Degrees of autostability relative to strong constructivizations of structures of finite signature

Margarita Marchuk

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics

Aspects of Computation 2017

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

## Computable structures

- Our signatures (languages) are computable, and our structures have universes contained in ω.
- We identify formulas with their Gödel numbers

A structure  $\mathfrak M$  is computable if its atomic diagram  $D(\mathfrak M)$  is computable.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Autostability

#### Definition

Let d be a Turing degree. A computable structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  is d-autostable (d-computably categorical) if for every computable structure  $\mathfrak{N}$  isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{M}$ , there exists a d-computable isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{M}$  onto  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

### Definition( Fokina, Kalimullin, Miller, 2010)

The autostability spectrum~~of a computable structure  $\mathfrak M$  is the set

 $AutSpec(\mathfrak{M}) = \{ \mathbf{d} : \mathfrak{M} \text{ } \mathbf{d}\text{-autostable} \}.$ 

A Turing degree  $d_0$  is the degree of autostability of  $\mathfrak{M}$  if  $d_0$  is the least degree in  $\mathrm{SCAutSpec}(\mathfrak{M})$ .

A structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  is **decidable** if its complete digram  $D^c(\mathfrak{M})$  is computable, i.e. given a first-order formular  $\phi(\bar{x})$  and a tuple  $\bar{a}$  from  $\mathfrak{M}$ , one can effectively determine whether  $\phi(\bar{a})$  is true in  $\mathfrak{M}$  or not.

A decidable structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  is called **d-autostable relative to** strong constructivizations (d-SC-autostable) if

every two decidable copies of  $\mathfrak M$  are d-computably isomorphic.

Prime models and complete formulas

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a structure of a signature  $\sigma$ . Th( $\mathfrak{M}$ ) denotes the first-order theory of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

A structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a **prime model** (of the theory  $\operatorname{Th}(\mathfrak{M})$ ) if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is elementary embeddable into every structure  $\mathfrak{N}$  of the theory  $\operatorname{Th}(\mathfrak{M})$ .

A structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an **almost prime model** if there exists a finite tuple  $\overline{c}$  from  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $(\mathfrak{M}, \overline{c})$  is a prime model.

A first-order formula  $\psi(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$  is a **complete formula** for the theory  $\operatorname{Th}(\mathfrak{M})$  if  $\mathfrak{M} \models \exists \bar{x} \psi(\bar{x})$  and, for every  $\sigma$ -formula  $\varphi(\bar{x})$ , either  $\mathfrak{M} \models \forall \bar{x}(\psi(\bar{x}) \to \varphi(\bar{x}))$  or  $\mathfrak{M} \models \forall \bar{x}(\psi(\bar{x}) \to \neg \varphi(\bar{x}))$ .

## Nurtazin's criterion

## Theorem (Nurtazin 1974)

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a decidable structure of a signature  $\sigma$ .  $\mathfrak{M}$  is SC-autostable if and only if there exists a finite tuple  $\overline{c}$  from  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that the following holds:

(a) The structure  $(\mathfrak{M}, \overline{c})$  is a prime model of the theory  $\operatorname{Th}(\mathfrak{M}, \overline{c})$ . (b) Given a  $(\sigma \cup \{\overline{c}\})$ -formula  $\psi(\overline{x})$  one can effectively, uniformly

in  $\psi$ , determine whether  $\psi$  is a complete formula for  $\operatorname{Th}(\mathfrak{M}, \overline{c}).$ 

## Goncharov's result

#### Theorem (Goncharov, 2011)

Let d be a Turing degree. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a decidable structure of a signature  $\sigma$ ,  $\bar{a}$  is a finite tuple from  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that the following conditions hold.

(a) The structure  $(\mathfrak{M}, \overline{a})$  is a prime model.

(b) Given a  $(\sigma \cup \{\bar{a}\})$ -formula  $\psi(\bar{x})$ , one can effectively relative to d, uniformly in  $\psi$ , determine whether  $\psi$  is a complete formula in the theory  $Th(\mathfrak{M}, \bar{a})$ .

Then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is d-SC-autostable.

In particular, if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a decidable almost prime model (i.e.  $\mathfrak{M}$  is decidable and there exists a tuple  $\bar{a}$  such that (a) is satisfied), then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is c-autostable for some c.e. degree c.

## SC-autostability spectrum

Goncharov investigated autostability spectrum restricted to decidable structures.

Definition(Goncharov, 2011)

The autostability spectrum relative to strong constructivizations (SC-autostability spectrum) of the structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  is the set

 $SCAutSpec(\mathfrak{M}) = \{ \mathbf{d} : \mathfrak{M} \ \mathbf{d}\text{-}SC\text{-}autostable} \}.$ 

A Turing degree  $d_0$  is the degree of SC-autostability of  $\mathfrak{M}$  if  $d_0$  is the least degree in  $\mathrm{SCAutSpec}(\mathfrak{M})$ .

## Directions

- Examples of SC-autostability spectrum.
- Relations between autostability spectrum and SC-autostability spectrum.
- Which autostability spectrums can be witnessed by structures of familiar classes?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Examples of SC-autostability.

## Theorem (S.S. Goncharov, 2011)

Every c.e. degree  ${\bf d}$  is the degree of SC-autostability of some decidable almost prime model of the infinite signature.

### Theorem (N.A. Bazhenov, 2016)

- For every computable ordinal α, the Turing degree 0<sup>α</sup> is a degree of SC-autostability for some decidable Boolean algebra.
- For a computable ordinal α, every Turing degree c.e. in and above 0<sup>α+1</sup> is the degree of SC-autostability for some decidable structure of the infinite signature.

## **PA-degrees**

A Turing degree d is called a  $\mathbf{PA} - \mathbf{degree}$  if it computes some complete extension of Peano arithmetics.

#### Theorem (N.A. Bazhenov, 2016)

There exists a decidable structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a prime model of the infinite signature of the theory  $Th(\mathfrak{M})$ , and the SC-autostability spectrum of  $\mathfrak{M}$  contains precisely the PA-degrees.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Remark 1 If $\mathfrak{M}$ is a decidable structure, then

- $\operatorname{AutSpec}(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{SCAutSpec}((\mathfrak{M}).$
- ▶ If  $\mathbf{0} \in \operatorname{AutSpec}(\mathfrak{M})$ , then  $\mathbf{0}^{\omega} \in \operatorname{SCAutSpec}(\mathfrak{M})$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Proposition

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a decidable structure of a signature  $\sigma$ . Then there exists a computable structure  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  of the new signature  $\sigma^*$  such that  $\operatorname{AutSpec}(\mathfrak{M}^*) = \operatorname{SCAutSpec}(\mathfrak{M})$ . In particular, every degree of SC-autostability is a degree of autostability.

Proof. Consider the structure  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  of the signature

$$\sigma^* = \{P_{\Phi}^n : \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ is an } \sigma\text{-formula}\}$$

such that  $|\mathfrak{M}^*|=|\mathfrak{M}|$  and the predicates of  $\sigma^*$  are interpreted in the natural way.

#### Theorem (with N.A. Bazhenov 2016)

- Suppose that 0 ≤ α ≤ β ≤ ω. There exists a decidable structure M such that 0<sup>α</sup> is the degree of SC-autostability of M and 0<sup>β</sup> is the degree of autostability of M.
- Suppose that 0 ≤ β ≤ ω. There exists a decidable structure 𝔐 such that 𝔐 has no degree of SC-autostability and 0<sup>β</sup> is the degree of autostability of 𝔐.

#### Problem 1

Does there exist a decidable structure that has degree of SC-autostaility and has no degree of autostability?

#### Problem 2

Is every autostability spectrum the SC-autostability spectrum for some decidable structure? In particular, is every degree of autostability a degree of SC-autostability?

## Familiar classes

#### Theorem (Bazhenov, 2016)

For an infinite computable ordinal  $\beta$ , every Turing degree c.e. in and above  $\mathbf{0}^{(2\beta+1)}$  is the degree of SC-autostability for some discrete linear order.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### Theorem (Goncharov, 2011)

Let d be a c.e. degree. There exists a decidable structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  of the signature  $\sigma_1 = \{R_i^1 : i \in \omega\}$  such that:

- (1)  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a prime model,
- (2) d is the degree of SC-autostabilioty of  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,
- (3) every computable copy of  $\mathfrak M$  is decidable.

We construct the effective transformation  $\Psi$  of computable structures of the signature  $\sigma_1$  into computable structure of finite signature. We ensure that  $\Psi$  preserves the key properties. Using this transformation we show the following.

#### Theorem

Let d be a c.e. degree. There exists a decidable structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  of the finite signature  $\sigma_2$  such that:

(1)  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a prime model,

(2) d is the degree of SC-autostabilioty of  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,

(3) every computable copy of  $\mathfrak{M}$  is decidable.

We use the ideas of Goncharov (1980) to construct a transformation  $\Psi'$  of computable structures of the signature  $\sigma_2$  into computable directed graphs such that  $\Psi'$  preserves the key properties.

## Corollary 1

Let  ${\bf d}$  be a c.e. degree. There exists a decidable directed graph  ${\mathfrak M}$  such that:

(1)  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a prime model,

- (2)  ${\bf d}$  is the degree of SC-autostabilioty of  ${\mathfrak M},$
- (3) every computable copy of  $\mathfrak{M}$  is decidable.

Recall that a signature  $\sigma$  is **nontrivial** if  $\sigma$  contains a predicate or functional symbol of arity  $\geq 2$ .

Now we can use the standard codings of directed graphs into structures of the nontrivial signature  $\sigma$ .

## Corollary 2

Let d be a c.e. degree. There exists a decidable structure  ${\mathfrak M}$  of the nontrivial signature  $\sigma$  such that:

(1)  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a prime model,

- (2) d is the degree of SC-autostabilioty of  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,
- (3) every computable copy of  $\mathfrak{M}$  is decidable.

## Familiar classes

#### Problem 3

Let K be one of the familiar algebraic classes (e.g., directed graphs, partial orders, lattices, groups, fields, etc.). Suppose  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a decidable structure (for an arbitrary computable signature). Does there always exist a decidable structure  $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{M}}$  from K such that  $\mathrm{SCAutSpec}(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{M}}) = \mathrm{SCAutSpec}(\mathfrak{M})$ 

## Announcement

#### Announced result

There exists a decidable undirected graph  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a prime model of the theory  $Th(\mathcal{G})$ , and the SC-autostability spectrum of  $\mathcal{G}$  contains precisely the PA-degrees.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Thank you for your attention!