On the Relationships Between Population Characteristics and QTL Mapping

Benjamin Yakir

Department of Statistics The Hebrew University

IMS, Singapore, 2009

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

Outline of the talk

<u>Models</u>

- A model for the response.
- A model for pedigrees.
- A model for the population.

Score statistics

- Association and linkage.
- The noncentrality parameter.

Population covariance

- The noncentrality parameter in the normal case.
- The structure of the covariance and the resulting effect.

Genetic model for the trait

The phenotype

- Y = the response in a GLM regression.
- $\theta = h(\eta) =$ the natural parameter. $\eta(\beta) =$ a linear predictor.
- $\ell(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [Y_i \theta_i \psi(\theta_i)] / \phi$ = the conditional likelihood of the sample, given the predictors.

The genotype

- Q = the genotype at the investigated locus.
- G = the genetic background, independent of Q.
- $\eta_i = \beta Q_i + z_i + G_i$, for z deterministic predictors.
- The genetic predictors are correlated.
- Q and G share the same correlation structure.

A model for pedigrees

The pedigree graph

- A pedigree is composed of founders and non-founders.
- Founder gametes = Independence + Hardy-Weinberg.
- Segregation to non-founders = Mendel rules.

The sample

- The sample is a sub-collection of pedigree members.
- Y and Q are measured over the sample. Correlation tested.

- $\mathbb{E}(Q_i|\nu) = \mathbb{E}(Q_i), \ \mathbb{V}ar(Q_i) = V(0).$
- \mathbb{C} ov $(Q_i, Q_j | \nu) = \hat{\Phi}_{ij} V(0).$
- $\hat{\Phi}_{ij}$ = identity by decent (IBD) between *i* and *j*. ν = inheritance vector.

A population model

A coalescent tree

- The gametes of the sample coalesce to the most recent common ancestor (MRCA).
- Mutations emerge as a Poisson process over the tree.
- A mutation = an ergodic transformation of Q.

The sample

- The sample corresponds to the leaves of the tree.
- The marginal distributions of genotypes are fixed.

•
$$\mathbb{C}$$
ov $(Q_i, Q_j | \mu) = V(m_{ij}), V(m) \downarrow 0.$

• $m_{ij} = \#\{\text{mutations}\}\$ between *i* and *j* and their MRCA.

A population-pedigree model

The graph

- The population model describes the production of the founders' gametes.
- The pedigree graph describes the inheritance of these gametes to the sample.

The sample genotypes

Conditional on the mutation process and the inheritance vector:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(Q_i|\nu,\mu) &= \mathbb{E}(Q_i) \;, \\ \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}(Q_i|\nu,\mu) &= V(0) \;, \\ \mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}(Q_i,Q_j|\nu,\mu) &= \hat{\Phi}_{ij}V(0) + [1-\hat{\Phi}_{ij}]V(m_{f(i),f(j)}) \\ &= \hat{\Phi}_{ij}[V(0) - V(m_{f(i),f(j)})] + V(m_{f(i),f(j)}) \;. \end{split}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The likelihood function

Joint log-likelihood

$$\ell(eta; Y, Q) = \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\ell(eta)} \middle| Y, Q \right]$$

<u>Association</u> (conditional on Y)

$$\ell(eta; Q \mid Y) = \log \mathbb{E} ig[e^{\ell(eta)} ig| Y, Q ig] - \log \mathbb{E} ig[e^{\ell(eta)} ig| Y ig]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Linkage (conditional on Y)

$$\ell(eta;
u \mid Y) = \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\ell(eta)} \mid Y,
u
ight] - \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\ell(eta)} \mid Y
ight]$$

 u can be replaced by μ or by the pair u, μ).

Score statistic for association

- Score = derivative of the log-likelihood, evaluated at $\beta = 0$.
- If $\beta = 0$ then (Y, G) and Q are independent.
- Let $\epsilon_i = \epsilon(Y_i, z_i, G_i) = [Y_i \psi'(h(\eta_i(0))]h'(\eta_i(0))/\phi.$

Then

$$\dot{\ell}(0; Q \mid Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_i \mid Y) \{ Q_i - \mathbb{E}(Q_i) \}$$

= $\langle \mathbb{E}(\epsilon \mid Y), Q - \mathbb{E}(Q) \rangle$,

and

$$\mathbb{V}ar(\dot{\ell}(0; Q \mid Y)) = tr(AB) ,$$
 for $A = \mathbb{V}ar(\mathbb{E}[\epsilon|Y])$, $B = \mathbb{V}ar(Q)$.

Score statistic for linkage

- $\dot{\ell}(0; \nu \mid Y) \equiv 0$, since $\mathbb{E}(Q|\nu) = \mathbb{E}(Q)$.
- The local behavior of the log-likelihood is determined by the second derivative:

$$\begin{split} \ddot{\ell}(\beta_0;\nu \mid Y) &= 2\sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_i \epsilon_j \mid Y] \big\{ \mathbb{E}[Q_i Q_j \mid \nu] - \mathbb{E}[Q_i Q_j] \big\} \\ &= \big\langle \operatorname{vec}(\mathbb{E}[\epsilon \epsilon' \mid Y]), \operatorname{vec}(\mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}[Q \mid \nu] - \mathbb{V}\operatorname{ar}[Q]) \big\rangle \end{split}$$

The same argument applies to the population and the population-pedigree model when ν is replaced by μ or by ν and μ, respectively.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Statistical power

The noncentrality parameter

- The noncentrality parameter = expectation of the test statistic (squared).
- A major factor in the determination of the power.
- Local alternatives + score \Rightarrow ncp $\propto \mathbb{V}ar(\dot{\ell}(0; Q | Y))/n$.

Normal response

Assume normal family, regular linear regression.

•
$$\operatorname{Var}(G) = \sigma_g^2 \cdot \operatorname{Var}(Q) = \sigma_g^2 \operatorname{B}.$$

•
$$\operatorname{Var}(Y) = \Sigma = \sigma_e^2 \operatorname{I} + \sigma_g^2 \operatorname{B}.$$

•
$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{V}ar(\mathbb{E}[\epsilon|Y]) = \Sigma^{-1}.$$

Facts about matrices

Eigenvalues

- λ_i , $1 \le i \le n$, eigenvalues of B.
- B non-negative definite $\Rightarrow \lambda_i \ge 0$.
- B, Σ share eigenvectors \Rightarrow tr(AB) = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{\lambda_i / [\sigma_e^2 + \sigma_g^2 \lambda_i]\}$.
- If B_1 , B_2 non-negative definite $\Rightarrow \lambda_i(B_1) \le \lambda_i(B_1 + B_2) \le \lambda_i(B_1) + \lambda_1(B_2)$

Rank-one modifications

• B = B₀ + *bb'*,
$$\Sigma = \Sigma_0 + \sigma_g^2 bb'$$
.
• A = Σ^{-1} , A₀ = Σ_0^{-1} .
• tr(AB) = tr(A₀B₀) + $[1 - \sigma_g^2 b' A_0 B_0 A_0 b] / [1 + \sigma_g^2 b' A_0 b]$.

Population covariance structure

<u>Covariance</u>

- $V_{ij} = E[V(m_{ij})] = \text{covariance between } i \text{ and } j.$
- A decreasing function of the time to the MRCA of i and j.

•
$$V_{ii} = V(0) =$$
the variance.

A sum of rank-one matrices

- *i* and *j* coalesced by time *t*, *h* has not \Rightarrow $V_{ih} = V_{jh}$.
- τ_t = time between consecutive coalescent events.
- $\mathbf{v}_t = \mathrm{E} \left[V \left(m(2 \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \tau_j) \right) \right] \mathrm{E} \left[V \left(m(2 \sum_{j=1}^{t} \tau_j) \right) \right].$

- $1_{t,k}$ = indicator of a coalescent set.
- B = I · v_T + $\sum_{t=2}^{T} \{ v_{t-1} [\sum_{i=1}^{K_t} (1_{t,i}) (1_{t,i})'] \}.$

The effect of population covariance

A bound on the trace

•
$$\epsilon > 0$$
, given.
• $T(\epsilon) \Leftrightarrow \sum_{t=T(\epsilon)}^{T} \{ v_t \max_{1 \le i \le K_t}(n_{t,i}) \} \le \epsilon.$
 $\Rightarrow \operatorname{tr}(AB) \le \operatorname{rank}\left(\sum_{t=2}^{T(\epsilon)} \{ v_{t-1} [\sum_{i=1}^{K_t} (1_{t,i})(1_{t,i})'] \} \right) + \epsilon n$

Corollary

- Assume $v_t \leq c\tau_t$, for all t.
- For the Kingman coalescent T = n and $T(\epsilon) = c\sqrt{n \log n}$.
- ncp of population model is o(1).
- ncp of population-pedigree model = ncp pedigree model with IBD probabilities decreased by $1 E[V(m_{f(i),f(j)})]/V(0)$.