hat{\Sigma}_1 \hat{V}_1' \tag{12}$$

where  $\hat{\Sigma}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$  is the matrix formed from the *r* largest singular values of  $\hat{\Sigma}$  and  $\hat{U}_1$  and  $\hat{V}_1$ , resp., are formed from the first *r* columns of  $\hat{U}$  and  $\hat{V}$ , resp.

*Indirect* procedure: SVD for a suitably weighted matrix. For a canonical correlations analysis one would consider

$$\Sigma_{y}^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_{t+1} = \Sigma_{y}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\beta\Sigma_{\tilde{x}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\Sigma_{\tilde{x}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{x}_{t} + \Sigma_{y}^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_{t+1}.$$
(13)

Replacing the population second moments by their sample counterparts, consider the SVD

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{y}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{\beta}\hat{\Sigma}_{\tilde{x}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \hat{U}\hat{\Sigma}\hat{V}' \tag{14}$$

where  $\hat{\beta}$  is the least squares estimator. Note,  $\hat{U}$ ,  $\hat{\Sigma}$  and  $\hat{V}$  are different from  $\hat{U}$ ,  $\hat{\Sigma}$  and  $\hat{V}$  mentioned above. Retaining only the *r* largest singular values one obtains (using an obvious notation)

$$\hat{\hat{\beta}}_{I} = \hat{\Sigma}_{y}^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{U}_{1} \hat{\Sigma}_{1} \hat{V}_{1}' \hat{\Sigma}_{\tilde{x}}^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$
(15)

where again  $\hat{U}_1$ ,  $\hat{\Sigma}_1$  and  $\hat{V}_1$  are different from  $\hat{U}_1$ ,  $\hat{\Sigma}_1$  and  $\hat{V}_1$  in Equation (12). Furthermore, note that (15) is the ML estimate if there are no lagged variables of  $y_t$  contained in  $\tilde{x}_t$ .

Model specification: Selection of input variables out of a possibly large set of <sup>19</sup> candidate inputs, specification of the dynamics of the inputs and outputs and the number of factors. AIC or BIC-type criterion of the form

$$AIC(\tilde{m}, r) = \log \det \hat{\Sigma}_{u(\tilde{m}, r)} + d(\tilde{m}, r) \frac{2}{T}$$

$$BIC(\tilde{m}, r) = \log \det \hat{\Sigma}_{u(\tilde{m}, r)} + d(\tilde{m}, r) \frac{\log T}{T},$$

where  $d(\tilde{m}, r) = nr + r\tilde{m} - r^2$  is the number of free parameters in  $\beta$  for a given specification and  $\hat{\Sigma}_{u(\tilde{m},r)}$  is the one step ahead (in sample) prediction error variance covariance matrix corresponding to the specification indicated and to one of the estimation procedures described above.