# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization

Aircraft Design Process
 Aircraft Design Methods

 a. Inverse Surface Methods
 b. Inverse Field Methods
 c. Numerical Optimization Methods

### The McGill

# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Aircraft Design Process



### The McGill

# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Aircraft Design Process



Medium Size Transport

# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization You Bet Your Company

# Medium Size Transport Aircraft



# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Aircraft Design Process



# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Aircraft Design Process



Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Aerodynamic Design Methods

The **goal** of all aerodynamic design methods, be they experimental, analytical, or computational, is to find a shape which improves an aerodynamic measure of merit while adhering to appropriate constrains

# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Aerodynamic Design Methods

The CFD-based aerodynamic design methods that do exists can be grouped into three basic categories

#### **1. Inverse Surface Methods**

- a. Incompressible Inviscid Flow
- b. Transonic Potential Flow
- c. Euler and Navier-Stokes
- 2. Inverse Field Methods
- 3. Numerical Optimization Methods (Gradient Based)
  - a. Finite Difference
  - b. Complex Step
  - c. Control Theory Approach

# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Inverse Surface Methods

### Incompressible Inviscid Flow

- Airfoil or wing shape is computed for a given surface distribution of an aerodynamic quantity.
- *Lighthill* used the method of conformal mapping to solve the two-dimensional inverse pressure problem for the incompressible inviscid flow equations.
  - The airspeed over the profile is given

$$q = \frac{\phi}{h}$$

where  $\phi$  is the velocity potential for flow past a circle and h is the modulus of the conformal mapping function between the circle and the profile.

Since the solution  $\phi$  is also known for incompressible inviscid flow over a circle, then if the analytical mapping is known as well, then the solution over the profile is known.

# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Inverse Surface Methods

### Incompressible Inviscid Flow

• Therefore, if  $q_d$  is the desired surface speed, then

$$q_d = \frac{\phi}{h} \qquad h = \frac{\phi}{q_d}$$

- The solution to determines the mapping from a circle to the desired shape.
- q is not arbitrary and must satisfy the following constraint:
  - q must attain the freestream value  $q_{\infty}$  in the far field.

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log q_o d\theta = 0$$

• Profile must not produce a gab at the trailing edge.  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log q_o \cos \theta d\theta = 0 \qquad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log q_o \sin \theta d\theta = 0$ 

# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Inverse Surface Methods

### **Transonic Potential Flow**

- 1. Tranen, T. L. "A rapid computer aided transonic airfoil design method. AIAA 74<sup>-501</sup>
  - Tranen replaced the Neumann surface boundary condition  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}$  in an existing CFD potential flow analysis code with a Dirichlet boundary condition  $\phi$  obtained by integrating a desired target velocity distribution.
  - The shape is updated iteratively by the computed normal velocity through the surface.
  - If the target pressure distribution is not realizable the iterations cannot converge.

### The McGill

# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Inverse Surface Methods

### **Transonic Potential Flow**

- 2. McFadden and Garabedian extended Lighthill's method.
  - The flow equation is first solved for a given mapping  $h_o$ .
  - Then an updated mapping is determined by setting  $q = q_d$ .
  - The flow equation is then solved for this new mapping,  $h_1$ , and the process is repeated.
  - It does not require a modification to a Dirichlet boundary condition at the surface. Therefore it retains a valid solution during the entire design process.

# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Inverse Surface Methods

### Euler and Navier-Stokes

- *Campbell*. An approach to constrained aerodynamic design with application to airfoils. NASA Technical Paper 3260, Langley Research Center, November 1992.
  - The difference between the target and actual pressures is translated into surface changes through the use of the relationship between:
    - surface curvature and pressure for subsonic flow,
    - and, surface slope and pressure for supersonic flow.
  - The method has been very successful for 2D Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. The method suffers from 3D flows with cross-flow character since the surface curvatures and slopes are calculated plane by plane

$$\Delta n + \beta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Delta n + \beta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Delta n + \beta_3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Delta n + \beta_4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \Delta n = \beta_5 \Delta c_p$$
  
$$\Delta n = \text{ local normal surface displacement}$$
  
$$\beta_i = \text{ user specified quantities}$$

### McGill

# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Inverse Field Methods

- Designs are based upon objectives or constraints imposed on the configuration surface but everywhere in the flow field.
  - Garabedian and Korn.
    - Hodograph transformation.
    - Method can guarantee that no shock will occur in the flow field.
    - Has been successful in the development of airfoils displaying shockfree transonic flows.
    - Its major disadvantage is that hodograph transformations are not applicable to three dimensions.
  - Sobieczky. Fictitious gas method.

**Disadvantage** of Inverse Surface and Field Methods is that the objective of a target pressure distribution is built directly into the design process and thus cannot be changed to any arbitrary objective function.

# Introduction to Aerodynamic Shape Optimization Numerical Optimization Methods

### Gradient Based

- Concept of a gradient.
  - Define the geometry by weights,  $\alpha_i$  and shape functions,  $b_i$  so that the shape can be represented by

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i(x)$$

- Then a cost function I is selected. I is regarded as a function of the parameters  $\alpha_i$
- Then,

$$\delta I = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial I}{\partial \alpha_i} \delta \alpha_i$$
 where,  $\frac{\partial I}{\partial \alpha_i}$  is a gradient

The gradient vector  $\frac{\partial I}{\partial \alpha_i}$  may now be used to determine a direction of improvement.