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## Stein Equation

Let $W$ satisfy $E W=0, \operatorname{Var}(W)=1$. Recall, for given $h \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$
f^{\prime}(w)-w f(w)=h(w)-N h \quad \text { where } \quad N h=E h(Z) .
$$

For the given $W$, we calculate

$$
E h(W)-N h
$$

by computing

$$
E\left[f^{\prime}(W)-W f(W)\right]
$$

## Stein Exchangeable Pair

[Stein, (1986)]
We say the random variables ( $W, W^{\prime}$ ) form a $\lambda$-Stein pair if ( $W, W^{\prime}$ ) is exchangeable and satisfy the 'linearity' or 'linear regression' condition

$$
E\left(W^{\prime} \mid W\right)=(1-\lambda) W \quad \text { for some } \lambda \in(0,1) .
$$

## Linearity Condition: Bivariate Normal Connection

Parallel to a property of bivariate normal variables $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ : conditional expectation of $Z_{1}$ given $Z_{2}$ is linear

$$
E\left(Z_{1} \mid Z_{2}\right)=\mu_{1}+\sigma_{1} \rho\left(\frac{Z_{2}-\mu_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}\right) .
$$

When $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ have mean zero and equal variance,

$$
E\left(Z_{1} \mid Z_{2}\right)=(1-\lambda) Z_{2} \quad \text { for } \lambda=1-\rho
$$

## Linearity Condition: Generator Connection

$E\left(W^{\prime} \mid W\right)=(1-\lambda) W \quad$ or $\quad E\left(W^{\prime}-W \mid W\right)=-\lambda W$.
Embed in a sequence, $E\left(W_{t+1}-W_{t} \mid W_{t}\right)=-\lambda W_{t}$,

$$
\Delta W_{t}=-\lambda W_{t}+\epsilon_{t} \quad \text { where } E\left[\epsilon_{t} \mid W_{t}\right]=0
$$

Reminiscent of Ornstein Uhlenbeck process

$$
d W_{t}=-\lambda W_{t}+\sigma d B_{t}
$$

## Linearity Condition: Reversible Markov Chain Connection

If $W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots$ is a reversible Markov Chain in stationarity, then $\left(W_{t}, W_{t+1}\right)$ is exchangeable.

To apply the method for a given distribution $W$, construct a reversible Markov chain with stationary distribution $W$.

## Anti-Voter Model

On the graph $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, with $|\mathcal{V}|=n$, consider the evolution of the state $\mathbf{X}_{t} \in\{-1,1\}^{n}$ where at each time step a vertex chosen uniformly at random chooses a neighbor at random and adopts the opposite state.

Though $\mathbf{X}_{t}$ is not reversible, if stationary and the function $W$ satisfies $W\left(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}\right)-W\left(\mathbf{X}_{t}\right) \in\{-1,0,1\}$ then $\left(W\left(\mathbf{X}_{t}\right), W\left(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}\right)\right)$ is exchangeable.
[Liggett (1985), Rinott and Rotar (1997)]

## Anti-Voter Model: Linearity

Let $T$ denote the number of vertices $i$ with $X_{i}=1$, and let $U=2 T-n$. Further, let $a, b$ and $c$ be the number of edges whose vertices agree with $1,-1$, or disagree, respectively.

Observe that for a regular graph of degree $r$

$$
T=[2 a+c] / r, \quad n-T=[2 b+c] / r .
$$

$$
P\left(U^{\prime}-U=-2 \mid \mathbf{X}\right)=\frac{2 a}{r n}, \quad P\left(U^{\prime}-U=2 \mid \mathbf{X}\right)=\frac{2 b}{r n} .
$$

Therefore, using $a+b+c=r n / 2$,

$$
E\left[\left(U^{\prime}-U\right) \mid \mathbf{X}\right]=\frac{4 b-4 a}{r n}=\frac{2(n-2 T)}{n}=-\frac{2 U}{n}
$$

## Stein Exchangeable Pair: Mean

When expectations exist they must equal zero, as
$E W=E W^{\prime}=E\left(E\left(W^{\prime} \mid W\right)\right)=E(1-\lambda) W=(1-\lambda) E W$.
As $1-\lambda \neq 0$,

$$
E W=0 .
$$

## Stein Exchangeable Pair: Variance Identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[W^{\prime} W\right] & =E\left(E\left(W^{\prime} W \mid W\right)\right) \\
& =E\left(W E\left(W^{\prime} \mid W\right)\right) \\
& =(1-\lambda) E\left(W^{2}\right) \\
& =(1-\lambda) \sigma^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(W^{\prime}-W\right)^{2} & =2\left(E W^{2}-E W^{\prime} W\right) \\
& =2\left(\sigma^{2}-(1-\lambda) \sigma^{2}\right) \\
& =2 \lambda \sigma^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stein Exchangeable Pair: Function Identity

Linearity condition gives

$$
E\left[W^{\prime} f(W)\right]=E\left[f(W) E\left(W^{\prime} \mid W\right)\right]=(1-\lambda) E[W f(W)]
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(W^{\prime}-W\right)\left(f\left(W^{\prime}\right)-f(W)\right) & =2 E\left(W f(W)-W^{\prime} f(W)\right) \\
& =2 \lambda E[W f(W)]
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
E[W f(W)]=\frac{E\left(W^{\prime}-W\right)\left(f\left(W^{\prime}\right)-f(W)\right)}{2 \lambda} .
$$

## Exchangeable Pair and the Stein Equation

If $W, W^{\prime}$ is Stein pair with variance 1 , then

$$
E\left(\frac{\left(W^{\prime}-W\right)\left(f\left(W^{\prime}\right)-f(W)\right)}{2 \lambda}\right)=E[W f(W)] .
$$

Taylor expansion
$f\left(W^{\prime}\right)-f(W)=\left(W^{\prime}-W\right) f^{\prime}(W)+\int_{W}^{W^{\prime}}\left(W^{\prime}-s\right) f^{\prime \prime}(s) d s$.
Multiplying by $\left(W^{\prime}-W\right) /(2 \lambda)$ results in two terms, the first of which is

$$
\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left(W^{\prime}-W\right)^{2} f^{\prime}(W)
$$

## Exchangeable Pair: First Term

First term of the difference $f^{\prime}(W)-W f(W)$ is

$$
E\left(f^{\prime}(W)\left[1-\frac{\left(W^{\prime}-W\right)^{2}}{2 \lambda}\right]\right)
$$

Since $E\left(W^{\prime}-W\right)^{2} /(2 \lambda)=1$, conditioning on $W$, applying the Cauchy Schwarz inequality and that $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 4\|h\|_{\infty}$ yields the bound

$$
R_{1}=\frac{2\|h\|_{\infty}}{\lambda} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(E\left(\left(W^{\prime}-W\right)^{2} \mid W\right)\right)}
$$

## Exchangeable Pair: Second Term

Expectation of

$$
\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left|\left(W^{\prime}-W\right) \int_{W}^{W^{\prime}}\left(W^{\prime}-s\right) f^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4 \lambda}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left|W^{\prime}-W\right|^{3}
$$

so, applying the bound $\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 2\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$, the second term is bounded by

$$
R_{2}=\frac{\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{2 \lambda} E\left|W^{\prime}-W\right|^{3}
$$

## Exchangeable Pair: Smooth Functions

Let $h$ be bounded and have bounded derivative, and let $W, W^{\prime}$ be a mean zero, variance $1, \lambda$-Stein pair. Then

$$
|E h(W)-N h| \leq R_{1}+R_{2}
$$

where

$$
R_{1}=\frac{2\|h\|_{\infty}}{\lambda} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(E\left(\left(W^{\prime}-W\right)^{2} \mid W\right)\right)}
$$

and

$$
R_{2}=\frac{\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{2 \lambda} E\left|W^{\prime}-W\right|^{3} .
$$

## Exchangeable Pair: Example

Let $\pi$ be uniform over $\Pi_{n} \subset \mathcal{S}_{n}$, the collection of fixed point free $(\pi(i) \neq i)$ involutions $\left(\pi^{2}(i)=i\right)$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Special case of a distribution on $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ constant on cycle type, that is, one satisfying

$$
P(\pi)=P\left(\rho^{-1} \pi \rho\right) \quad \text { for all } \pi, \rho \in \mathcal{S}_{n} .
$$

Let $\left\{a_{i j}\right\}_{i, j}$ be a collection of $n^{2}$ real numbers.
Approximate the distribution of

$$
W=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, \pi(i)}
$$

May assume $a_{i j}=a_{j i}$ and $a_{i i}=0$ without loss of generality.

## Combinatorial CLT: Involutions

Let for $a, b, c$ distinct, let $A=\{\pi: \pi(a)=c\}$, and $B=\{\pi: \pi(b)=c\}$, and let $\tau_{a b}$ be the transposition of $a$ and $b$. Then

$$
\pi \in A \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \tau_{a b}^{-1} \pi \tau_{a b} \in B
$$

so $P(A)=P\left(\tau_{a b}^{-1} A \tau_{a b}\right)=P(B)$ and therefore

$$
E a_{i, \pi(i)}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j \neq i} a_{i, j}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i, j} .
$$

When considering $\mathcal{L}\left((W-E W) / \sigma_{W}\right)$ we may assume $\sum_{j} a_{i, j}=0$ for all $i$ without loss of generality.

## Coupling: Involutions

Let $I, J$ with $I \neq J$ be chosen uniformly from $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and set

$$
\pi^{\prime}=\pi \alpha_{I J} \quad \text { where } \quad \alpha_{i j}=\tau_{i, \pi(j)} \tau_{j, \pi(i)}
$$

For $\pi \in \Pi_{n}$ and $i \neq j$, whereas $\pi$ has the cycle(s)

$$
(i, \pi(i)),(j, \pi(j))
$$

$\pi^{\prime}$ has the cycle(s)

$$
(i, j),(\pi(i), \pi(j))
$$

## Linearity Condition

Recalling $W=\sum_{i} a_{i, \pi(i)}$ and letting $W^{\prime}=\sum_{i} a_{i, \pi^{\prime}(i)}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
W^{\prime}-W=2\left(a_{I, J}+a_{\pi(I), \pi(J)}-\left(a_{I, \pi(I)}+a_{J, \pi(J)}\right)\right) . \\
E\left[a_{I, J} \mid \pi\right]=E\left[a_{I, J}\right]=\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i, j} a_{i j}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
E\left[a_{I, \pi(I)} \mid \pi\right]=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, \pi(i)}=\frac{1}{n} W
$$

and so, since the resulting expression is $W$ measurable,

$$
E\left[W^{\prime} \mid W\right]=\left(1-\frac{4}{n}\right) W
$$

## Involutions: Calculating the Bound

Need to compute

$$
R_{1}=\frac{2\|h\|_{\infty}}{\lambda} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(E\left(\left(W^{\prime}-W\right)^{2} \mid W\right)\right)}
$$

and

$$
R_{2}=\frac{\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{2 \lambda} E\left|W^{\prime}-W\right|^{3}
$$

for

$$
W^{\prime}-W=2\left(a_{I, J}+a_{\pi(I), \pi(J)}-\left(a_{I, \pi(I)}+a_{J, \pi(J)}\right)\right) .
$$

Under the usual asymptotic $R_{2}=O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$.

## Involutions: Calculating the Bound

Recall

$$
W^{\prime}-W=2\left(a_{I, J}+a_{\pi(I), \pi(J)}-\left(a_{I, \pi(I)}+a_{J, \pi(J)}\right)\right) .
$$

To show $R_{1}=O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ use

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(E\left(\left(W^{\prime}-W\right)^{2} \mid W\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{Var}\left(E\left(\left(W^{\prime}-W\right)^{2} \mid \pi\right)\right)
$$

Requires calculation of the variance of a sum of terms such as

$$
E\left(a_{I, \pi(I)}^{2} \mid \pi\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, \pi(i)}^{2} .
$$

## Zero Bias Coupling

[Goldstein and Reinert (1997)]
Stein identity: $Z \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ if and only if

$$
E[Z f(Z)]=\sigma^{2} E\left[f^{\prime}(Z)\right] \quad \text { for all smooth } f \text {. }
$$

For any mean zero, variance $\sigma^{2}$ distribution $\mathcal{L}(W)$ there exists $\mathcal{L}\left(W^{*}\right)$ satisfying

$$
E[W f(W)]=\sigma^{2} E\left[f^{\prime}\left(W^{*}\right)\right] .
$$

Distributional transformation $W \rightarrow W^{*}$, of which $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ is the unique fixed point.

Absolutely continuous, support $\left(W^{*}\right) \subset \operatorname{co}($ support $(W))$.

## Zero Bias Distribution

Density of $W^{*}$ is given by

$$
p^{*}(t)=E[X ; X>t] / \sigma^{2}
$$

Distribution can also be specified as 'square biasing' followed by multiplication by an independent uniform,

$$
X^{*}={ }_{d} U Y
$$

where

$$
\frac{d F_{Y}}{d F_{X}}=\frac{x^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} .
$$

## Fixed Point Proximity

If $W$ is close to $W^{*}$, then $W$ is close to being a fixed point of the zero bias transformation, so close to the unique fixed point, so close to normal.

## Change One Property

Parallel to the size biasing: If $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent nonnegative (mean zero) random variables with finite nonzero mean (variance), then

$$
W=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}
$$

can be size (zero) biased by replacing a single summand, chosen with probability proportional to its mean (variance) and replacing it with an independent random variable having that summands size (zero) biased distribution, e.g.

$$
W^{*}-W=X_{I}^{*}-X_{I}
$$

## Zero Bias Rationale for CLT

When $W$ is the sum of many comparable variables, $W^{*}$ differs from $W$ by only a single summand. Hence the distributions of $W$ and $W^{*}$ are close, so $\mathcal{L}(W)$ is close to being a fixed point of the zero bias transformation, and so must be close to the normal.

One way to make this statement precise is with the following $L^{1}$ bound: For any coupling of $W$, having variance 1 , to $W^{*}$,

$$
\|\mathcal{L}(W)-\mathcal{L}(Z)\|_{1} \leq 2 E\left|W^{*}-W\right| .
$$

## Proof of $L^{1}$ Bound

Let $W^{*}$ have the $W$-zero bias distribution, and be defined on the same space as $W$. Then when $\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E h(W)-N h| & =\left|E\left[f^{\prime}(W)-W f(W)\right]\right| \\
& =\left|E f^{\prime}(W)-E f^{\prime}\left(W^{*}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} E\left|W-W^{*}\right| \\
& \leq 2| | h^{\prime} \|_{\infty} E\left|W-W^{*}\right| \\
& \leq 2 E\left|W^{*}-W\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking supremum over all $h$ with $\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ yields

$$
\|\mathcal{L}(W)-\mathcal{L}(Z)\|_{1} \leq 2 E\left|W^{*}-W\right|
$$

## Connection to Exchangeable Pair

If $d F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the distribution of the Stein pair $W^{\prime}, W^{\prime \prime}$ let $W^{\dagger}, W^{\ddagger}$ have distribution

$$
d F^{\dagger}\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right)=\frac{\left(w^{\prime}-w^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}{2 \lambda \sigma^{2}} d F\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Then if $U$ is a uniform variable, independent of $W^{\dagger}, W^{\ddagger}$,

$$
W^{*}=U W^{\dagger}+(1-U) W^{\ddagger}
$$

has the $W$-zero biased distribution.

## Square biasing under symmetry

Let $\mathbf{Y}=\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)=_{d}\left( \pm Y_{1}, \ldots, \pm Y_{n}\right)$ with
$\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i}^{2} \in(0, \infty)$ and $W=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}$. Let $\mathbf{Y}^{i} \sim y_{i}^{2} d F(\mathbf{y}) / \sigma_{i}^{2}, I$ a random index independent of $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{Y}^{i}, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ with distribution

$$
P(I=i)=\frac{\sigma_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{j}^{2}},
$$

and $U \sim \mathcal{U}[-1,1]$ independent of all other variables. Then

$$
W^{*}=U Y_{I}^{I}+\sum_{j \neq I} Y_{j}^{I}
$$

has the $W$-zero bias distribution.

## Connection to $K$ function

For a mean zero random variable $X$, Chen and Shao let

$$
K(t)=E\left(X \mathbf{1}_{0 \leq t \leq X}-X \mathbf{1}_{X \leq t<0}\right)=E\left(X \mathbf{1}_{X>t}\right) \quad \text { a.e., }
$$

so $K(t) / \sigma^{2}$ is the zero bias density. For a sum $W$ of independent variables, letting $W=W^{(i)}+X_{i}$, they write

$$
E[W f(W)]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E\left[f^{\prime}\left(W^{(i)}+t\right)\right] K_{i}(t) d t
$$

which is $\sigma^{2} E f^{\prime}\left(W^{*}\right)$, as the expression above equals
$\sigma^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sigma_{i}^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E\left[f^{\prime}\left(W^{(i)}+t\right)\right] \frac{K_{i}(t)}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} d t=\sigma^{2} E f^{\prime}\left(W_{I}+X_{I}^{*}\right)$.

## Comparison of three couplings

1. Exchangeable pair: linearity condition, evaluation of the variance of a conditional expectation.
2. Size bias: no linearity condition, evaluation of the variance of a conditional expectation.
3. Zero bias:
(a) Construction through exchangeable pair: linearity condition, no variance of conditional expectation.
(b) Construction through square biasing: symmetry condition, no variance of conditional expectation.
IV. Local dependence, Nonsmooth functions
