

# Dual Pairs

## and Kostant–Sekiguchi Correspondence.

### II. Classification of nilpotent elements

Andrzej Daszkiewicz, Witold Kraśkiewicz, Tomasz Przebinda

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  be an irreducible real reductive dual pair acting on a symplectic vector space  $W$  (see [H1],[H2]). Let  $K_0 \subseteq G_0$ ,  $K_1 \subseteq G_1$  be maximal compact subgroups with the complexifications  $K_{0,\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $K_{1,\mathbb{C}}$ . The groups  $K_0$ ,  $K_1$  centralize a positive definite, compatible complex structure  $J$  on  $W$ . Let  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$  denote an  $i$ -eigenspace of  $J$  in  $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ , the complexification of  $W$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  denote the Lie algebras of  $G_0$  and  $G_1$ , with the Cartan decompositions  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_1$ . Let  $\nu_0 : W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$ ,  $\nu_1 : W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$  be the moment maps, as defined in [DP1], and let  $\mu_0 : W_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{0,\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\mu_1 : W_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{1,\mathbb{C}}$  be the analogous moment maps of the complexifications. Then  $\mu_0(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{0,\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mu_1(W_{\mathbb{C}}^+) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{1,\mathbb{C}}$ . We have the following pair of diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{g}_0 & \xleftarrow{\nu_0} & W & \xrightarrow{\nu_1} & \mathfrak{g}_1 \\ \mathfrak{p}_{0,\mathbb{C}} & \xleftarrow{\mu_0} & W_{\mathbb{C}}^+ & \xrightarrow{\mu_1} & \mathfrak{p}_{1,\mathbb{C}} \end{array} \quad (1)$$

We call an element  $w \in W$  nilpotent if  $\nu_0(w)$  is nilpotent in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  (equivalently if  $\nu_1(w)$  is nilpotent in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ ). Similarly we define nilpotent elements in  $W_{\mathbb{C}}$  as elements mapped by either of  $\mu_0$ ,  $\mu_1$  onto nilpotent elements of the complexified Lie algebras.

In this paper we provide a complete combinatorial description of the set of the nilpotent  $G_0 \times G_1$ -orbits in  $W$  and the set of the nilpotent  $K_{0,\mathbb{C}} \times K_{1,\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits in  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$ .

Both classification problems, as well as other classification problems such as the problem of classifying nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and in  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$  arise as special instances of a more general problem of classifying homogeneous nilpotent orbits in certain color Lie algebras. In section 3 we solve the general problem. The techniques we use are adapted from [BC], in contrast to the usual approach to the classification of the nilpotent orbits in a semisimple Lie algebra via the Jacobson-Morozov theorem (see [CM]).

In section 4 we show how one can apply the general results of section 3 to the classification problems described above in the case of a dual pair of type II, and in section 5 we do the same for pairs of type I.

The Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence for  $G_0$  is a bijection  $\mathcal{S}$  from the set of nilpotent  $G_0$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  onto the set of nilpotent  $K_{0,\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{p}_{0,\mathbb{C}}$ , and similarly for  $G_1$  ([S2]).

In section 6 we show that the Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence is compatible with our classification of orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and in  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$  for dual pairs of type I. As a main tool we use the description of the Cayley transform of a Cayley triple in  $\mathfrak{g}$  by the conjugation by a special element of the complex group.

## 2 Sesqui-linear Forms

Let  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$  (the quaternions), and let  $\iota$  be a possibly trivial anti-involution on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Fix a positive integer  $n$  and let

$$V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_{n-1} \tag{2}$$

be a direct sum of left  $\mathbb{D}$ -vector spaces  $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}$ . We view  $V$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space, via the above decomposition, where  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is realized as the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  with addition modulo  $n$ . The subspace  $V_k \subseteq V$  is called the subspace of degree  $k$  ( $= 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ).

A sesqui-linear form on  $V$  is a map  $\tau : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  such that for all  $u, v, u', v' \in V$  and for all  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(au, v) &= a\tau(u, v), & \tau(u, av) &= \tau(u, v)\iota(a) \\ \tau(u + u', v) &= \tau(u, v) + \tau(u', v), & \tau(u, v + v') &= \tau(u, v) + \tau(u, v'). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\sigma = \pm 1$ , we will say that the form  $\tau$  is  $\sigma$ -hermitian if

$$\tau(u, v) = \sigma\iota(\tau(v, u))$$

for all  $u, v \in V$ .

We'll say that two subspaces  $V', V'' \subseteq V$  are orthogonal (with respect to  $\tau$ ) if  $\tau(v', v'') = 0$  for all  $v' \in V'$  and all  $v'' \in V''$ . In this case we shall write  $V' \perp V''$ .

We shall say that the space  $V$ , or more precisely, the pair  $(V, \tau)$  is decomposable if there are two non-zero graded subspaces  $V', V'' \subseteq V$  such that  $V = V' \oplus V''$  and  $V' \perp V''$ . Otherwise the space  $V$ , or the pair  $(V, \tau)$  is called indecomposable. The form  $\tau$  is called non-degenerate if the following two implications hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } \tau(u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V, \text{ then } u = 0, \text{ and} \\ \text{if } \tau(u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } u \in V, \text{ then } v = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Two formed spaces  $(V, \tau)$  and  $(V', \tau')$  are called isometric if there is a linear bijection  $g : V \rightarrow V'$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(V_k) &\subseteq V'_k & (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1) \\ \tau(u, v) &= \tau'(gu, gv) & (u, v \in V)\end{aligned}$$

In that case we shall write  $(V, \tau) \approx (V', \tau')$  and say that the map  $g$  is a graded isometry.

### 3 Classification of homogeneous nilpotent elements in certain color Lie algebras

Let  $n$  be an even positive integer and let

$$V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_{n-1}$$

be a  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -graded left vector space over  $\mathbb{D}$ . The dimension vector of  $V$  is the sequence

$$\underline{\dim}(V) = (\dim_{\mathbb{D}}(V_0), \dim_{\mathbb{D}}(V_1), \dots, \dim_{\mathbb{D}}(V_{n-1})).$$

For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  let

$$\text{End}(V)_a = \{X \in \text{End}(V); X(V_b) \subseteq V_{a+b}, \text{ for } b \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Define a bilinear bracket

$$[-, -] : \text{End}(V) \times \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

by the formula

$$[X, Y] = XY - (-1)^{ab}YX, \text{ for } X \in \text{End}(V)_a, Y \in \text{End}(V)_b. \quad (3)$$

Then  $\text{End}(V)$  becomes a color Lie algebra with respect to the symmetric bicharacter  $\beta(a, b) = (-1)^{ab}$  on the group  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  (see [BLR]).

Fix  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and let  $N \in \text{End}(V)_a$  be nilpotent. Recall that the height of  $N$ , or the height of  $(N, V)$ , is the integer  $m \geq 0$  such that  $N^m \neq 0$  and  $N^{m+1} = 0$ . We shall write  $m = ht(N) = ht(N, V)$ . The pair  $(N, V)$  of height  $m$  is called uniform if  $\text{Ker}(N^m) = NV$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Suppose the pair  $(N, V)$  is uniform of height  $m$ . Then for any graded subspace  $E \subseteq V$ , complementary to  $\text{Ker}(N^m)$ ,*

$$V = E \oplus NE \oplus N^2E \oplus \dots \oplus N^mE.$$

*Moreover,  $\dim(E) = \dim(NE) = \dots = \dim(N^mE)$ .*

*Proof:* By the choice of  $E$ , we have  $V = E \oplus NV$ . Hence,  $NV \subseteq NE + N^2V$ . Thus  $V \subseteq E + NE + N^2V$ . But  $N^2V \subseteq N^2E + N^3V$ . Hence, inductively,

$$V \subseteq E + NE + N^2E + \dots + N^m E.$$

If for some  $i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_k$  the intersection  $N^{i_0}E \cap N^{i_1}E + \dots + N^{i_k}E$  were nonzero, then  $N^{i_0}e_0 = N^{i_1}e_1 + \dots + N^{i_k}e_k$  for some  $e_j \in E$ , with  $N^{i_0}e_0 \neq 0$ , but then  $N^m e_0 = N^m(e_0 - N^{i_1-i_0}e_1 - \dots - N^{i_k-i_1}e_k) = 0$ , hence  $e_0 = 0$  by the injectivity of  $N^m$  on  $E$ . Thus

$$V = E \oplus NE \oplus N^2E \oplus \dots \oplus N^m E.$$

It remains to show the equality of dimensions. Suppose  $v \in E$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$  and  $NN^i v = 0$ . Then  $N^m v = 0$ . Hence  $v = 0$ , and therefore  $N^i v = 0$ . Thus the linear map

$$N^i E \ni u \mapsto Nu \in N^{i+1} E$$

is injective. Since this map is obviously surjective, we are done.  $\square$

It is clear that if  $E$  is a graded subspace fulfilling the conditions of Lemma 3.1 then we can reconstruct the dimension vector of  $V$  from the dimension vector of  $E$ , since  $\underline{\dim}(NE)$  is obtained from  $\underline{\dim}(E)$  with a shift in grading by  $a$ . More formally, we can identify dimension vectors with functions on  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and let  $\eta$  be the left regular representation of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  i.e.

$$(\eta_b f)(c) = f(c - b) \quad (b, c \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}). \quad (4)$$

Then

$$\underline{\dim}(V) = (1 + \eta_a + \eta_a^2 + \dots + \eta_a^m) \underline{\dim}(E). \quad (5)$$

### 3.1 A general linear color Lie algebra

The group  $GL(V)_0 = GL(V) \cap \text{End}(V)_0$  acts by conjugation on nilpotent elements in  $\text{End}(V)_a$  for every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . In this subsection we will classify the nilpotent orbits of this action.

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $N, N' \in \text{End}(V)_a$  be nilpotent. Assume that the pairs  $(N, V)$ ,  $(N', V)$  are uniform of height  $m$ . Then the elements  $N, N'$  are in the same  $GL(V)_0$ -orbit if and only if  $\underline{\dim}(V/\text{Ker}(N^m)) = \underline{\dim}(V/\text{Ker}(N'^m))$ .*

*Proof:* There is only one non-trivial implication here which requires a proof. Suppose  $\dim(V/\text{Ker}(N^m))_a = \dim(V/\text{Ker}(N'^m))_a$  for all  $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . According to Lemma 3.1 we have decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} V &= E \oplus NE \oplus N^2E \oplus \dots \oplus N^m E, \\ V &= E' \oplus N'E' \oplus N'^2 E' \oplus \dots \oplus N'^m E'. \end{aligned}$$

Since by our assumption  $\dim(E_a) = \dim(E'_a)$  for all  $a$ , there is a graded linear isomorphism  $g : E \rightarrow E'$ . We extend  $g$  to a graded linear isomorphism  $g : V \rightarrow V$  by

$$gN^i v = N^i g v \quad (v \in E; i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Clearly  $gNg^{-1} = N'$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** *If the pair  $(N, V)$  is uniform of height  $m$ , then there exist graded  $N$ -invariant subspaces  $V^j \subseteq V$  such that*

$$V = V^1 \oplus V^2 \oplus \dots,$$

where each pair  $(N, V^j)$  is uniform, and  $\dim(V^j / \text{Ker}(N|_{V^j})^m) = 1$ . for each  $j$ .

*Proof:* This is clear from Lemma 3.1 via a decomposition of  $E$  into one dimensional subspaces.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4** *Let  $(N, V)$  be a pair of height  $m$ . Let  $U \subseteq V$  be a subspace such that*

- (a)  $U$  is  $N$ -invariant,
- (b)  $(N, U)$  is uniform of height  $m$ .

*Then there is a graded  $N$ -invariant subspace  $U' \subseteq V$  such that*

- (c)  $V = U \oplus U'$ .

*Proof:* We proceed by induction on  $m$ . If  $m = 0$  then  $N = 0$  and (c) is obvious. Suppose then that  $m \geq 1$ . We know from Lemma 3.1 that there is a graded subspace  $E$  such that

$$U = E \oplus NE \oplus N^2 E \oplus \dots \oplus N^m E.$$

Hence,  $U \cap \text{Ker}(N^m) = NE \oplus N^2 E \oplus \dots \oplus N^m E = NU$ . This space is  $N$ -invariant. The pair  $(N, U \cap \text{Ker}(N^m))$  is uniform of height  $m - 1$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} U \cap \text{Ker}(N^m) \cap \text{Ker}(N|_{\text{Ker}(N^m)})^{m-1} &= U \cap \text{Ker}(N^m) \cap \text{Ker}(N^{m-1}) \\ &= U \cap \text{Ker}(N^{m-1}) = N^2 E \oplus N^3 E \oplus \dots \oplus N^m E \neq U \cap \text{Ker}(N^m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $U \cap \text{Ker}(N^m) \not\subseteq \text{Ker}(N|_{\text{Ker}(N^m)})^{m-1}$ . Thus the pair of spaces  $U \cap \text{Ker}(N^m) \subseteq \text{Ker}(N^m)$  satisfies the conditions (a) and (b), but the height of  $(N, \text{Ker}(N^m))$  is  $m - 1$ . Therefore, by induction, there exists a graded  $N$ -invariant subspace  $U' \subseteq \text{Ker}(N^m)$  such that

$$\text{Ker}(N^m) = U \cap \text{Ker}(N^m) \oplus U'.$$

Hence,

$$U + \text{Ker}(N^m) = U \oplus U'. \quad (6)$$

If  $V = U + \text{Ker}(N^m)$ , we are done. Otherwise, choose a graded subspace  $F \subseteq V$  such that  $V = F \oplus (U + \text{Ker}(N^m))$ . Let

$$W = F + NF + N^2F + \dots + N^mF.$$

Then  $W$  is  $N$ -invariant and  $ht(N, W) = m$ . We claim that

$$U \cap W = 0. \quad (7)$$

Indeed, if this is not the case then there exist  $e \in E \setminus \{0\}$  and  $u \in N^{i+1}U$  for some  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq m$ , such that  $N^i e + u \in W$ . Hence  $N^m e \in W$ . Since  $NN^m e = 0$ , there exists  $f \in F$  such that  $N^m e = N^m f$ . Hence,  $f \in U + \text{Ker}(N^m)$  and therefore  $f \in F \cap (U + \text{Ker}(N^m))$ . But this last space is zero. Thus  $0 = f = N^m f = N^m e = e$ , a contradiction. Therefore (7) holds. If  $V = U \oplus W$ , we are done. Otherwise, notice that  $V = (U \oplus W) + \text{Ker}(N^m)$ . Moreover, the pair of spaces  $U \oplus W \subset V$  satisfies the conditions (a), (b). Hence, by (6),  $V = U \oplus (W \oplus U')$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5** *If the pair  $(N, V)$  is indecomposable, then it is uniform.*

*Proof:* In order to avoid trivialities we assume  $N \neq 0$  and  $V \neq 0$ . Let  $E$  be a graded subspace of  $V$  such that  $V = E \oplus \text{Ker}(N^m)$ , where  $m = ht(N, V)$ . Let  $U = E \oplus NE \oplus N^2E \oplus \dots \oplus N^mE$ . It is easy to see that the subspace  $U \subseteq V$  satisfies the conditions (a), (b) of Lemma 3.4. Hence, there is an  $N$ -invariant graded subspace  $U' \subseteq V$  such that  $V = U \oplus U'$ . Since  $(N, V)$  is indecomposable,  $U' = 0$ . Thus  $(N, V) = (N, U)$  is uniform.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6** *If  $V \neq 0$  and if the pair  $(N, V)$  is indecomposable, then it is uniform and  $\dim(V/\text{Ker}(N^m)) = 1$ , where  $m = ht(N, V)$ .*

**Theorem 3.7** *Let  $N \in \text{End}(V)_a$  be nilpotent. Then there exist graded  $N$ -invariant subspaces  $V^j \subseteq V$  such that*

- (a)  $V = V^1 \oplus V^2 \oplus \dots \oplus V^s$ ,
- (b) each  $(N, V^j)$  is indecomposable,
- (c)  $ht(N, V^1) \geq ht(N, V^2) \geq \dots$

*The decomposition (a) having the properties (b) and (c) is unique up to the action of  $GL(V)_0^N$ , the centralizer of  $N$  in  $GL(V)_0$ . Thus the above decomposition determines the  $GL(V)_0$ -orbit of  $N$  in  $\text{End}(V)_a$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $E \subseteq V$  be a graded subspace complementary to the kernel of  $N^m$ , where  $m$  is the height of  $(N, V)$ . Set  $U = E \oplus NE \oplus N^2E \oplus \dots \oplus N^mE$ . Then

the pair  $(N, U)$  is uniform. If  $V = U$ , the theorem follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. Otherwise, notice that the spaces  $U \subseteq V$  satisfy the conditions (a), (b) of Lemma 3.4. Moreover,  $V = U \oplus \text{Ker}(N^m)$ . Hence, as in (6), there exists a graded  $N$ -invariant subspace  $U' \subseteq \text{Ker}(N^m)$  such that  $V = U \oplus U'$ . In particular  $ht(N, U') < ht(N, V)$ . Hence we may proceed inductively.  $\square$

For  $b \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\mathbb{D}[b]$  denote  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -graded space which is concentrated in homogeneous degree  $b$  and isomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}$  as a non-graded space. If  $(N, V)$  is indecomposable with  $N$  of degree  $a$  and height  $m$  then

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \mathbb{D}[b] \oplus N\mathbb{D}[b] \oplus \cdots \oplus N^m\mathbb{D}[b] \\ &= \mathbb{D}[b] \oplus \mathbb{D}[b+a] \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{D}[b+ma] \end{aligned}$$

for some  $b \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Corollary 3.8** *Nilpotent orbits of the group  $GL(V)_0$  in  $End(V)_a$  are parametrized by pairs of sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_s \geq 0, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{N}; \\ (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s), \quad 0 \leq b_j \leq n-1, \end{aligned}$$

such that

1.  $\underline{dim}(V) = \sum_{j=1}^s (1 + \eta_a + \eta_a^2 + \cdots + \eta_a^{m_j}) \underline{dim}(\mathbb{D}[b_j])$ ;
2. if  $m_j = m_{j+1}$  then  $b_j \leq b_{j+1}$ .

## 3.2 The color Lie algebra of a formed space

Now we consider hermitian analogue of orthosymplectic color Lie algebras of [BLR]. For the rest of this section fix  $\sigma = \pm 1$ .

Define a map  $S \in End(V)_0$  by

$$S(v) = (-1)^a v \quad (v \in V_a; a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}), \quad (8)$$

and let  $\tau$  be a non-degenerate sesqui-linear form on  $V$  such that

$$\tau(u, v) = \sigma \iota(\tau(v, Su)) \quad (u, v \in V). \quad (9)$$

We assume that the form  $\tau$  provides a non-degenerate pairing between  $V_b$  and  $V_{-b}$  for each  $b \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , and that  $V_b \perp V_c$  if  $b+c \neq 0$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_a = \{X \in End(V)_a; \tau(Xu, v) + \tau(S^a u, Xv) = 0, u, v \in V\}$$

and let

$$\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau) = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_a.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  is closed under the bracket defined in (3) and it is a color Lie subalgebra of  $\text{End}(V)$ .

Let  $G(V, \tau)_0$  denotes the isometry group

$$G(V, \tau)_0 = \{g \in \text{End}(V)_0; \tau(gu, gv) = \tau(u, v), \quad u, v \in V\}. \quad (10)$$

The goal of this subsection is to classify the orbits of the group  $G(V, \tau)_0$  in the set of nilpotent elements in each homogeneous component  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_a$  of the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$ .

In the following lemma we collect several easy to check properties of a homogeneous elements of  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$ .

**Lemma 3.9** *Let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_a$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} SX &= (-1)^a XS, \\ \tau(u, Sv) &= \tau(Su, v), \\ \tau(Xu, v) &= -\tau(u, S^a Xv), \\ \tau(u, Xv) &= -\tau(XS^a u, v). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

*Define*

$$\delta(k) = (-1)^{k(k-1)/2}.$$

*Then for  $k, l \geq 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} (SX)^k &= \delta(k)^a S^k X^k, \\ S^l X^k &= (-1)^{akl} X^k S^l, \\ \tau(X^k u, v) &= (-1)^k \delta(k)^a \tau(u, S^{ak} X^k v), \\ \tau(u, X^k v) &= (-1)^k \delta(k+1)^a \tau(S^{ak} X^k u, v). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Let, from now on,  $N \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_a$  be nilpotent.

**Lemma 3.10** *Let  $m = ht(N, V)$ . Then the formula*

$$\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = \tau(u, N^m v) \quad (\tilde{u} = u + \text{Ker}(N^m), \quad \tilde{v} = v + \text{Ker}(N^m); \quad u, v \in V)$$

*defines a non-degenerate sesqui-linear form on the  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -graded space  $\tilde{V} = V/\text{Ker}(N^m)$  and*

$$\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = (-1)^m \delta(m+1)^a \sigma \iota \tilde{\tau}(S^{ma+1} \tilde{v}, \tilde{u}), \quad (13)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}(S\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = (-1)^{ma} \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{u}, S\tilde{v}), \quad (14)$$

$$\tilde{V}_b \text{ and } \tilde{V}_c \text{ are } \tilde{\tau}\text{-orthogonal, unless } b + c + ma = 0. \quad (15)$$



*Proof:* The fact that the form is well defined and the statements (13), (14) and (15) follow easily from (9) and (12). We will show that the form  $\tilde{\tau}$  is non-degenerate. Due to (14), it is enough to show that for every non-zero  $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$  there exists  $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{V}$  such that  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \neq 0$ . Let  $\tilde{v} = v + \text{Ker}(N^m)$ . Then  $N^m v \neq 0$  and there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $\tau(u, N^m v) \neq 0$  and for  $\tilde{u} = u + \text{Ker}(N^m)$  we have  $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11** *Let  $(N, V)$  be uniform of height  $m$ . Then there is a graded subspace  $F \subseteq V$ , complementary to  $\text{Ker}(N^m)$ , such that*

$$V = F \oplus NF \oplus N^2F \oplus \dots \oplus N^m F \quad (\text{a})$$

and

$$N^k F \perp N^l F \quad \text{for } k + l \neq m. \quad (\text{b})$$

**Remark 3.12** *It is clear from (12) that (b) is equivalent to*

$$F \perp N^k F \quad \text{for } 0 < k \leq m - 1. \quad (\text{b}')$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.11.* We will define inductively a sequence  $F^{(0)}, F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(m-1)}$  of subspaces of  $V$  such that for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$  the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $F^{(k)}$  is graded and  $V = F^{(k)} \oplus NF^{(k)} \oplus N^2F^{(k)} \oplus \dots \oplus N^m F^{(k)}$ ,
- (ii)  $F^{(k)} \perp N^{m-k} F^{(k)} + N^{m-k+1} F^{(k)} + \dots + N^{m-1} F^{(k)}$ .

Then  $F = F^{(m-1)}$  fulfills the conditions of the theorem.

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that for  $F^{(0)}$  we can take any graded subspace complementary to  $\text{Ker}(N^m)$ . Assume that  $k > 0$  and that the space  $F^{(k-1)}$  has already been constructed. Set  $E = F^{(k-1)}$  and let  $E^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}}(E, \mathbb{D})$ . Define two maps

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tau}_0, \hat{\tau} : E &\rightarrow E^*, \\ \hat{\tau}_0(v)(u) &= \tau(u, N^m v), \quad \hat{\tau}(v)(u) = \tau(u, N^{m-k} v), \quad (u, v \in E). \end{aligned}$$

We know from Lemma 3.10 that  $\hat{\tau}_0$  is a bijection.

Notice that

$$\tau(u, N^m \hat{\tau}_0^{-1} \hat{\tau}(v)) = \tau(u, N^{m-k} v) \quad (u, v \in E). \quad (16)$$

Indeed, the left hand side of (16) is equal to

$$\tau(u, N^m \tau_0^{-1} \hat{\tau}(v)) = \hat{\tau}_0 \hat{\tau}_0^{-1} \hat{\tau}(v)(u) = \hat{\tau}(v)(u) = \tau(u, N^{m-k} v).$$

Let

$$\rho = \rho_k = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\tau}_0^{-1} \hat{\tau} \quad (17)$$

and define the space  $F^{(k)}$  as  $F^{(k)} = (1 - N^k \rho)F^{(k-1)}$ .

First we will show that the space  $F^{(k)}$  is graded. We see from (16) that for any  $v \in E$ ,  $N^k \hat{\tau}_0^{-1} \hat{\tau}(v)$  coincides with the unique element  $x \in N^k E$  such that for all  $u \in E$ ,  $\tau(u, N^{m-k}(x - v)) = 0$ . Hence, if  $v \in E_b := E \cap V_b$ , then  $\tau(u, N^{m-k}x) = 0$  for all  $u \in \sum_{c \neq -c_0} E_c$ , where  $c_0 = (m - k)a + b$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} N^{m-k}x &\in (N^m E) \cap \left( \sum_{c \neq -c_0} E_c \right)^\perp = (N^m E) \cap \bigcap_{c \neq -c_0} E_c^\perp \\ &= \bigcap_{c \neq -c_0} (N^m E) \cap E_c^\perp = \bigcap_{c \neq -c_0} (N^m E) \cap V_c^\perp = (N^m E) \cap V_{(m-k)a+b}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us write  $x = x_b + x'$ , where  $x_b \in V_b \cap N^k E$  and  $x' \in \sum_{c \neq b} V_c \cap N^k E$ . Since  $N^{m-k}x \in V_{(m-k)a+b}$ , we have  $N^{m-k}x = N^{m-k}x_b$ . Therefore, by the uniqueness of  $x$ ,  $x' = 0$ . Hence,  $x \in V_b \cap N^k E$ . Thus  $N^k \rho(E_b) \subseteq V_b \cap N^k E$  for all  $b \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and the space  $F^{(k)} = (1 - N^k \rho)E$  is graded.

It follows from the construction that  $\dim(F^{(k)}) \leq \dim(E)$  and  $E \subset F^{(k)} + N^k E$ . Since  $N^{m+1}F^{(k)} = 0$ , we have

$$V = E \oplus NE \oplus N^2 E \oplus \dots \oplus N^m E \subset F^{(k)} + NF^{(k)} + N^2 F^{(k)} + \dots + N^m F^{(k)},$$

so the sum on the right hand side is also a direct sum.

It remains to prove that  $F^{(k)}$  fulfills the orthogonality property (ii). For  $u, v \in E$

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau((1 - N^k \rho)u, N^{m-k}(1 - N^k \rho)v) \\ &= \tau(u, N^{m-k}v) - \tau(u, N^m \rho v) - \tau(N^k \rho u, N^{m-k}v), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

because  $N^k N^m = 0$ . Furthermore, by (16),

$$\tau(u, N^m \rho v) = \frac{1}{2} \tau(u, N^{m-k}v),$$

and by (9), (12) and (16)

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(N^k \rho u, N^{m-k}v) &= \sigma \iota \tau(N^{m-k}v, S N^k \rho u) \\ &= (-1)^{m-k} \delta(m-k)^a \sigma \iota \tau(v, S^{(m-k)a} N^{m-k} S N^k \rho u) \\ &= (-1)^{(m-k)(a+1)} \delta(m-k)^a \sigma \iota \tau(S^{(m-k)a+1} v, N^m \rho u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{(m-k)(a+1)} \delta(m-k)^a \sigma \iota \tau(S^{(m-k)a+1} v, N^{m-k} u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{(m-k)(a+1)} \delta(m-k)^a \tau(N^{m-k} u, S^{(m-k)a} v) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{(m-k)a} \tau(u, S^{(m-k)a} N^{m-k} S^{(m-k)a} v) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \tau(u, N^{m-k}v). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the quantity (18) is zero and  $F^{(k)}$  is orthogonal to  $N^{m-k}F^{(k)}$ . Notice also that for  $i > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau((1 - N^k \rho)u, N^{m-k+i}(1 - N^k \rho)v) \\ &= \tau(u, N^{m-k+i}v) - \tau(u, N^{m+i}\rho v) - \tau(N^k \rho u, N^{m-k+i}v) = \tau(u, N^{m-k+i}v). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $F^{(k)}$  is also orthogonal to  $N^l F^{(k)}$ , ( $l = m - k + 1, \dots, m - 1$ ), due to condition (ii) for  $F^{(k-1)}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.13** *Let the pairs  $(N, V)$ ,  $(N', V)$  be uniform of height  $m$  with  $N, N'$  homogeneous of the same degree. Then the elements  $N, N'$  are in the same  $G(V, \tau)_0$ -orbit if and only if the spaces  $(V/\text{Ker}(N^m), \tilde{\tau})$ ,  $(V/\text{Ker}(N'^m), \tilde{\tau})$  are isometric.*

*Proof:* It is easy to check that if  $N, N'$  are conjugate by an element of  $G(V, \tau)_0$  then the above two graded spaces are isometric.

Conversely, suppose these two spaces are isometric. Let  $N, N' \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_a$  and let  $F, F' \subseteq V$  be as in Theorem 3.11 for  $N, N'$  respectively. By the assumption, we have a graded bijection  $g : F \rightarrow F'$  such that

$$\tau(gu, N'^m gv) = \tau(u, N^m v) \quad (u, v \in F).$$

Set

$$g(N^k v) = N'^k g(v) \quad (v \in F; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1).$$

Then  $g \in \text{End}(V)$  is bijective and intertwines  $N$  and  $N'$ . Furthermore, for  $u, v \in F$  and for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(gN^k u, gN^{m-k} v) = \tau(N'^k gu, N'^{m-k} gv) \\ &= (-1)^k \delta(k)^a \tau(gu, S^{ak} N'^m gv) \\ &= (-1)^{k+akm} \delta(k)^a \tau(gu, N'^m g S^{ak} v) \\ &= (-1)^{k+akm} \delta(k)^a \tau(u, N^m S^{ak} v) \\ &= (-1)^{k+ak} \delta(k)^a \tau(u, N^k S^{ak} N^{m-k} v) \\ &= (-1)^{ak} \delta(k)^a \delta(k+1)^a \tau(S^{ak} N^k u, S^{ak} N^{m-k} v) \\ &= \tau(N^k u, N^{m-k} v). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $g \in G(V, \tau)_0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.14** *The pair  $(N, V)$  is called indecomposable if  $V$  does not have any non-trivial orthogonal  $N$ -invariant direct sum decomposition into graded subspaces. Otherwise the pair  $(N, V)$  is called decomposable.*

**Proposition 3.15** *If the pair  $(N, V)$  is indecomposable then it is uniform.*

*Proof:* Let  $m = ht(N, V)$  and let  $E \subseteq V$  be a graded subspace complementary to  $Ker(N^m)$ . Set  $U = E + NE + N^2E + \dots + N^mE$ . Then  $U$  is a graded subspace of  $V$  preserved by  $N$  and it is easy to see that  $U$  is uniform. We will show that the restriction of the form  $\tau$  to  $U$  is non-degenerate. Since,  $U^\perp$  is  $N$ -invariant (by (11)) this will complete the proof.

Let  $0 \leq k \leq i \leq m$  and let  $u_i \in E$ . Suppose

$$N^k u_k + N^{k+1} u_{k+1} + \dots + N^m u_m \perp U.$$

Then  $N^k u_k \perp N^{m-k} E$ . Hence, by (12),  $u_k \perp N^m E$ . But  $N^m E = N^m V$ . Thus  $u_k \perp N^m V$ . Therefore  $N^m u_k \perp V$ . Hence,  $u_k \in Ker(N^m) \cap E = \{0\}$ . Similarly,  $u_{k+1} = u_{k+2} = \dots = u_m = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.16** *Let the pair  $(N, V)$  be uniform of height  $m$ . Then  $(N, V)$  is indecomposable if and only if the formed space  $(V/Ker(N^m), \tilde{\tau})$  is indecomposable.*

*Proof:* Clearly if  $(N, V)$  is decomposable then so is  $(V/Ker(N^m), \tilde{\tau})$ .

Conversely, suppose  $(V/Ker(N^m), \tilde{\tau})$  is decomposable. Choose a subspace  $F \subseteq V$  as in Theorem 3.11 and let

$$\tau_m(u, v) = \tau(u, N^m v) \quad (u, v \in F).$$

Then  $(F, \tau_m)$  is isometric to  $(V/Ker(N^m), \tilde{\tau})$ , and hence is decomposable. Thus there exist two non-zero graded  $\tau_m$ -orthogonal subspaces  $F', F'' \subseteq F$  such that  $F = F' \oplus F''$ . Let  $V' = F' + NF' + N^2F' + \dots + N^mF'$  and let  $V'' = F'' + NF'' + N^2F'' + \dots + N^mF''$ . Since the spaces  $F', F''$  are  $\tau_m$ -orthogonal, we have  $F' \perp N^mF'$  and  $F'' \perp N^mF''$ , with respect to  $\tau$ . Hence it is easy to see that  $V = V' \oplus V''$  and  $V' \perp V''$ .  $\square$

It follows from Corollary 3.13 and Proposition 3.16 that in order to classify indecomposable nilpotent elements of height  $m$  in  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_a$  up to the action of  $G(V, \tau)_0$ , we have to classify (up to grading-preserving isometry) indecomposable  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -graded formed spaces  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau})$ .

**Proposition 3.17** *Let  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau})$  be indecomposable  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -graded formed space satisfying (13), (14) and (15). Then  $\dim(\tilde{V}) = 1$  or  $2$  and the form is  $\sigma'$ -hermitian for suitable  $\sigma' \in \{\pm 1\}$ . More precisely, one of the following conditions holds for  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau})$ .*

1.  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}_b$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $2b + ma = 0$  (which implies that  $ma$  is even),  $\sigma' = (-1)^m (-1)^b \delta (m+1)^a \sigma$  and  $(\tilde{V}_b, \tilde{\tau})$  is nondegenerate indecomposable as a (nongraded) formed space.
2.  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}_b \oplus \tilde{V}_{-b-ma}$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $2b + ma \neq 0$ , and  $\sigma' = (-1)^m (-1)^{(ma+1)b} \delta (m+1)^a \sigma$ . In this case both summands are  $\tilde{\tau}$ -isotropic of dimension one and  $\tilde{\tau}$  provides a pairing between them.

*Proof:* Let

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V}_{even} &= \tilde{V}_0 \oplus \tilde{V}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{V}_{n-2}, \\ \tilde{V}_{odd} &= \tilde{V}_1 \oplus \tilde{V}_3 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{V}_{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Assume first that  $2|ma$ . Then (14) is equivalent to the condition that  $\tilde{V}_{even}$  and  $\tilde{V}_{odd}$  are  $\tilde{\tau}$ -orthogonal. Indecomposability of  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau})$  forces  $\tilde{V}_{even} = 0$  or  $\tilde{V}_{odd} = 0$ . Then condition (13) says that  $\tilde{\tau}$  is  $\sigma'$ -hermitian for appropriate  $\sigma'$  and once again from the indecomposability we see that we are in the case (1) or (2) of the proposition.

If  $2 \nmid ma$  then (14) is equivalent to the condition that  $\tilde{V}_{even}$  and  $\tilde{V}_{odd}$  are  $\tilde{\tau}$ -isotropic. Indecomposability and conditions (13) and (15) guarantee that we are in the case (2).  $\square$

**Definition 3.18** *Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . An  $(a, m)$ -admissible space is an indecomposable  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -graded formed space  $(F, \tilde{\tau})$ , where the form  $\tilde{\tau}$  is  $\sigma'$ -hermitian and  $\sigma'$  is determined by the pair  $(a, m)$  as in Proposition 3.17.*

**Theorem 3.19** *Let  $N \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_a$  be a nilpotent. Then there exist a sequence*

$$(F^{(1)}, F^{(2)}, \dots, F^{(s)})$$

*of graded subspaces of  $V$  and a sequence*

$$m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_s \geq 0$$

*of nonnegative integers such that*

1. *for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , the space  $F^{(i)}$  with the form  $\tau_{(i)}$  given by the formula*

$$\tau_{(i)}(u, v) = \tau(u, N^{m_i}v), \quad (u, v \in F^{(i)})$$

*is an  $(a, m_i)$ -admissible space;*

2.  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s F^{(i)} \oplus NF^{(i)} \oplus \dots \oplus N^{m_i}F^{(i)}$ .

*Let  $N' \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_a$  be another nilpotent element and let  $(F'^{(1)}, F'^{(2)}, \dots, F'^{(s')})$  and  $m'_1 \geq m'_2 \geq \dots \geq m'_{s'}$  be the sequences corresponding to  $N'$ . Then  $N$  and  $N'$  are  $G(V, \tau)_0$ -conjugate if and only if  $s = s'$ ,  $m_i = m'_i$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and, up to a permutation of indices  $i$  preserving the sequence  $(m_i)_{i=1}^s$ , the graded formed spaces  $(F^{(i)}, \tau_{(i)})$  and  $(F'^{(i)}, \tau'_{(i)})$  are isometric.*

*Proof:* Let  $E \subseteq V$  be a graded subspace complementary to  $\text{Ker}(N^m)$ , where  $m = ht(N, V)$ . Set  $U = E + NE + N^2E + \dots + N^mE$ . As in the proof of Proposition 3.15 we verify that the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $U$  is non-degenerate. Notice that

$$U^\perp \subseteq (N^mE)^\perp = (N^mV)^\perp = \text{Ker}(N^m).$$

Hence,  $ht(N, U^\perp) < ht(N, V)$ . After a finite number of steps we obtain

$$V = U^{(1)} \oplus U^{(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus U^{(r)},$$

where the spaces  $U^{(j)}$  are graded,  $N$ -invariant, mutually orthogonal, each pair  $(N, U^{(j)})$  is uniform and

$$ht(N, U^{(1)}) > ht(N, U^{(2)}) > \dots > ht(N, U^{(r)}).$$

Now we split each  $(N, U^{(j)})$  into indecomposables and obtain

$$V = V^{(1)} \oplus V^{(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus V^{(s)}.$$

Denote  $m_i = ht(N, V^{(i)})$  and let  $F^{(i)}$  be a graded subspace of  $V^{(i)}$  fulfilling conditions of Theorem 3.11 for the restriction of  $N$  to  $V^{(i)}$ . Then the sequences  $(F^{(1)}, F^{(2)}, \dots, F^{(s)})$  and  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s)$  satisfy conditions (1)–(2) of the theorem.

Consider a nilpotent element  $N'$  in the  $G(V, \tau)_0$ -orbit of  $N$  and assume that the sequences  $(F'^{(1)}, F'^{(2)}, \dots, F'^{(s)})$  and  $m'_1 \geq m'_2 \geq \dots \geq m'_s$ , satisfy conditions (1)–(3). Then  $m_1 = ht(V, N) = ht(V, N') = m'_1$ . Denote this number by  $m$  and let

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &= \max\{i : m_i = m\}, \\ \ell' &= \max\{i : m'_i = m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} V/N^m V &\approx F^{(1)} \oplus F^{(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus F^{(\ell)}, \\ V/N'^m V &\approx F'^{(1)} \oplus F'^{(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus F'^{(\ell')} \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphic and the isomorphism becomes an isometry when we equip the spaces with the forms  $\tau_{(1)} \oplus \tau_{(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \tau_{(\ell)}$  and  $\tau'_{(1)} \oplus \tau'_{(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus \tau'_{(\ell')}$ , respectively. Hence  $\ell = \ell'$  and, up to permutation of indices  $i$ , the formed spaces  $(F^{(i)}, \tau_{(i)})$  and  $(F'^{(i)}, \tau'_{(i)})$  are isometric for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ . Now, the necessity of the condition follows by induction on dimension of  $V$ .

It is clear from Corollary 3.13 that the above argument may be reversed. Hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.20** *Let  $(F^{(1)}, \tau^{(1)})$ ,  $(F^{(2)}, \tau^{(2)})$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $(F^{(t)}, \tau^{(t)})$  be a system of representatives for isometry classes of indecomposable,  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -graded formed spaces with hermitian or skew-hermitian forms. Nilpotent orbits of the group  $G(V, \tau)_0$  in  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_a$  are parameterized by pairs of sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_s \geq 0, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ (F^{(i_1)}, F^{(i_2)}, \dots, F^{(i_s)}), \quad 1 \leq i_j \leq t, \end{aligned}$$

such that

1. for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ,  $(F^{(i_j)}, \tau^{(i_j)})$  is an  $(a, m_j)$ -admissible space;
2.  $\underline{\dim}(V) = \sum_{j=1}^s (1 + \eta_a + \eta_a^2 + \dots + \eta_a^{m_j}) \underline{\dim}(F^{(i_j)})$ ;
3. if  $m_j = m_{j+1}$  then  $i_j \leq i_{j+1}$ .

*Proof:* Due to Theorem 3.19, it remains to show that for every pair of sequences  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s)$  and  $(F^{(i_1)}, F^{(i_2)}, \dots, F^{(i_s)})$  fulfilling conditions 1.–3. of the corollary, there exists a corresponding nilpotent element  $N \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_a$ .

For an  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and a  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space  $W$ , let  $\eta_a W$  be a copy of  $W$  shifted in grading by  $a$ . For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , let

$$V'^{(j)} = F^{(i_j)} \oplus \eta_a F^{(i_j)} \oplus \eta_a^2 F^{(i_j)} \oplus \dots \oplus \eta_a^{m_j} F^{(i_j)}$$

and

$$V' = V'^{(1)} \oplus V'^{(2)} \oplus \dots \oplus V'^{(s)}.$$

We equip  $V'$  with a sesquilinear form  $\tau'$  such that the spaces  $V'^{(j)}$  are mutually orthogonal and for  $u \in F^{(i_j)}$ ,  $v \in F_b^{(i_j)}$

$$\tau'(\eta_a^k u, \eta_a^l v) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k (-1)^{ak(m_j+b)} \delta(k)^a \tau^{(i_j)}(u, v), & \text{if } k + l = m_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Then it follows from (12) that the formed spaces  $(V, \tau)$  and  $(V', \tau')$  are isometric. Defining a nilpotent endomorphism  $N'$  of  $V'$  by

$$N'(\eta_a^k u) = \begin{cases} \eta_a^{k+1}(u), & \text{for } k < m_j, \\ 0, & \text{for } k = m_j, \end{cases} \quad (u \in F^{(i_j)}), \quad (20)$$

we obtain the orbit for which the pair of parameterizing sequences coincides with the pair  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s)$ ,  $(F^{(i_1)}, F^{(i_2)}, \dots, F^{(i_s)})$ .  $\square$

## 4 Dual pairs of type II

Let  $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space over  $\mathbb{D}$ . The group  $GL(V)_0 = GL(V) \cap \text{End}(V)_0$  of degree zero linear automorphisms of  $V$  is isomorphic to the direct product  $GL(V_0) \times GL(V_1)$ . The results of section 3.1 give the classification of nilpotent orbits of  $GL(V)_0$  in  $\text{End}(V)_0 = \text{End}(V_0) \times \text{End}(V_1)$ , which reduces to the well known classification of nilpotent orbits of  $GL(V_j)$  in  $\text{End}(V_j)$  via the Jordan normal form, and the classification of nilpotent orbits of  $GL(V)_0$  in  $\text{End}(V)_1 = \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1) \oplus \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0)$ , which is also well known (see [DKP], Section 2).

The formula

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (A, B), (A', B') \rangle &= \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{D}/\mathbb{R}}(AB') - \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{D}/\mathbb{R}}(BA') \\ (A, A' \in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1), B, B' \in \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0)) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

defines a non-degenerate symplectic form on the real vector space  $W = \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1) \oplus \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0)$ . The action of the group  $GL(V_0) \times GL(V_1)$  on  $W$  preserves this form, hence the groups  $GL(V_0)$ ,  $GL(V_1)$  form a dual pair of type II in the symplectic group  $Sp(W)$ .

The maps

$$\text{End}(V)_1 \ni N \rightarrow N^2|_{V_0} \in \text{End}(V_0), \quad (22)$$

$$\text{End}(V)_1 \ni N \rightarrow N^2|_{V_1} \in \text{End}(V_1) \quad (23)$$

coincide with the moment maps

$$\mu_k : W \longrightarrow \text{End}(V_k), \quad (24)$$

$$\text{Hom}(V_0, V_1) \oplus \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0) \ni (A, B) \rightarrow BA \in \text{End}(V_0), \quad (25)$$

$$\text{Hom}(V_0, V_1) \oplus \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0) \ni (A, B) \rightarrow AB \in \text{End}(V_1). \quad (26)$$

## 4.1 Nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{D})$

Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8 give the following classification of nilpotent orbits of  $GL(V)_0$  in  $\text{End}(V)_0 = \text{End}(V_0) \times \text{End}(V_1)$  in terms of the sizes of the blocks of the Jordan normal form of the restriction of a nilpotent endomorphism of  $V$  to  $V_k$ :

**Corollary 4.1** *The nilpotent orbits of the group  $GL(V)_0$  in  $\text{End}(V)_0 = \text{End}(V_0) \times \text{End}(V_1)$  are parametrized by pairs of sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_s > 0, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{N}; \\ (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s), \quad 0 \leq b_j \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

such that

1.  $\dim(V_k) = \sum_{b_j=k} (m_j + 1)$ ,
2. if  $m_j = m_{j+1}$  then  $b_j \leq b_{j+1}$ ,

where for each  $j = 1, \dots, s$   $m_j + 1$  is the size of the appropriate block in  $V_{a_j}$ .

In particular, the nilpotent orbits of  $GL(V_k)$  in  $\text{End}(V_k)$  are parametrized by sequences  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_s > 0$  satisfying  $\sum_j (m_j + 1) = \dim(V_k)$ .



## 4.2 Nilpotent orbits in $W$

Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8 give the classification of nilpotent orbits of the group  $GL(V)_0 = GL(V_0) \times GL(V_1)$  in  $W = \text{End}(V)_1$  in terms of the parameters of the Jordan normal form. For an alternate description of the parametrization of orbits the reader may consult [DKP], Section 2. Let

$$d_k(b, m) = \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor + r, \quad k = 0, 1, \quad (27)$$

where  $r = 0$  unless  $m$  is even, in which case  $r = \delta_{k,b}$  is the Kronecker delta.

**Corollary 4.2** *The nilpotent orbits of the group  $GL(V)_0$  in  $W = \text{End}(V)_1$  are parametrized by pairs of sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \cdots \geq m_s \geq 0, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s), \quad 0 \leq b_j \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

such that

1.  $\dim(V_k) = \sum_j d_k(b_j, m_j)$ ,
2. if  $m_j = m_{j+1}$  then  $b_j \leq b_{j+1}$ .

We can now describe the action of the moment maps (24) on nilpotent orbits.

**Corollary 4.3** *Let  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq W$  be the nilpotent orbit which corresponds to the pair  $(m_1, \dots, m_s), (b_1, \dots, b_s)$ , then the image  $\mu_k(\mathcal{O})$  is equal to the nilpotent orbit in  $\text{End}(V_k)$  corresponding to the sequence  $(d_k(m_1, b_1), \dots, d_k(m_s, b_s))$ .*

We end this section by giving a detailed description of all non-zero nilpotent indecomposable elements  $(N, V)$ ,  $N \in \text{End}(V)_1$ .

**Proposition 4.4** *The following is a complete list of all non-zero nilpotent indecomposable elements  $(N, V)$ ,  $N \in \text{End}(V)_1$ .*

$$(a) \quad V = \bigoplus_{k=0}^m \mathbb{D}v_k, \quad v_{\text{even}} \in V_0, \quad v_{\text{odd}} \in V_1, \quad v_k = N^k v_0 \neq 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m, \quad Nv_m = 0;$$

$$(b) \quad V = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{m+1} \mathbb{D}v_k, \quad v_{\text{even}} \in V_0, \quad v_{\text{odd}} \in V_1, \quad v_{k+1} = N^k v_1 \neq 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m, \quad Nv_{m+1} = 0.$$

### 4.3 Nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$

Let  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{D}) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  be a Cartan decomposition of the general Lie algebra. The complexification  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  of the maximal compact subgroup  $K \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{D})$  acts on the complexification  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . The classification of the nilpotent orbits in this case is well known ([S1]). Both in the case  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$  and in the case  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$  a nilpotent  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is uniquely determined by the Jordan canonical form of any of its members, hence by the corresponding partition of  $n$  if  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$  and of  $2n$  if  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ . In the first case all partitions arise, in the second case a partition arises if and only if each of its parts occurs with an even multiplicity.

### 4.4 Nilpotent orbits in $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$

The complete classification of the nilpotent orbits in  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$  has been given in [DKP] (in fact as noted in [DKP], in the context of symmetric spaces it has been described earlier by Ohta). It turns out that it can be also obtained as a special case of the classification of section 3.

Consider first the case  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $U = U_0 \oplus U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus U_3$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ -graded complex vector space defined by  $U_0 = V_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ ,  $U_2 = V_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ ,  $U_1 = U_3 = 0$ , endowed with a nondegenerate symmetric form  $\varphi$  with  $U_0$  orthogonal to  $U_2$ . Then the group  $G(U, \varphi)_0$  of homogeneous isometries of  $U$ , equal to  $O(U_0) \times O(U_2)$ , is isomorphic to  $K_{\mathbb{C}} \times K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and its conjugation action on  $\mathfrak{g}(U, \varphi)_2$  can be identified with the action of  $K_{\mathbb{C}} \times K'_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$  (see Section 3 of [DKP]). It is easy to see that Theorem 3.19 and Corollary 3.20 describe a classification of nilpotent orbits in  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$  equivalent to theorem 3.6 in [DKP].

The case  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$  is similar. Let  $U = U_0 \oplus U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus U_3$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ -graded complex vector space, defined by  $U_0 = U_2 = 0$ ,  $U_1 = V_0|_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $U_3 = V_1|_{\mathbb{C}}$ , with the complex structures being the restriction of the structures of vector spaces over  $\mathbb{H}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a nondegenerate skew-symmetric form on  $U$  with  $U_1$  orthogonal to  $U_3$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}(U, \varphi)_2$  can be identified with  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$ . The group  $G(U, \varphi)_0$  of homogeneous isometries of  $U$  is equal to  $Sp(U_1) \times Sp(U_3)$ , and it is isomorphic to  $K_{\mathbb{C}} \times K'_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Its conjugation action on  $\mathfrak{g}(U, \varphi)_2$  can be identified with the action of  $K_{\mathbb{C}} \times K'_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$  (see Section 4 of [DKP]). Theorem 3.19 and Corollary 3.20 give a classification of nilpotent orbits in  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$  equivalent to Theorem 4.5 in [DKP].

## 5 Dual Pairs of type I

Now we consider the case of the color Lie algebra of a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded formed space  $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ . Let  $\tau$  be the form considered in (9) with  $\sigma = 1$ . Then

Table 1: List of indecomposable  $\sigma'$ -hermitian forms

$(\mathbb{D}, \iota)$	$\sigma' = 1$	$\sigma' = -1$
$(\mathbb{R}, id)$	$(\mathbb{R}, +) \quad (x, y) \mapsto xy$ $(\mathbb{R}, -) \quad (x, y) \mapsto -xy$	$(\mathbb{R}^2, sk) \quad (x, y) \mapsto x \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} y^t$
$(\mathbb{C}, id)$	$(\mathbb{C}, sym) \quad (x, y) \mapsto xy$	$(\mathbb{C}^2, sk) \quad (x, y) \mapsto x \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} y^t$
$(\mathbb{C}, \bar{\cdot})$	$(\mathbb{C}, +) \quad (x, y) \mapsto x\bar{y}$ $(\mathbb{C}, -) \quad (x, y) \mapsto -x\bar{y}$	$(\mathbb{C}, +i) \quad (x, y) \mapsto xi\bar{y}$ $(\mathbb{C}, -i) \quad (x, y) \mapsto -xi\bar{y}$
$(\mathbb{H}, \bar{\cdot})$	$(\mathbb{H}, +) \quad (x, y) \mapsto x\bar{y}$ $(\mathbb{H}, -) \quad (x, y) \mapsto -x\bar{y}$	$(\mathbb{H}, sk) \quad (x, y) \mapsto xj\bar{y}$

$\tau = \tau_0 \oplus \tau_1$ , where  $\tau_0$  is a non-degenerate hermitian form on  $V_0$  and  $\tau_1$  is a non-degenerate skew-hermitian form on  $V_1$ .

The group  $G(V, \tau)_0$ , defined in (10), is isomorphic to the direct product  $G(V_0, \tau_0) \times G(V_1, \tau_1)$ , by restriction. Similarly, the component of degree 0 of  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  is a Lie algebra isomorphic to the direct sum of the corresponding Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_0 = \mathfrak{g}(V_0, \tau_0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(V_1, \tau_1).$$

Hence the classification of  $G(V, \tau)_0$  orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_0$  is equivalent to the classification of  $G(V_0, \tau_0)$  orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}(V_0, \tau_0)$  and  $G(V_1, \tau_1)$  orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}(V_1, \tau_1)$ . We consider this case in subsection 5.1.

The action of  $G(V, \tau)_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1$  may be viewed in terms of dual pairs of type I. We explain it in subsection 5.2.

## 5.1 Nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebra of an isometry group

In order to describe  $G(V, \tau)_0$  orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_0$  we begin with a classification of  $(0, m)$ -admissible spaces. It follows from Proposition 3.17 that if the space  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau})$  is  $(0, m)$ -admissible, then  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}_b$  for some  $b = 0, 1$  and  $\tilde{\tau}$  is  $\sigma'$ -hermitian nondegenerate indecomposable form with  $\sigma' = (-1)^{b+m}$ . Such forms are well known. We collect them in Table 1. A complete classification of the orbits is given by Theorem 3.19 (with  $a = 0$ ).

## 5.2 Nilpotent orbits in $W$

Let  $W = \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$ . The groups  $G(V_0, \tau_0)$ ,  $G(V_1, \tau_1)$  act on the space  $W$  by the formula:

$$g_0(w) = wg_0^{-1}, \quad g_1(w) = g_1w \quad (28)$$

$$(g_0 \in G(V_0, \tau_0), g_1 \in G(V_1, \tau_1), w \in W).$$

Define a map  $\text{Hom}(V_0, V_1) \ni w \rightarrow w^* \in \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0)$  by

$$\tau_1(wv_0, v_1) = \tau_0(v_0, w^*v_1) \quad (v_0 \in V_0, v_1 \in V_1). \quad (29)$$

Then the formula

$$\langle w, w' \rangle = -tr_{\mathbb{D}/\mathbb{R}}(w'^*w) \quad (w, w' \in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)) \quad (30)$$

defines a non-degenerate symplectic form on the real vector space  $\text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$ . It is easy to see that the action (28) preserves the form (30). Hence the groups  $G(V_0, \tau_0)$ ,  $G(V_1, \tau_1)$  form a dual pair of type I in the symplectic group defined by the form (30). Furthermore, the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0 : \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1 \ni N &\rightarrow N^2|_{V_0} \in \mathfrak{g}(V_0, \tau_0), \\ \nu_1 : \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1 \ni N &\rightarrow N^2|_{V_1} \in \mathfrak{g}(V_1, \tau_1) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

coincide with the moment maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1) \ni w &\rightarrow -w^*w \in \mathfrak{g}(V_0, \tau_0), \\ \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1) \ni w &\rightarrow -ww^* \in \mathfrak{g}(V_1, \tau_1). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

**Lemma 5.1** *The map  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1 \ni N \rightarrow N|_{V_0} \in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear bijection which intertwines the adjoint action of  $G(V, \tau)_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1$  with the action (7.1) of  $G(V_0, \tau_0) \times G(V_1, \tau_1)$  on  $\text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $N \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1$ . Then for  $v_0 \in V_0$  and  $v_1 \in V_1$

$$\tau_1(Nv_0, v_1) = \tau(Nv_0, v_1) = \tau(v_0, SNv_1) = -\tau_0(v_0, Nv_1).$$

Hence,  $N|_{V_1} = -(N|_{V_0})^*$ . Thus the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map  $N \rightarrow N|_{V_0}$  is bijective.

For  $g \in G(V, \tau)_0$  let  $g_0 = g|_{V_0}$  and let  $g_1 = g|_{V_1}$ . Then

$$(gNg^{-1})|_{V_0} = g_1(N|_{V_0})g_0^{-1}.$$

□

Notice by the way, that in terms of Lemma (5.1), the symplectic form (30) coincides with the graded trace

$$\langle N, N' \rangle = \frac{1}{4}tr_{\mathbb{D}/\mathbb{R}}([SN, N']), \quad (N, N' \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1), \quad (33)$$

where  $[-, -]$  is the bracket defined in (3) i.e.

$$[SN, N'] = SNN' + N'SN \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1. \quad (34)$$

We see from Lemma 5.1 that the problem of classifying the nilpotent orbits in the symplectic space  $\text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$  under the action of the dual pair  $G(V_0, \tau_0)$ ,  $G(V_1, \tau_1)$  is equivalent to the problem of classifying the nilpotent  $G(V, \tau)_0$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1$ , considered in section 2.2. In order to obtain a classification of the orbits we need a list of  $(1, m)$ -admissible spaces. Such a list is provided in Table 2. The following proposition gives an explicit description of indecomposable graded nilpotent morphisms. The proposition follows directly from Theorem 3.19 and Table 2.

Table 2: List of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $(1, m)$ -admissible spaces

Case 1.  $m$  even

$(\mathbb{D}, \iota)$	$m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	$m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$
$(\mathbb{R}, id)$	$(\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{R}, +)$	$(\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{R}^2, sk)$
	$(\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{R}, -)$	$(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{R}, +)$
	$(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{R}^2, sk)$	$(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{R}, -)$
$(\mathbb{C}, id)$	$(\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{C}, sym)$	$(\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{C}^2, sk)$
	$(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{C}^2, sk)$	$(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{C}, sym)$
$(\mathbb{C}, \bar{\cdot})$	$(\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{C}, +)$	$(\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{C}, i)$
	$(\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{C}, -)$	$(\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{C}, -i)$
	$(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{C}, i)$	$(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{C}, +)$
	$(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{C}, -i)$	$(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{C}, -)$
$(\mathbb{H}, \bar{\cdot})$	$(\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{H}, +)$	$(\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{H}, sk)$
	$(\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{H}, -)$	$(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{H}, +)$
	$(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{H}, sk)$	$(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{\tau}) = (\mathbb{H}, -)$

Case 2.  $m$  odd

$m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$	$m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$
$\tilde{V} = \mathbb{D}[0] \oplus \mathbb{D}[1]$	$\tilde{V} = \mathbb{D}[0] \oplus \mathbb{D}[1]$
$\tilde{\tau}(x, y) = x \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \iota(y)^t$	$\tilde{\tau}(x, y) = x \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \iota(y)^t$

**Proposition 5.2** *The following is a complete list of all non-zero nilpotent indecomposable elements  $(N, V)$ ,  $N \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1$ , up to similarity.*

- (a)  $m \in 4\mathbb{Z}$ ;  
 $V = \sum_{k=0}^m \mathbb{D}v_k$ ,  $v_{\text{even}} \in V_0$ ,  $v_{\text{odd}} \in V_1$ ;  
 $v_k = N^k v_0 \neq 0$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $Nv_m = 0$ ;  
 $\tau(v_k, v_l) = 0$  if  $l \neq m - k$ ,  $\tau(v_k, v_{m-k}) = (-1)^k \delta(k) \delta(\frac{m}{2}) \text{sgn}(\tau_0)$ ,  
where  $\text{sgn}(\tau_0) = 1$  if  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\iota = 1$ ;
- (b)  $m \in 4\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{D} \neq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\iota \neq 1$ ;  
 $V = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \mathbb{D}v_k$ ,  $v_{\text{even}} \in V_0$ ,  $v_{\text{odd}} \in V_1$ ;  
 $v_{k+1} = N^k v_1 \neq 0$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $Nv_{m+1} = 0$ ;  
 $\tau(v_k, v_l) = 0$  if  $l \neq m + 2 - k$ ,  $\tau(v_k, v_{m+2-k}) = \delta(k-1) \tau(v_1, v_{m+1})$ ,  
 $\tau(v_1, v_{m+1}) = i \text{sgn}(-i\tau_1) \delta(1 + \frac{m}{2})$  if  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$ ;  
 $\tau(v_1, v_{m+1}) = j$  if  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$ ;
- (c)  $m \in 4\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{D} \neq \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\iota = 1$ ;  
 $V = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (\mathbb{D}v_k \oplus \mathbb{D}v'_k)$ ,  $v_{\text{even}}, v'_{\text{even}} \in V_0$ ,  $v_{\text{odd}}, v'_{\text{odd}} \in V_1$ ;  
 $v_{k+1} = N^k v_1 \neq 0$ ,  $v'_{k+1} = N^k v'_1 \neq 0$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $Nv_{m+1} = 0$ ,  $Nv'_{m+1} = 0$ ;  
 $\tau(v_k, v_l) = \tau(v'_k, v'_l) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq k, l \leq m+1$ ,  
 $\tau(v_k, v'_l) = \tau(v'_k, v_l) = 0$ ,  $l \neq m+2-k$ ,  
 $\tau(v_k, v'_{m+2-k}) = -\tau(v'_k, v_{m+2-k}) = \delta(k-1)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m+1$ ;
- (d)  $m \in 2\mathbb{Z} \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{D} \neq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\iota \neq 1$ ;  
 $V = \sum_{k=0}^m \mathbb{D}v_k$ ,  $v_{\text{even}} \in V_0$ ,  $v_{\text{odd}} \in V_1$ ;  
 $v_k = N^k v_0 \neq 0$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $Nv_m = 0$ ;  
 $\tau(v_k, v_l) = 0$  if  $l \neq m - k$ ,  $\tau(v_k, v_{m-k}) = \delta(k-1) i \text{sgn}(-i\tau_1)$ ,  
(here  $-i\tau_1$  is hermitian);
- (e)  $m \in 2\mathbb{Z} \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}$ ;  
 $V = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \mathbb{D}v_k$ ,  $v_{\text{even}} \in V_0$ ,  $v_{\text{odd}} \in V_1$ ;  
 $v_{k+1} = N^k v_1 \neq 0$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $Nv_{m+1} = 0$ ;  
 $\tau(v_k, v_l) = 0$  if  $l \neq m + 2 - k$ ,  $\tau(v_k, v_{m+2-k}) = \delta(k) \tau(v_1, v_{m+1})$ ,  
 $\tau(v_1, v_{m+1}) = -\delta(1 + \frac{m}{2}) \text{sgn}(\tau_0)$ ;
- (f)  $m \in 2\mathbb{Z} \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{D} \neq \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\iota = 1$ ;  
 $V = \sum_{k=0}^m (\mathbb{D}v_k \oplus \mathbb{D}v'_k)$ ,  $v_{\text{even}}, v'_{\text{even}} \in V_0$ ,  $v_{\text{odd}}, v'_{\text{odd}} \in V_1$ ;  
 $v_k = N^k v_0 \neq 0$ ,  $v'_k = N^k v'_0 \neq 0$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $Nv_m = 0$ ,  $Nv'_m = 0$ ;  
 $\tau(v_k, v_l) = \tau(v'_k, v'_l) = 0$ ,  $0 \leq k, l \leq m$ ,  
 $\tau(v_k, v'_l) = \tau(v'_k, v_l) = 0$ ,  $l \neq m - k$ ,  
 $\tau(v_k, v'_{m-k}) = -\tau(v'_k, v_{m-k}) = \delta(k-1)$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ ;

$$\begin{aligned}
& m \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1; \\
& V = \sum_{k=0}^m (\mathbb{D}v_k \oplus \mathbb{D}v'_{k+1}), \quad v_{\text{even}}, v'_{\text{even}} \in V_0, \quad v_{\text{odd}}, v'_{\text{odd}} \in V_1; \\
(g) \quad & v_k = N^k v_0 \neq 0, v'_{k+1} = N^k v'_1 \neq 0, 0 \leq k \leq m, Nv_m = 0, Nv'_{m+1} = 0; \\
& \tau(v_k, v_l) = \tau(v'_{k+1}, v'_{l+1}) = 0, \quad 0 \leq k, l \leq m, \\
& \tau(v_k, v'_{l+1}) = \tau(v'_{k+1}, v_l) = 0, \quad l \neq m - k, \\
& \tau(v_k, v'_{m+1-k}) = \delta(k)\delta(m), \tau(v'_{k+1}, v_{m-k}) = \delta(k-1), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m;
\end{aligned}$$

### 5.3 Nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$

We assume that if  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$  then the involution  $\iota$  is nontrivial.

**Lemma 5.3** *Up to conjugation by  $G(V, \tau)_0$ , there is a unique element  $T \in G(V, \tau)_0$  such that  $T^2 = S$  and such that the form  $\tau(Tu, v)$  ( $u, v \in V$ ), is hermitian and positive definite. In particular  $\theta = \text{Ad}(T)$  is a Cartan involution on  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_0$ .*

Furthermore, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1 \ni N & \longrightarrow & N|_{V_0} \in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1) \\
T \downarrow & & J \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1 \ni TNT^{-1} & \longrightarrow & (TNT^{-1})|_{V_0} \in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)
\end{array}$$

defines positive compatible complex structure  $J$  on the symplectic space  $\text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$  (i.e.  $J$  preserves the symplectic form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defined in (7.3),  $J^2 = -1$  and the form  $\langle J \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is symmetric and positive definite).

If we define a map  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1 \ni N \rightarrow N^\dagger \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1$  by  $\tau(TNu, v) = \tau(Tu, N^\dagger v)$ , then  $J(N) = SN^\dagger$ .

*Proof:* We shall give an explicit construction of  $T$ . For integers  $p \geq 0, q \geq 0$  ( $p + q > 0$ ) and for  $n \geq 1$ , let

$$I_{p,q} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{bmatrix}, \quad J_{2n} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

There are three cases to consider.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}, \quad V_0 = \mathbb{R}^{p+q}, \quad V_1 = \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \\
(a) \quad & \tau_0(u, v) = u^t I_{p,q} v \quad (u, v \in V_0), \\
& \tau_1(u, v) = u^t J_{2n} v \quad (u, v \in V_1), \\
& T|_{V_0} := I_{p,q}, \quad T|_{V_1} := J_{2n}; \\
& \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}, \quad V_0 = \mathbb{C}^{p+q}, \quad V_1 = \mathbb{C}^{r+s}, \\
(b) \quad & \tau_0(u, v) = u^t I_{p,q} \iota(v) \quad (u, v \in V_0), \\
& \tau_1(u, v) = u^t i I_{r,s} \iota(v) \quad (u, v \in V_1), \\
& T|_{V_0} := I_{p,q}, \quad T|_{V_1} := -i I_{r,s};
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{D} &= \mathbb{H}, \quad V_0 = \mathbb{H}^{p+q}, \quad V_1 = \mathbb{H}^n, \\
\tau_0(u, v) &= u^\dagger I_{p,q} \iota(v) \quad (u, v \in V_0), \\
\tau_1(u, v) &= u^\dagger j I_n \iota(v) \quad (u, v \in V_1), \\
(c) \quad T|_{V_0} &:= I_{p,q}, \quad T|_{V_1} := \text{right multiplication by } j^{-1}, \\
&\text{where } j \in \mathbb{H} \text{ is such that } \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C} \oplus j\mathbb{C}, \quad \iota(j) = -j = j^{-1} \\
&\text{and } jzj^{-1} = \iota(z) \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the last statement we notice that for  $u, v \in V$  and for  $N \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_1$  we have

$$\tau(TNu, v) = \tau(TNT^{-1}Tu, v) = \tau(Tu, STNT^{-1}v).$$

Hence,  $N^\dagger = STNT^{-1}$ , and therefore  $J(N) = TNT^{-1} = SN^\dagger$ , as claimed.

Via a case by case analysis we see that the condition  $T^2 = S$  determines  $T$  up to a sign. But this sign is determined by the positivity of the form  $\tau(Tu, v)$ . Thus there is a one to one correspondence between the elements  $T$  and the maximal compact subgroups. Hence the  $T$  is unique up to conjugation.  $\square$

Let  $K = G(V, \tau)_0^T$  and  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_0^T$ . Since the form  $\tau(Tu, v)$  ( $u, v \in V$ ) is hermitian and positive definite,  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(V, \tau)_0$  corresponding to the Cartan involution  $\theta$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the Lie algebra of  $K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the (-1)-eigenspace of  $\theta$  on  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_0$  so that

$$\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_0 = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} \tag{35}$$

is the corresponding Cartan decomposition.

In order to describe the complexifications  $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}$  and  $K_\mathbb{C}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $K$  lets us define

$$U = V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \text{ if } \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } U = V|_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ if } \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C} \text{ or } \mathbb{H}. \tag{36}$$

Then  $U$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  and the element  $T$  constructed in Lemma 5.3 acts on  $U$ . Since  $T^4 = S^2 = 1$ ,  $T$  has at most four eigenvalues:  $1, i, -1, -i$ . Let

$$U_k = \{u \in U; Tu = i^k u\} \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, 3). \tag{37}$$

Then

$$U = U_0 \oplus U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus U_3 \tag{38}$$

and  $U$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let us first analyze the case of  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$ . In this case  $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C} = \text{End}(U)_2$  and  $K_\mathbb{C} = GL(U_0) \times GL(U_1) \times GL(U_2) \times GL(U_3)$ . Since

$$\text{End}(U)_2 = \text{Hom}(U_0, U_2) \oplus \text{Hom}(U_2, U_0) \oplus \text{Hom}(U_1, U_3) \oplus \text{Hom}(U_3, U_1),$$



the problem of the classification of nilpotent  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is equivalent to analogous problem for the nilpotent orbits of  $GL(U_0) \times GL(U_2)$  in  $\text{Hom}(U_0, U_2) \oplus \text{Hom}(U_2, U_0)$  and the nilpotent orbits of  $GL(U_1) \times GL(U_3)$  in  $\text{Hom}(U_1, U_3) \oplus \text{Hom}(U_3, U_1)$ .

This is exactly the problem studied in section 4.2. As an immediate application of corollary 4.2 we get the description of the indecomposable nilpotents in  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$  in this case. To make things simpler, we assume that  $V = V_0$  (so that  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau) = \mathfrak{u}_{p,q}$  for some  $p, q$ ).

**Proposition 5.4** *If  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$  and the anti-involution  $\iota$  is nontrivial, there are two orbits of indecomposable nilpotent elements  $(N, U)$  in  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , determined by the condition  $\tilde{U} = \mathbb{C}[b]i$ ,  $b = 0, 2$ .*

If  $V = V_1$  (with  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau) = \mathfrak{u}_{p,q}$  for some  $p, q$  as well), the description of orbits is the same, except now  $b = 1, 3$ .

From now on let  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ . We shall define a sesqui-linear form  $\phi$  on the space  $U$ , as follows.

If  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$  we let  $\phi$  be the unique complex linear extension of the form  $\tau$ . Then  $\phi|_{U_0+U_2}$  is symmetric, and  $\phi|_{U_0}, \phi|_{U_2}$  are non-degenerate. Furthermore,  $\phi|_{U_1+U_3}$  is skew-symmetric, and  $\phi|_{U_1} = 0, \phi|_{U_3} = 0$ .

If  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$  we define

$$\phi(u, v) = \left( \frac{1}{2i} (i\tau(u, v) + \tau(u, v)i) - \tau(u, v) \right) j \quad (u, v \in U). \quad (39)$$

**Lemma 5.5** *The form  $\phi$  defined in (39) is  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued,  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear non-degenerate form on  $U$ . The restricted form  $\phi|_{U_0+U_2}$  is skew-symmetric, and  $\phi|_{U_0}, \phi|_{U_2}$  are non-degenerate. Similarly,  $\phi|_{U_1+U_3}$  is symmetric, and  $\phi|_{U_1} = 0, \phi|_{U_3} = 0$ . Moreover, for  $x \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{H}}(V)_0$  and for  $u, v \in V$ , we have  $\tau(xu, v) = -\tau(u, xv)$  if and only if  $\phi(xu, v) = -\phi(u, xv)$ .*

*Proof:* Notice that for any quaternion  $q = a + bj$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$\left( \frac{1}{2i} (iq + qi) - q \right) j = b.$$

Hence  $\phi$  takes values in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Since  $zj = j\bar{z}$ , for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , it is easy to check that  $\phi$  is bilinear over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore,  $\iota(q) = \bar{a} - bj$ , so

$$\left( \frac{1}{2i} (i\iota(q) + \iota(q)i) - \iota(q) \right) j = -b.$$

Thus if a restriction of  $\tau$  to a subspace  $U' \subset U$  is hermitian then  $\phi|_{U'}$  is skew-symmetric, and if  $\tau|_{U'}$  is skew-hermitian then  $\phi|_{U'}$  is symmetric.

Suppose  $\phi(u, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in W$ . Then  $\tau(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}$  for all  $v \in W$ . But then  $\tau(u, jv) = -\tau(u, v)j \in \mathbb{C}j$ . Hence  $\tau(u, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in U$ . Thus  $u = 0$ , so  $\phi$  is non-degenerate.

The last claim follows from the equation (39) defining  $\phi$  in terms of  $\tau$  and from the following, easy to check, equation expressing  $\tau$  in terms of  $\phi$ :

$$\tau(u, v) = -\phi(u, jv) + \phi(u, v)j \quad (u, v \in U).$$

□

Thus in any case, the form  $\phi$  is non-degenerate sesqui-linear form over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore,  $\phi$  satisfies condition (9) with  $\sigma = 1$  if  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$  and with  $\sigma = -1$  if  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$ .

A straightforward argument shows that  $\mathfrak{g}(U, \phi)_0$  coincides with the complexification  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_0^T$  (the centralizer of  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_0$ ), and that  $K = G(V, \tau)_0^T$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(V, \tau)_0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\mathbb{C}} &= G(U, \phi)_0^T = \{g \in G(U, \phi); gT = Tg\} \\ &= \{g \in G(U, \phi); g(U_k) = U_k \text{ and for all } k\}, \\ \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} &= \mathfrak{g}(U, \phi)_2 = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}(U, \phi); x(U_k) \subseteq U_{k+2} \text{ for all } k\}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

As explained in theorem 3.19, the orbits of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$  are determined by  $(2, m)$ -admissible spaces  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$ . According to Proposition 3.17, they look as follows.

**Proposition 5.6** *If  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$  then  $\phi$  satisfies condition (9) with  $\sigma = 1$  and  $(2, m)$ -admissible spaces  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  are of the form:*

1.  $\tilde{U} = \mathbb{C}[b]$ , where  $b + m$  is even, and  $\tilde{\phi}$  is symmetric;
2.  $\tilde{U} = \mathbb{C}[b] \oplus \mathbb{C}[b + 2]$ , where  $b + m$  is odd, and  $\tilde{\phi}$  is antisymmetric.

*If  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$  then  $\phi$  satisfies condition (9) with  $\sigma = -1$  and  $(2, m)$ -admissible spaces  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  are of the form:*

1.  $\tilde{U} = \mathbb{C}^2[b]$ , where  $b + m$  is even, and  $\tilde{\phi}$  is antisymmetric;
2.  $\tilde{U} = \mathbb{C}[b] \oplus \mathbb{C}[b + 2]$ , where  $b + m$  is odd, and  $\tilde{\phi}$  is symmetric with maximal isotropic subspaces  $\mathbb{C}[b]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[b + 2]$ .

## 5.4 Nilpotent orbits in $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$

By definition, the space  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$  is equal to the  $i$ -eigenspace of  $J$  acting on the complexification of  $W$ . In the case of  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$  we have  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+ = \text{End}(U)_1$  and the problem of classification of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits in  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$  is equivalent to the problem of classification of  $GL(U)_0$ -orbits in  $\text{End}(U)_1$ .

This problem is analogous to the problem studied in section 4.2, we leave the details to the reader.

In the case of  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$  we have  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+ = \mathfrak{g}(U, \phi)_1$  and  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits in  $W_{\mathbb{C}}^+$  are  $G(U, \phi)_0$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}(U, \phi)_1$ . In order to understand them in terms of Theorem 3.19, we need to list  $(1, m)$ -admissible spaces.

**Proposition 5.7** *With  $\sigma$  as in Proposition 5.6, all  $(1, m)$ -admissible spaces  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  are as follows:*

1.  $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}_b$ ,  $m$  is even, and  $\tilde{\phi}$  is  $\sigma$ -symmetric;
2.  $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}_b \oplus U_{-b-m}$ , where  $2b + m \neq 0$ , and  $\tilde{\phi}$  is  $\sigma'$ -symmetric with  $\sigma' = (-1)^{(m+1)b} \delta(m) \sigma$ .

## 6 The Cayley transform and the Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence

We begin by recalling the Kostant-Sekiguchi bijection.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a reductive Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  be its complexification. Recall that a standard triple  $(e, f, h)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a triple of elements  $e, f, h \in \mathfrak{g}$  satisfying conditions

$$[e, f] = h, [h, e] = 2e, [h, f] = -2f.$$

Fix a Cartan involution  $\theta$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with Cartan decompositions  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . A standard triple  $(e, f, h)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a *Cayley triple*, if

$$f = -\theta(e), h = -\theta(h).$$

By definition, the Cayley transform of a Cayley triple  $(e, f, h)$  is the standard triple  $(e', f', h')$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} e' &= \frac{1}{2}(e + f - ih), \\ f' &= \frac{1}{2}(e + f + ih), \\ h' &= -i(e - f). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

The Kostant-Sekiguchi bijection maps the  $G$ -orbit of  $e$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  into the  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit of  $e'$  in  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , where  $G$  and  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  are the adjoint groups of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  respectively.

**Proposition 6.1** *Let  $(e, f, h)$  be a standard triple in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and let*

$$\mathcal{C} = \exp\left(i\frac{\pi}{4} \text{ad}(e + f)\right) \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}). \tag{42}$$

*Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(e) &= \frac{1}{2}(e + f - ih), \\ \mathcal{C}(f) &= \frac{1}{2}(e + f + ih), \\ \mathcal{C}(h) &= -i(e - f). \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

In particular, if  $(e, f, h)$  is a Cayley triple in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then the triple

$$(\mathcal{C}(e), \mathcal{C}(f), \mathcal{C}(h))$$

is equal to the Cayley transform of  $(e, f, h)$ .

*Proof:* The following formulas are easy to check:

$$\begin{aligned} ad(e+f)^{2k}e &= 2^{2k-1}(e-f) & (k \geq 1), \\ ad(e+f)^{2k+1}e &= -2^{2k}h & (k \geq 0), \\ ad(e+f)^{2k}f &= -2^{2k-1}(e-f) & (k \geq 1), \\ ad(e+f)^{2k+1}f &= 2^{2k}h & (k \geq 0), \\ ad(e+f)^{2k}h &= 2^{2k}h & (k \geq 0), \\ ad(e+f)^{2k+1}h &= -2^{2k+1}(e-f) & (k \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(z ad(e+f))e &= \cosh^2(z)e - \sinh^2(z)f - \cosh(z) \sinh(z)h, \\ \exp(z ad(e+f))f &= -\sinh^2(z)e + \cosh^2(z)f + \cosh(z) \sinh(z)h, \\ \exp(z ad(e+f))h &= \cosh(2z)h - \sinh(2z)(e-f). \end{aligned}$$

Substitution  $z = i\frac{\pi}{4}$  ends the proof.  $\square$

Let  $V$  and  $\tau$  be as in section 5.1, with additional assumption that either  $V = V_0$  or  $V = V_1$ . Let  $T$  be as in section 5.3, let  $\theta$  be the Cartan involution on  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  equal to the conjugation by  $T$  and assume that  $e, f, h \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  form a Cayley triple. Then  $i(e+f)$  is in the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  which coincides with  $\mathfrak{g}(U, \phi)$  as in section 5.4. Set

$$c = \exp\left(i\frac{\pi}{4}(e+f)\right) \in G(U, \phi). \quad (44)$$

Then a standard Lie theory argument shows that the automorphism  $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)_{\mathbb{C}}) = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}(U, \phi))$  defined by (42) is equal to the conjugation by  $c$ , in particular proposition 6.1 implies that the Kostant-Sekiguchi bijection maps the orbit of  $e$  to the orbit of  $cec^{-1}$ .

## 6.1 The Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence for indecomposable nilpotents

In this section we will compute the Kostant-Sekiguchi map  $e \mapsto \mathcal{C}(e) = cec^{-1}$  for indecomposable nilpotents  $e \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$ . We will do this by a case-by-case analysis, according to the classification of nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  described in section 5.1. In each case we will

– define the space  $V$ ,

- describe the action of  $e$  on an explicit basis of  $V$ ,
- define the form  $\tau$  in this basis,
- define the map  $T : V \rightarrow V$  in this basis,
- compute the element  $c \in G(U, \phi)$  in the basis of  $U$  induced by the chosen basis of  $V$ ,
- compute the formed space  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  corresponding to the nilpotent element  $(cec^{-1}, U)$  as in section 5.3.

We begin with a general construction, which will be used in all the cases.

Let  $\xi$  be a non-degenerate symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be a basis such that

$$\xi(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) = \xi(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) = 0, \quad \xi(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = 1. \quad (45)$$

We extend the form  $\xi$  to the tensor algebra of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and restrict to the subspace  $S^m \mathbb{R}^2$  of symmetric tensors homogeneous of degree  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\xi(\epsilon_1^k \epsilon_2^{m-k}, \epsilon_1^l \epsilon_2^{m-l}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m-k} \frac{k!(m-k)!}{m!}, & \text{if } l = m - k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

Let  $\mathbb{V} = S^m \mathbb{R}^2$ . We set

$$\tau(u, v) = (-1)^m \xi(u, v) \quad (u, v \in \mathbb{V}). \quad (47)$$

Let  $v_k = \epsilon_1^k \epsilon_2^{m-k}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ . Then (46) may be rewritten as

$$\tau(v_k, v_l) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k \frac{k!(m-k)!}{m!}, & \text{if } l = m - k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

Let  $\mathbb{T} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  be a linear map defined by

$$\mathbb{T}v_k = (-1)^{m-k} v_{m-k} \quad (0 \leq k \leq m). \quad (49)$$

Then (48) implies that  $\mathbb{T} \in G(\mathbb{V}, \tau)$  and that the form  $\tau(\mathbb{T}, \cdot)$  is symmetric and positive definite. Let  $E, F, H \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}^2, \xi)$  (a Lie algebra isomorphic to  $sl_2(\mathbb{R})$ ) be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E(\epsilon_1) &= 0, & F(\epsilon_1) &= \epsilon_2, & H(\epsilon_1) &= \epsilon_1, \\ E(\epsilon_2) &= \epsilon_1, & F(\epsilon_2) &= 0, & H(\epsilon_2) &= -\epsilon_2. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

These elements act on  $\mathbb{V}$  and it is easy to check that

$$E(v_k) = (m - k)v_{k+1}, \quad F(v_k) = kv_{k-1}, \quad H(v_k) = (-m + 2k)v_k. \quad (51)$$

The formulas (49) and (51) imply that the elements  $E$ ,  $F$  and  $H$  form a Cayley triple in  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{V}, \tau)$  with respect to the Cartan involution  $\theta = Ad(\mathbb{T})$ .

The point of this construction is to avoid direct calculation of the exponential in (44). Instead we proceed as follows. Let  $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{V} = S^m \mathbb{C}^2$  be the complexification of  $\mathbb{V}$ . The complexification of the group  $G(\mathbb{R}^2, \xi)$  (isomorphic to  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ ) acts on the space  $\mathbb{U}$ . Consider  $E, F$  as elements of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . It is easy to check that for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\exp(z(E + F))(\epsilon_1) &= \cosh(z)\epsilon_1 + \sinh(z)\epsilon_2 \\ \exp(z(E + F))(\epsilon_2) &= \sinh(z)\epsilon_1 + \cosh(z)\epsilon_2,\end{aligned}$$

and hence, as an endomorphism of  $\mathbb{U}$ ,

$$\exp(z(E + F))(v_k) = \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \binom{k}{l} \binom{m-k}{j} \cosh(z)^{m-k+l-j} \sinh(z)^{k-l+j} v_{l+j}.$$

Therefore, by taking  $z = i\frac{\pi}{4}$ ,

$$c(v_k) = 2^{-m/2} \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \binom{k}{l} \binom{m-k}{j} i^{k-l+j} v_{l+j}. \quad (52)$$

The formulas (49) and (52) imply that

$$\mathbb{T}(c(v_k)) = i^{m-2k} c(v_k) \quad (0 \leq k \leq m). \quad (53)$$

Consider first the case  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ . According to section 5.1 there are six possibilities for the formed space  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau})$  corresponding to an indecomposable nilpotent element  $e \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  of height  $m$ , namely  $(\mathbb{R}[b], +)$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}[b], -)$  with  $b+m$  even,  $(\mathbb{R}^2[b], sk)$  with  $b+m$  odd,  $b = 0, 1$ , where as usual  $\mathbb{R}^k[b]$  denotes the graded vector space concentrated in degree  $b$ .

For an explicit realization of the first case let  $(V, \tau) = (\mathbb{V}[b], \tau)$ ,  $T = \mathbb{T}$  and  $e = E$ . The space  $V$  has a decomposition

$$V = \mathbb{R}v_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}v_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}v_m, \quad (54)$$

with  $e(\mathbb{R}v_k) = \mathbb{R}v_{k+1}$  and

$$\tau(v_0, e^m(v_0)) = m!, \quad (55)$$

which implies that the space  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau})$  is of the form  $(\mathbb{R}[b], +)$ .

The complexification  $U = \mathbb{U}$  has a decomposition

$$U = \mathbb{C}c(v_0) \oplus \mathbb{C}c(v_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}c(v_m), \quad (56)$$

with  $cec^{-1}(\mathbb{C}c(v_k)) = \mathbb{C}c(v_{k+1})$ . Moreover, by (53),

$$T(c(v_0)) = i^m c(v_0).$$

This implies that the formed space  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  is of the form  $(\mathbb{C}[\overline{m}], \tilde{\phi})$  with  $\tilde{\phi}$  symmetric,  $\overline{m} = m \pmod{4}$ , so this is the formed space corresponding to the nilpotent element  $(cec^{-1}, U) \in \mathfrak{g}(U, \phi)_2$

In the same way, if we consider the space  $(V, \tau') = (\mathbb{V}[b], -\tau)$  with  $T = -\mathbb{T}$ , the indecomposable formed space corresponding to  $(e, V)$  will be of type  $\mathbb{R}[b], -)$ , and indecomposable formed space corresponding to  $(cec^{-1}, U)$  will be of type  $(\mathbb{C}[\overline{m+2}], \tilde{\phi})$  with  $\tilde{\phi}$  symmetric (the eigenvalue of  $T$  on  $c(v_0)$  is equal to  $-i^m = i^{m+2}$  in this case).

Finally we consider the space  $V = \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$  with the form  $\tau \otimes \xi$ , where  $\xi$  is the skew-symmetric form on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  defined in (45). Let  $R$  be the endomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $R(\epsilon_1) = \epsilon_2, R(\epsilon_2) = -\epsilon_1$ . Then the form  $\xi(R, \cdot)$  is symmetric and positive definite. Let  $T = \mathbb{T} \otimes R : V \rightarrow V$ . The form  $(\tau \otimes \xi)(T, \cdot)$  is symmetric and positive definite. Moreover,  $T \in G(V \otimes \mathbb{R}^2, \tau \otimes \xi)$ , and it is clear that the elements  $e = E \otimes 1, f = F \otimes 1, h = H \otimes 1$  form a Cayley triple in  $\mathfrak{g}(V \otimes \mathbb{R}^2, \tau \otimes \xi)$  with respect to the Cartan involution  $Ad(T)$ . Let  $U = V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . We have

$$(\tau \otimes \xi)(v_0 \otimes \epsilon_\mu, e^m(v_0 \otimes \epsilon_\nu)) = \tau(v_0, E^m(v_0))\xi(\epsilon_\mu, \epsilon_\nu) = m!\xi(\epsilon_\mu, \epsilon_\nu),$$

$$c(v_k \otimes \epsilon_\nu) = 2^{-m/2} \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{r=0}^{m-k} \binom{k}{l} \binom{m-k}{r} i^{k-l+r} v_{l+r} \otimes \epsilon_\nu,$$

$$T(c(v_k \otimes \epsilon_\nu)) = i^{m-2k} c(v_k \otimes R(\epsilon_\nu)).$$

Hence, in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} T(c(v_0 \otimes \epsilon_1) + ic(v_0 \otimes \epsilon_2)) &= -i^{m+1}(c(v_0 \otimes \epsilon_1) + ic(v_0 \otimes \epsilon_2)), \\ T(c(v_0 \otimes \epsilon_1) - ic(v_0 \otimes \epsilon_2)) &= i^{m+1}(c(v_0 \otimes \epsilon_1) - ic(v_0 \otimes \epsilon_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

It follows that  $T$  has two eigenvalues  $i^{m+1}, i^{m+3}$  on the space  $\tilde{U}$ , so the formed space  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  is of type  $(\mathbb{C}[\overline{m+1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}[\overline{m+3}], \tilde{\phi})$ .

We can now sum up our computations in the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.2** *The Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence for the orbits of indecomposable nilpotent elements in Lie algebras of isometries of formed spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$  maps the orbit  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  of a nilpotent element of height  $m$  corresponding to a formed space  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau})$  into the orbit  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(U, \phi)$  corresponding to the formed space  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  as in the following table.*

$m$	$\mathcal{O}$	$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O})$
<i>even</i>	$(\mathbb{R}[0], +)$	$(\mathbb{C}[\overline{m}], sym)$
<i>even</i>	$(\mathbb{R}[0], -)$	$(\mathbb{C}[\overline{m+2}], sym)$
<i>odd</i>	$(\mathbb{R}^2[0], sk)$	$(\mathbb{C}[0] \oplus \mathbb{C}[2], sk)$
<i>odd</i>	$(\mathbb{R}[1], +)$	$(\mathbb{C}[\overline{m}], sym)$
<i>odd</i>	$(\mathbb{R}[1], -)$	$(\mathbb{C}[\overline{m+2}], sym)$
<i>even</i>	$(\mathbb{R}^2[1], sk)$	$(\mathbb{C}[1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[3], sk)$

Let now  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ . Let  $\tau_{\mathbb{D}}$  be a hermitian form on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $\tau_{\mathbb{D}}(1, 1) = 1$ . Consider the left vector space  $V = \mathbb{D} \otimes \mathbb{V}$  over  $\mathbb{D}$ , with the form  $\tau_{\mathbb{D}} \otimes \tau$ . Then the map  $T = 1 \otimes \mathbb{T}$  belongs to  $G(V, \tau_{\mathbb{D}} \otimes \tau)$  and the form  $(\tau_{\mathbb{D}} \otimes \tau)(T, \cdot)$  is hermitian and positive definite. Furthermore, it is clear that the elements  $e = 1 \otimes E$ ,  $f = 1 \otimes F$ ,  $h = 1 \otimes H$  form a Cayley triple in  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau_{\mathbb{D}} \otimes \tau)$  with respect to  $Ad(T)$ . The equality (55) implies that the indecomposable formed space  $(\tilde{V}, \tau_{\mathbb{D}} \otimes \tau)$  is of the form  $(\mathbb{D}[b], +)$ .

Moreover, the map  $c$  defined in (44), can be written as

$$c(1 \otimes v_k) = 2^{-m/2} \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{r=0}^{m-k} \binom{k}{l} \binom{m-k}{r} i^{k-l+r} \otimes v_{l+r},$$

and therefore

$$T(c(1 \otimes v_k)) = i^{m-2k} c(1 \otimes v_k) \quad (0 \leq k \leq m). \quad (58)$$

Assume now that  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$  with  $\iota$  the complex conjugation. By the results of section 5.1 there are two possibilities for the formed space corresponding to an indecomposable nilpotent element of height  $m$ , namely  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$  and  $(\mathbb{C}, -)$  for even  $m$  and  $(\mathbb{C}, +i)$  and  $(\mathbb{C}, -i)$  for odd  $m$  (we assume that  $\mathbb{C}$  is in degree zero in all cases). Similarly, by Proposition 5.4 the formed space  $\tilde{U}$  corresponding to an orbit of an indecomposable nilpotent element in  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is of the form  $\mathbb{C}[k]$ ,  $k = 0, 2$ . In the above construction the space  $(\tilde{V}, \tau_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \tau)$  is of the form  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ , and the space  $\tilde{U}$  is equal to  $\mathbb{C}[\overline{m}]$ . When we replace the form  $\tau_{\mathbb{C}}$  by  $i^k \tau_{\mathbb{C}}$  and the map  $T$  by  $i^{-k} T$ , we will get the remaining cases of the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.3** *The Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence for the orbits of indecomposable nilpotent elements in Lie algebras of isometries of formed spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$  maps the orbit  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  of a nilpotent element of height  $m$  corresponding to a formed space  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau})$  into the orbit  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(U, \phi)$  corresponding to the space  $\tilde{U}$  as in the following table.*

$\mathcal{O}$	$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O})$
$(\mathbb{C}, +)$	$\mathbb{C}[\overline{m}]$
$(\mathbb{C}, -)$	$\mathbb{C}[\overline{m+2}]$
$(\mathbb{C}, +i)$	$\mathbb{C}[\overline{m+3}]$
$(\mathbb{C}, -i)$	$\mathbb{C}[\overline{m+1}]$



Assume now that  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$ , so our formed space is equal to  $(V, \tau_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \tau)$ . It follows that

$$T(c(1 \otimes v_0)) = i^m c(1 \otimes v_0),$$

and similarly

$$T(c(j \otimes v_0)) = i^m c(j \otimes v_0),$$

(where  $j$  is the element of the standard basis  $\{1, i, j, k\}$  of  $\mathbb{H}$ ) hence the formed space  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  is of the form  $(\mathbb{C}^2[\overline{m}], \tilde{\phi})$  with  $\tilde{\phi}$  skew-symmetric.

If we replace the form  $\tau$  by  $-\tau$  and the map  $T$  by  $-T$ , we will obtain the nilpotent element  $(e, V)$  whose corresponding indecomposable formed space  $(\tilde{V}, \tau_{\mathbb{H}} \tilde{\otimes} \tau)$  is of the form  $(\mathbb{D}[b], -)$ , and the nilpotent element  $(cec^{-1}, U)$  whose corresponding indecomposable formed space  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  is of the form  $(\mathbb{C}^2[\overline{m+2}], \tilde{\phi})$  with  $\tilde{\phi}$  skew-symmetric.

The last case to consider is the case  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau})$  of type  $(\mathbb{H}[b], sk)$  with  $b + m$  odd. We proceed as follows.

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{H}$  set  $\tau_{\mathbb{H}}(a, b) = aj\iota(b) \in \mathbb{H}$ . Then  $\tau_{\mathbb{H}}$  is a skew-hermitian form on left vector space  $\mathbb{H}$ . Consider the space  $V = \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{V}$  with the form  $\tau_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \tau$ . Let  $e = 1 \otimes E$ , as before. Since

$$(\tau_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \tau)(1 \otimes v_0, e^m(1 \otimes v_0)) = \tau_{\mathbb{H}}(1, 1)\tau(v_0, E^m(v_0)) = m! j,$$

the formed space  $(\tilde{V}, \tau_{\mathbb{H}} \tilde{\otimes} \tau)$  is of the form  $(\mathbb{H}[b], sk)$ .

Let  $R_{j^{-1}}$  denote the right multiplication by  $j^{-1}$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then the map  $T = R_{j^{-1}} \otimes \mathbb{T}$  belongs to  $G(\mathbb{H} \otimes V, \tau_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \tau)$  and the form  $(\tau_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \tau)(T, \cdot)$  is hermitian and positive definite. Furthermore the elements  $e = 1 \otimes E$ ,  $f = 1 \otimes F$ ,  $h = 1 \otimes H$  form a Cayley triple in  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{H} \otimes V, \tau_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \tau)$  with respect to the Cartan involution  $Ad(T)$ . Furthermore, a straightforward calculation using (49) and (52), shows that

$$\begin{aligned} T(c(1 \otimes v_0)) &= -i^m c(j \otimes v_0), \\ T(c(j \otimes v_0)) &= i^m c(1 \otimes v_0). \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Hence the restriction of  $T$  to the space  $c(\mathbb{H} \otimes v_0)$  has two eigenvalues  $i^{m+1}$  and  $-i^{m+1}$ . The eigenspaces are isotropic with respect to the form  $\tilde{\phi}$  if  $\phi$  is the form defined in 39 with  $\tau$  in 39 replaced by  $\tau_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \tau$ . It follows that the indecomposable formed space  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  corresponding to  $cec^{-1}$  is of the form  $(\mathbb{C}[\overline{m}] \oplus \mathbb{C}[\overline{m+2}], \tilde{\phi})$  with  $\tilde{\phi}$  symmetric.

We can sum up our computations in the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.4** *The Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence for the orbits of indecomposable nilpotent elements in Lie algebras of isometries of formed spaces over  $\mathbb{H}$  maps the orbit  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  of a nilpotent element of height  $m$  corresponding to a formed space  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tau})$  into the orbit  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(U, \phi)$  corresponding to the formed space  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  as in the following table.*

$m$	$\mathcal{O}$	$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O})$
<i>even</i>	$(\mathbb{H}[0], +)$	$(\mathbb{C}[\overline{m}], sym)$
<i>even</i>	$(\mathbb{H}[0], -)$	$(\mathbb{C}[\overline{m+2}], sym)$
<i>odd</i>	$(\mathbb{H}[0], sk)$	$(\mathbb{C}[1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[3], sk)$
<i>odd</i>	$(\mathbb{H}[1], +)$	$(\mathbb{C}[\overline{m}], sym)$
<i>odd</i>	$(\mathbb{H}[1], -)$	$(\mathbb{C}[\overline{m+2}], sym)$
<i>even</i>	$(\mathbb{H}[1], sk)$	$(\mathbb{C}[0] \oplus \mathbb{C}[2], sk)$

We'll say that an orbit  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  is indecomposable if for every (or equivalently some) element  $N \in \mathcal{O}$  the element  $(N, V)$  is indecomposable. Similarly we define indecomposable orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}(U, \phi)$ .

**Corollary 6.5** *The image of an indecomposable nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  under the Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence is also indecomposable.*

## 6.2 The Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence for general nilpotent elements

Let  $N \in \mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  be an arbitrary nilpotent element, and let

$$(V, \tau) = (V^{(1)}, \tau^{(1)}) \oplus \dots \oplus (V^{(s)}, \tau^{(s)}) \quad (60)$$

be an orthogonal decomposition such that each of the spaces  $V^{(k)}$  is  $N$ -invariant and each of the restrictions  $N^{(k)} = N|_{V^{(k)}}$  is an indecomposable nilpotent element in  $\mathfrak{g}(V^{(k)}, \tau^{(k)})$ . Let  $U^{(k)} = V^{(k)} \otimes \mathbb{C}$  if  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $U^{(k)} = V^{(k)}|_{\mathbb{C}}$  if  $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ . By the results of the previous subsection for each  $k$  there exists  $T^{(k)} \in G(V^{(k)}, \tau^{(k)})$  such that the form  $\tau^{(k)}(T^{(k)}, \cdot)$  is hermitian and positive definite, and that  $e^{(k)} = N^{(k)}$ ,  $f^{(k)} = -T^{(k)}e^{(k)}(T^{(k)})^{-1}$ ,  $h^{(k)} = [e^{(k)}, f^{(k)}]$  is a Cayley triple in  $\mathfrak{g}(V^{(k)}, \tau^{(k)})$  with respect to the Cartan involution  $\theta^{(k)} = Ad(T^{(k)})$ .

Moreover, if  $c^{(k)}$  denotes the element  $c^{(k)} = \exp(i\frac{\pi}{4}(e^{(k)} + f^{(k)})) \in G(U^{(k)}, \phi^{(k)})$  (with  $\phi^{(k)}$  defined by  $\tau^{(k)}$  as in (39)), then the  $G(U^{(k)}, \phi^{(k)})$ -orbit through  $c^{(k)}e^{(k)}(c^{(k)})^{-1}$  is the image of the  $G(V^{(k)}, \tau^{(k)})$ -orbit through  $e^{(k)}$  by the Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence.

Define  $T \in G(V, \tau)$  as  $T = T^{(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus T^{(s)}$ , then the form  $\tau(T, \cdot)$  is hermitian and positive definite, and the triple  $e = N, f = -TeT^{-1}, h = [e, f]$  is a Cayley triple in  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$  with respect to the Cartan involution  $\theta = Ad(T) = \theta^{(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus \theta^{(s)}$ . The element  $c \in G(U, \phi)$  defined in (44) is equal to the product of commuting elements  $c = c^{(1)} \cdot \dots \cdot c^{(s)}$  and the nilpotent element  $(cec^{-1}, U) \in \mathfrak{g}(U, \phi)_2$ , whose orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}(U, \phi)_2$  is equal to the image of the  $G(V, \tau)$ -orbit of  $e$  under the Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence, is equal to the orthogonal sum

$$(cec^{-1}, U) = (c^{(1)}e^{(1)}(c^{(1)})^{-1}, U^{(1)}) \oplus \dots \oplus (c^{(s)}e^{(s)}(c^{(s)})^{-1}, U^{(s)}).$$

We can conclude that the Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence is compatible with orthogonal decompositions of nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{g}(V, \tau)$ .

Let us remark that, granted the standard conjugacy results about Cayley triples and their Cayley transforms (see e.g. [CM], Chapter 9), our results can be considered as an alternate proof of the bijectivity of the Kostant-Sekiguchi correspondence for the Lie algebras of isometry groups of formed spaces.

## References

- [BLR] G. Benkart, Ch. L. Shader, A. Ram, Tensor product representations for orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 130 (1998), no. 1, 1–48.
- [BC] N. Burgoyne and R. Cushman, Conjugacy Classes in Linear Groups, *Journal of Algebra* 44 (1975), 339–362.
- [CM] D. Collingwood, W. McGovern, Nilpotent orbits in complex semisimple Lie algebras, Reinhold, Van Nostrand, New York 1993
- [DKP] A. Daszkiewicz, W. Kraśkiewicz, T. Przebinda, Dual Pairs and Kostant–Sekiguchi Correspondence. I., *J. Algebra* 250 (2002), 408–426.
- [DP1] A. Daszkiewicz, T. Przebinda *The Oscillator Character Formula, for isometry groups of split forms in deep stable range*, *Invent. Math.* 123 (1996), 349–376.
- [DP2] A. Daszkiewicz, T. Przebinda *The oscillator correspondence of orbital integrals, for pairs of type one in the stable range* *Duke Math. J.* 82 (1) (1996), 1–20.
- [D] D. Ž. Djoković, Closures of Conjugacy Classes in Classical Real Linear Lie Groups, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 848, Springer Verlag 1980, 63–83.
- [H1] R. Howe,  $\theta$ -series and invariant theory, *Proc. Symp. Pure. Math.* 33 (1979), 275–285.
- [H2] R. Howe, A manuscript on dual pairs, preprint
- [O1] T. Ohta, The singularities of the closures of nilpotent orbits in certain symmetric pairs, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 38 (1986), 441–468.
- [O2] T. Ohta, The closures of nilpotent orbits in the classical symmetric pairs and their singularities, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 43 (1991), 161–211.

- [S1] J. Sekiguchi *The nilpotent subvariety of the vector space associated to a symmetric pair*, Publ. RIMS 20 (1984), 155–212.
- [S2] J. Sekiguchi *Remarks on real nilpotent orbits of a symmetric pair*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 39 (1987), 127–138.