

# Lelong numbers of singular metrics on vector bundles.

Bo Berndtsson  
Chalmers University of Technology  
*Lecture IMS, Singapore May 9, 2017.*

We first look at a holomorphic vector bundle  $E \rightarrow Y$  over a complex manifold  $Y$ , equipped with a hermitian metric  $h_E$ . When  $\text{rk}(E) = 1$ ,  $E = L$  is a line bundle, and locally we can write  $h = e^{-\phi}$ , where  $\phi$  is a function.

We first look at a holomorphic vector bundle  $E \rightarrow Y$  over a complex manifold  $Y$ , equipped with a hermitian metric  $h_E$ . When  $rk(E) = 1$ ,  $E = L$  is a line bundle, and locally we can write  $h = e^{-\phi}$ , where  $\phi$  is a function.

It has proved useful to allow  $\phi$  to be singular,  $\phi \in L^1_{loc}$ . We can still defined the curvature form (or current)

$$\Theta = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi.$$

If  $\Theta \geq 0$ ,  $(L, \phi)$  is positively curved; if  $\Theta \leq 0$  it is negatively curved.

We first look at a holomorphic vector bundle  $E \rightarrow Y$  over a complex manifold  $Y$ , equipped with a hermitian metric  $h_E$ . When  $rk(E) = 1$ ,  $E = L$  is a line bundle, and locally we can write  $h = e^{-\phi}$ , where  $\phi$  is a function.

It has proved useful to allow  $\phi$  to be singular,  $\phi \in L^1_{loc}$ . We can still defined the curvature form (or current)

$$\Theta = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi.$$

If  $\Theta \geq 0$ ,  $(L, \phi)$  is positively curved; if  $\Theta \leq 0$  it is negatively curved.

Now let  $rk(E) \geq 1$ . Locally  $h$  is given by an hermitian matrix and

$$\Theta = i\bar{\partial}h^{-1}\partial h.$$

We first look at a holomorphic vector bundle  $E \rightarrow Y$  over a complex manifold  $Y$ , equipped with a hermitian metric  $h_E$ . When  $rk(E) = 1$ ,  $E = L$  is a line bundle, and locally we can write  $h = e^{-\phi}$ , where  $\phi$  is a function.

It has proved useful to allow  $\phi$  to be singular,  $\phi \in L^1_{loc}$ . We can still defined the curvature form (or current)

$$\Theta = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi.$$

If  $\Theta \geq 0$ ,  $(L, \phi)$  is positively curved; if  $\Theta \leq 0$  it is negatively curved.

Now let  $rk(E) \geq 1$ . Locally  $h$  is given by an hermitian matrix and

$$\Theta = i\bar{\partial}h^{-1}\partial h.$$

What if  $h$  is singular?

We first look at a holomorphic vector bundle  $E \rightarrow Y$  over a complex manifold  $Y$ , equipped with a hermitian metric  $h_E$ . When  $rk(E) = 1$ ,  $E = L$  is a line bundle, and locally we can write  $h = e^{-\phi}$ , where  $\phi$  is a function.

It has proved useful to allow  $\phi$  to be singular,  $\phi \in L^1_{loc}$ . We can still defined the curvature form (or current)

$$\Theta = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi.$$

If  $\Theta \geq 0$ ,  $(L, \phi)$  is positively curved; if  $\Theta \leq 0$  it is negatively curved.

Now let  $rk(E) \geq 1$ . Locally  $h$  is given by an hermitian matrix and

$$\Theta = i\bar{\partial}h^{-1}\partial h.$$

What if  $h$  is singular? What is a singular metric? What is its curvature?

**Definition:**  $h$  is a singular metric if locally (with respect to a frame)  $h$  is a positive hermitian matrix defined almost everywhere, and  $\log \|u\|^2$  is locally in  $L^1$  for any local holomorphic section of  $E$ . □

**Definition:**  $h$  is a singular metric if locally (with respect to a frame)  $h$  is a positive hermitian matrix defined almost everywhere, and  $\log \|u\|^2$  is locally in  $L^1$  for any local holomorphic section of  $E$ . □

We say that  $h$  is negatively curved if  $\log \|u\|^2$  is psh for any local holomorphic section. We say that  $h$  is positively curved if the dual metric on  $E^*$  is negatively curved.



**Definition:**  $h$  is a singular metric if locally (with respect to a frame)  $h$  is a positive hermitian matrix defined almost everywhere, and  $\log \|u\|^2$  is locally in  $L^1$  for any local holomorphic section of  $E$ . □

We say that  $h$  is negatively curved if  $\log \|u\|^2$  is psh for any local holomorphic section. We say that  $h$  is positively curved if the dual metric on  $E^*$  is negatively curved.

But, what is the curvature?

**Definition:**  $h$  is a singular metric if locally (with respect to a frame)  $h$  is a positive hermitian matrix defined almost everywhere, and  $\log \|u\|^2$  is locally in  $L^1$  for any local holomorphic section of  $E$ . □

We say that  $h$  is negatively curved if  $\log \|u\|^2$  is psh for any local holomorphic section. We say that  $h$  is positively curved if the dual metric on  $E^*$  is negatively curved.

But, what is the curvature?

**Example (Raufi):** Let  $E = D \times \mathbb{C}^2$  and define  $h$  by  $\|u\|^2 = |u_1 + zu_2|^2 + |zu_1|^2$ . Then  $h$  is negatively curved, but  $\Theta$  is a current of order 1. So the curvature is not measure valued. □

Let now  $Y = D$ , the unit disk.  $E$  is trivial and we fix a trivialization,  $E = \mathcal{D} \times V$  where  $V$  is a vector space of dimension  $n$ . For subharmonic functions  $\phi$ ,  $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi(\{0\})$  is closely related to the Lelong number of  $\phi$  at 0. So we look at the Lelong numbers of  $h$ .

Let now  $Y = D$ , the unit disk.  $E$  is trivial and we fix a trivialization,  $E = \mathcal{D} \times V$  where  $V$  is a vector space of dimension  $n$ . For subharmonic functions  $\phi$ ,  $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi(\{0\})$  is closely related to the Lelong number of  $\phi$  at 0. So we look at the Lelong numbers of  $h$ .

**Definition:** Assume  $h$  has negative curvature. Then, for  $u$  in  $V$ ,

$$\gamma_h(u, 0) := \gamma_{\log \|u\|^2}(0).$$



Let now  $Y = D$ , the unit disk.  $E$  is trivial and we fix a trivialization,  $E = \mathcal{D} \times V$  where  $V$  is a vector space of dimension  $n$ . For subharmonic functions  $\phi$ ,  $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi(\{0\})$  is closely related to the Lelong number of  $\phi$  at 0. So we look at the Lelong numbers of  $h$ .

**Definition:** Assume  $h$  has negative curvature. Then, for  $u$  in  $V$ ,

$$\gamma_h(u, 0) := \gamma_{\log \|u\|^2}(0).$$



We also look at

$$\alpha_h(u, 0) = -\gamma_h(u, 0) = \limsup_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \|u\|_z^2}{\log\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)} (\leq 0).$$

Let  $V_\alpha := \{u \in V; \alpha(u) \leq \alpha\}$ . It is a linear subspace of  $V$  (by the triangle inequality). The family  $V_\alpha$  is increasing and can jump at, at most,  $n$  places.

Let  $V_\alpha := \{u \in V; \alpha(u) \leq \alpha\}$ . It is a linear subspace of  $V$  (by the triangle inequality). The family  $V_\alpha$  is increasing and can jump at, at most,  $n$  places.

So, we get a filtration of  $V$

$$\{0\} \subseteq V_{\alpha_1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_{\alpha_n} = V,$$

Let  $V_\alpha := \{u \in V; \alpha(u) \leq \alpha\}$ . It is a linear subspace of  $V$  (by the triangle inequality). The family  $V_\alpha$  is increasing and can jump at, at most,  $n$  places.

So, we get a filtration of  $V$

$$\{0\} \subseteq V_{\alpha_1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_{\alpha_n} = V,$$

where, if  $\alpha_j \leq \alpha < \alpha_{j+1}$ ,  $V_\alpha = V_{\alpha_j}$  and  $\dim V_{\alpha_j} = j$ . (We also put  $\alpha_0 = -\infty$ , so  $V_{\alpha_0} = \{0\}$ .)



Let  $V_\alpha := \{u \in V; \alpha(u) \leq \alpha\}$ . It is a linear subspace of  $V$  (by the triangle inequality). The family  $V_\alpha$  is increasing and can jump at, at most,  $n$  places.

So, we get a filtration of  $V$

$$\{0\} \subseteq V_{\alpha_1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_{\alpha_n} = V,$$

where, if  $\alpha_j \leq \alpha < \alpha_{j+1}$ ,  $V_\alpha = V_{\alpha_j}$  and  $\dim V_{\alpha_j} = j$ . (We also put  $\alpha_0 = -\infty$ , so  $V_{\alpha_0} = \{0\}$ .)

Let  $F = V^*$ , so  $E^* = D \times F$ . We get the dual metric on  $E^*$ . Let  $F_\alpha = V_\alpha^\perp$ , the annihilator of  $V_\alpha$ .

Let  $V_\alpha := \{u \in V; \alpha(u) \leq \alpha\}$ . It is a linear subspace of  $V$  (by the triangle inequality). The family  $V_\alpha$  is increasing and can jump at, at most,  $n$  places.

So, we get a filtration of  $V$

$$\{0\} \subseteq V_{\alpha_1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_{\alpha_n} = V,$$

where, if  $\alpha_j \leq \alpha < \alpha_{j+1}$ ,  $V_\alpha = V_{\alpha_j}$  and  $\dim V_{\alpha_j} = j$ . (We also put  $\alpha_0 = -\infty$ , so  $V_{\alpha_0} = \{0\}$ .)

Let  $F = V^*$ , so  $E^* = D \times F$ . We get the dual metric on  $E^*$ . Let  $F_\alpha = V_\alpha^\perp$ , the annihilator of  $V_\alpha$ .

So

$$\{0\} = F_{\alpha_n} \subseteq F_{\alpha_{n-1}} \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_{\alpha_0} = F.$$

Let  $V_\alpha := \{u \in V; \alpha(u) \leq \alpha\}$ . It is a linear subspace of  $V$  (by the triangle inequality). The family  $V_\alpha$  is increasing and can jump at, at most,  $n$  places.

So, we get a filtration of  $V$

$$\{0\} \subseteq V_{\alpha_1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_{\alpha_n} = V,$$

where, if  $\alpha_j \leq \alpha < \alpha_{j+1}$ ,  $V_\alpha = V_{\alpha_j}$  and  $\dim V_{\alpha_j} = j$ . (We also put  $\alpha_0 = -\infty$ , so  $V_{\alpha_0} = \{0\}$ .)

Let  $F = V^*$ , so  $E^* = D \times F$ . We get the dual metric on  $E^*$ . Let  $F_\alpha = V_\alpha^\perp$ , the annihilator of  $V_\alpha$ .

So

$$\{0\} = F_{\alpha_n} \subseteq F_{\alpha_{n-1}} \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_{\alpha_0} = F.$$

How can we describe  $F_\alpha$ ?

We restrict the situation even further: Assume  $h(e^{i\theta} z) = h(z)$ ;  
or  $h(z) = h(|z|)$ .

We restrict the situation even further: Assume  $h(e^{i\theta}z) = h(z)$ ; or  $h(z) = h(|z|)$ . Then transfer the problem to the right half plane  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\zeta = \log(1/z)$ . If  $\zeta = t + is$ , we get a metric  $h = h(t)$  over a bundle  $\mathcal{H} \times V$ .

We restrict the situation even further: Assume  $h(e^{i\theta}z) = h(z)$ ; or  $h(z) = h(|z|)$ . Then transfer the problem to the right half plane  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\zeta = \log(1/z)$ . If  $\zeta = t + is$ , we get a metric  $h = h(t)$  over a bundle  $\mathcal{H} \times V$ .

Now  $\log \|u\|_t^2$  is convex, and for  $u$  in  $V$

$$\alpha(u) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|u\|_t^2.$$

If the metric comes from a negative curved metric on the disk, we have  $\|u\| \leq \|u\|_0$ , and  $\alpha(u) \leq 0$ . It is no essential difference to allow

$$\|u\|_t^2 \leq C \|u\|_0^2 e^{At}$$

for some  $C, A$ .

We restrict the situation even further: Assume  $h(e^{i\theta}z) = h(z)$ ; or  $h(z) = h(|z|)$ . Then transfer the problem to the right half plane  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\zeta = \log(1/z)$ . If  $\zeta = t + is$ , we get a metric  $h = h(t)$  over a bundle  $\mathcal{H} \times V$ .

Now  $\log \|u\|_t^2$  is convex, and for  $u$  in  $V$

$$\alpha(u) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|u\|_t^2.$$

If the metric comes from a negative curved metric on the disk, we have  $\|u\| \leq \|u\|_0$ , and  $\alpha(u) \leq 0$ . It is no essential difference to allow

$$\|u\|_t^2 \leq C \|u\|_0^2 e^{At}$$

for some  $C, A$ .

Note also that if  $\|u\|_0 = 1$ , then  $u \in V_\alpha$  if and only if  $\|u\|_t^2 \leq e^{\alpha t}$  for all  $t > 0$ .

## Theorem

Assume  $h(t)$  has negative curvature;  $\|u\|_t = \|u\|_{h(t)}$ . Let  $\|v\|_{-t}^2$  be the induced norms on  $F = V^*$ . (A positively curved metric on  $E^*$ ).

Let  $\alpha_j \leq \alpha < \alpha_{j+1}$  (so  $\alpha_j$  is a jumping number). Then TFAE:

1.  $v \in F_{\alpha_j}$ .



## Theorem

Assume  $h(t)$  has negative curvature;  $\|u\|_t = \|u\|_{h(t)}$ . Let  $\|v\|_{-t}^2$  be the induced norms on  $F = V^*$ . (A positively curved metric on  $E^*$ ).

Let  $\alpha_j \leq \alpha < \alpha_{j+1}$  (so  $\alpha_j$  is a jumping number). Then TFAE:

1.  $v \in F_{\alpha_j}$ .

2.

$$\int_0^\infty \|v\|_{-t}^2 e^{t\alpha} dt < \infty.$$

## Theorem

Assume  $h(t)$  has negative curvature;  $\|u\|_t = \|u\|_{h(t)}$ . Let  $\|v\|_{-t}^2$  be the induced norms on  $F = V^*$ . (A positively curved metric on  $E^*$ ).

Let  $\alpha_j \leq \alpha < \alpha_{j+1}$  (so  $\alpha_j$  is a jumping number). Then TFAE:

1.  $v \in F_{\alpha_j}$ .

2.

$$\int_0^\infty \|v\|_{-t}^2 e^{t\alpha} dt < \infty.$$

3.  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|v\|_{-t}^2 \leq -\alpha_{j+1}$ .

## Theorem

Assume  $h(t)$  has negative curvature;  $\|u\|_t = \|u\|_{h(t)}$ . Let  $\|v\|_{-t}^2$  be the induced norms on  $F = V^*$ . (A positively curved metric on  $E^*$ ).

Let  $\alpha_j \leq \alpha < \alpha_{j+1}$  (so  $\alpha_j$  is a jumping number). Then TFAE:

1.  $v \in F_{\alpha_j}$ .

2.

$$\int_0^\infty \|v\|_{-t}^2 e^{t\alpha} dt < \infty.$$

3.  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|v\|_{-t}^2 \leq -\alpha_{j+1}$ .

In particular, the set of  $\alpha$  such that the integral in 2. is finite, is open.

During the proof we get the following statement :

### Proposition

*In the same setting as before ( $h(t)$  negatively curved), there is a flat metric  $h_\infty$  such that  $h_\infty \geq h$  and  $h_\infty$  defines the same filtration and has the same jumping numbers as  $h$ .*

During the proof we get the following statement :

### Proposition

*In the same setting as before ( $h(t)$  negatively curved), there is a flat metric  $h_\infty$  such that  $h_\infty \geq h$  and  $h_\infty$  defines the same filtration and has the same jumping numbers as  $h$ .*

**In conclusion:** In a better part of the multiverse, the curvature  $\Theta$  would be a matrix (endomorphism) valued current with measure coefficients. Then  $\Theta(\{0\})$  would be a hermitian matrix, giving a decomposition of  $V$  into eigenspaces.

During the proof we get the following statement :

### Proposition

*In the same setting as before ( $h(t)$  negatively curved), there is a flat metric  $h_\infty$  such that  $h_\infty \geq h$  and  $h_\infty$  defines the same filtration and has the same jumping numbers as  $h$ .*

**In conclusion:** In a better part of the multiverse, the curvature  $\Theta$  would be a matrix (endomorphism) valued current with measure coefficients. Then  $\Theta(\{0\})$  would be a hermitian matrix, giving a decomposition of  $V$  into eigenspaces.

In our world, we get a filtration instead. When  $h$  satisfies our extra condition (it depends only on  $|z|$  or  $\operatorname{Re} \zeta$ ) we can describe the dual filtration in terms of integrability.

## What is this supposed to be good for?

One motivation for studying singular metrics comes from fibrations: Let

$$p: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$$

be a smooth proper fibration.

## What is this supposed to be good for?

One motivation for studying singular metrics comes from fibrations: Let

$$p: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$$

be a smooth proper fibration.

Let  $(L, \phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle. Assume  $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \geq 0$ . Let  $X_y = p^{-1}(y)$  be the fibers of  $p$ ; they are compact manifolds.



## What is this supposed to be good for?

One motivation for studying singular metrics comes from fibrations: Let

$$p: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$$

be a smooth proper fibration.

Let  $(L, \phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle. Assume  $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \geq 0$ . Let  $X_y = p^{-1}(y)$  be the fibers of  $p$ ; they are compact manifolds.

### Theorem

#### **Positivity of direct images:**

*Assume also that  $\mathcal{X}$  is Kähler. Then there is a vector bundle  $E$  of positive curvature over  $Y$  with fibers*

$$E_y = H^{n,0}(X_y, L|_{X_y}).$$

*This bundle has a natural  $L^2$ -metric.*

## What is this supposed to be good for?

One motivation for studying singular metrics comes from fibrations: Let

$$p: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$$

be a smooth proper fibration.

Let  $(L, \phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle. Assume  $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \geq 0$ . Let  $X_y = p^{-1}(y)$  be the fibers of  $p$ ; they are compact manifolds.

### Theorem

#### **Positivity of direct images:**

*Assume also that  $\mathcal{X}$  is Kähler. Then there is a vector bundle  $E$  of positive curvature over  $Y$  with fibers*

$$E_y = H^{n,0}(X_y, L|_{X_y}).$$

*This bundle has a natural  $L^2$ -metric. This metric has positive curvature,*

If we allow  $\phi$  to be singular, or  $p$  to be just a surjective map, we get singular metrics. See B-Paun, Paun-Takayama and Cao-Paun for a spectacular application.

If we allow  $\phi$  to be singular, or  $p$  to be just a surjective map, we get singular metrics. See B-Paun, Paun-Takayama and Cao-Paun for a spectacular application.

There is also a version of the theorem for non proper fibrations. Then we assume instead that  $\mathcal{X}$  is Stein. The simplest case is the following:

### Theorem

*Let  $D$  be a pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $U$  be the unit disk. Let  $\psi(t, z)$  be psh in  $\mathcal{D} = U \times D$ . Then the vector bundle  $E$  with fibers  $E_t = H^2(D, e^{-\psi(t,z)})$  and the natural  $L^2$ -norm has positive curvature.*

Here is another application (of the Stein case). It is a special case of a theorem of Guan-Zhou:

### Theorem

*Let  $\psi \leq 0$  be psh in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , with an isolated singularity at the origin. Assume  $h$  is holomorphic in the ball and*

$$\int_B |h|^2 e^{-\psi} < \infty.$$

Here is another application (of the Stein case). It is a special case of a theorem of Guan-Zhou:

### Theorem

*Let  $\psi \leq 0$  be psh in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , with an isolated singularity at the origin. Assume  $h$  is holomorphic in the ball and*

$$\int_B |h|^2 e^{-\psi} < \infty.$$

*Then there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that*

$$\int_B |h|^2 e^{-(1+\epsilon)\psi} < \infty.$$

Sketch of proof: Let for  $t \geq 0$ ,  
 $\psi_t = \max(\psi + t, 0) = t + \max(\psi, -t)$ .

Sketch of proof: Let for  $t \geq 0$ ,  
 $\psi_t = \max(\psi + t, 0) = t + \max(\psi, -t)$ .  
Let for  $h$  holomorphic in the ball

$$\|h\|_{-t}^2 = \int_B |h|^2 e^{-2\psi_t}.$$



Sketch of proof: Let for  $t \geq 0$ ,  
 $\psi_t = \max(\psi + t, 0) = t + \max(\psi, -t)$ .  
Let for  $h$  holomorphic in the ball

$$\|h\|_{-t}^2 = \int_B |h|^2 e^{-2\psi_t}.$$

This is a metric of positive curvature that fits with our previous discussion, by our theorem on positivity of direct images.

Sketch of proof: Let for  $t \geq 0$ ,  
 $\psi_t = \max(\psi + t, 0) = t + \max(\psi, -t)$ .  
Let for  $h$  holomorphic in the ball

$$\|h\|_{-t}^2 = \int_B |h|^2 e^{-2\psi_t}.$$

This is a metric of positive curvature that fits with our previous discussion, by our theorem on positivity of direct images.

A direct computation gives that

$$\int_B |h|^2 e^{-p\psi} \sim \int_0^\infty \|h\|_{-t}^2 e^{pt} dt.$$

Sketch of proof: Let for  $t \geq 0$ ,  
 $\psi_t = \max(\psi + t, 0) = t + \max(\psi, -t)$ .  
Let for  $h$  holomorphic in the ball

$$\|h\|_{-t}^2 = \int_B |h|^2 e^{-2\psi_t}.$$

This is a metric of positive curvature that fits with our previous discussion, by our theorem on positivity of direct images.

A direct computation gives that

$$\int_B |h|^2 e^{-p\psi} \sim \int_0^\infty \|h\|_{-t}^2 e^{pt} dt.$$

As we have seen the set of  $p$  such that the RHS is finite is open. □

Let  $D$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $\psi$  be plurisubharmonic in  $D$ . The Suita problem is about estimating the minimal  $L^2$ -norm

$$\int_D |h|^2 e^{-\psi}$$

of a holomorphic function in  $D$  with  $h(0) = 1$ . (Blocki, Guan-Zhou.)

Let  $D$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $\psi$  be plurisubharmonic in  $D$ . The Suita problem is about estimating the minimal  $L^2$ -norm

$$\int_D |h|^2 e^{-\psi}$$

of a holomorphic function in  $D$  with  $h(0) = 1$ . (Blocki, Guan-Zhou.)

It can be framed as estimating

$$\|1\|_0^2$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_0$  is the quotient norm in  $F := H^2(D, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J}_0$ , where  $\mathcal{J}_0$  is the ideal of functions vanishing at the origin.

Let  $G$  be a negative psh-function in  $D$  with a logarithmic pole at 0, and let  $D_t = \{z \in D; G(z) < -t\}$ . Replacing  $D$  by  $D_t$  we get a scale of problems, and as shown by Blocki-Lempert one gets the good estimate by using that the corresponding family of quotient norms

$$\|1\|_{-t}$$

in  $H^2(D_t, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J}_0$  has positive curvature. (Here  $F$  has dimension 1.)

Let  $G$  be a negative psh-function in  $D$  with a logarithmic pole at  $0$ , and let  $D_t = \{z \in D; G(z) < -t\}$ . Replacing  $D$  by  $D_t$  we get a scale of problems, and as shown by Blocki-Lempert one gets the good estimate by using that the corresponding family of quotient norms

$$\|1\|_{-t}$$

in  $H^2(D_t, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J}_0$  has positive curvature. (Here  $F$  has dimension 1.)

Indeed, if  $u \in V$  (the dual space), basically  $u = \delta_0$ . Moreover,  $D_t$  is roughly a ball  $B(0, e^{-t})$  for  $t$  large. Hence  $\|u\|_t^2 \sim e^{2nt}$

Let  $G$  be a negative psh-function in  $D$  with a logarithmic pole at 0, and let  $D_t = \{z \in D; G(z) < -t\}$ . Replacing  $D$  by  $D_t$  we get a scale of problems, and as shown by Blocki-Lempert one gets the good estimate by using that the corresponding family of quotient norms

$$\|1\|_{-t}$$

in  $H^2(D_t, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J}_0$  has positive curvature. (Here  $F$  has dimension 1.)

Indeed, if  $u \in V$  (the dual space), basically  $u = \delta_0$ . Moreover,  $D_t$  is roughly a ball  $B(0, e^{-t})$  for  $t$  large. Hence  $\|u\|_t^2 \sim e^{2nt}$ . In our previous language,  $\alpha(u) = 2n$ . Hence,  $\log \|u\|_t^2 - 2nt$  is bounded,



Let  $G$  be a negative psh-function in  $D$  with a logarithmic pole at 0, and let  $D_t = \{z \in D; G(z) < -t\}$ . Replacing  $D$  by  $D_t$  we get a scale of problems, and as shown by Blocki-Lempert one gets the good estimate by using that the corresponding family of quotient norms

$$\|1\|_{-t}$$

in  $H^2(D_t, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J}_0$  has positive curvature. (Here  $F$  has dimension 1.)

Indeed, if  $u \in V$  (the dual space), basically  $u = \delta_0$ . Moreover,  $D_t$  is roughly a ball  $B(0, e^{-t})$  for  $t$  large. Hence  $\|u\|_t^2 \sim e^{2nt}$ . In our previous language,  $\alpha(u) = 2n$ . Hence,  $\log \|u\|_t^2 - 2nt$  is bounded, therefore decreasing!

Let  $G$  be a negative psh-function in  $D$  with a logarithmic pole at 0, and let  $D_t = \{z \in D; G(z) < -t\}$ . Replacing  $D$  by  $D_t$  we get a scale of problems, and as shown by Blocki-Lempert one gets the good estimate by using that the corresponding family of quotient norms

$$\|1\|_{-t}$$

in  $H^2(D_t, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J}_0$  has positive curvature. (Here  $F$  has dimension 1.)

Indeed, if  $u \in V$  (the dual space), basically  $u = \delta_0$ . Moreover,  $D_t$  is roughly a ball  $B(0, e^{-t})$  for  $t$  large. Hence  $\|u\|_t^2 \sim e^{2nt}$ . In our previous language,  $\alpha(u) = 2n$ . Hence,  $\log \|u\|_t^2 - 2nt$  is bounded, therefore decreasing! Hence  $\log \|1\|_{-t}^2 + 2nt$  is increasing. So

$$\|1\|_0^2 \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|1\|_{-t} e^{2nt},$$

which is easy to estimate.

One can also look at a more general problem, where  $\mathcal{J}_0$  is replaced by another ideal,  $\mathcal{J}$ , at the origin. (Popovici, Demailly, Cao-Demailly-Matsumura). Then

$$F = H^2(D, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J} = H^2(D_t, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J}$$

has higher dimension and it fits into our scheme.

One can also look at a more general problem, where  $\mathcal{J}_0$  is replaced by another ideal,  $\mathcal{J}$ , at the origin. (Popovici, Demailly, Cao-Demailly-Matsumura). Then

$$F = H^2(D, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J} = H^2(D_t, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J}$$

has higher dimension and it fits into our scheme.

If  $u \in V = F^*$  and  $u \in V_{\alpha_j}$ , then  $\|u\|_t^2 e^{\alpha_j t}$ , is bounded and it's log is convex. Hence decreasing. Therefore we get estimates for  $\|v\|_{-t}^2$  in the dual of  $V_{\alpha_j}$  which is  $F/F_{\alpha_j}$ .

One can also look at a more general problem, where  $\mathcal{J}_0$  is replaced by another ideal,  $\mathcal{J}$ , at the origin. (Popovici, Demailly, Cao-Demailly-Matsumura). Then

$$F = H^2(D, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J} = H^2(D_t, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J}$$

has higher dimension and it fits into our scheme.

If  $u \in V = F^*$  and  $u \in V_{\alpha_j}$ , then  $\|u\|_t^2 e^{\alpha_j t}$ , is bounded and it's log is convex. Hence decreasing. Therefore we get estimates for  $\|v\|_{-t}^2$  in the dual of  $V_{\alpha_j}$  which is  $F/F_{\alpha_j}$ . In general, this estimates just says that the quantity we want to estimate is less than infinity. But, if  $v \in F_{\alpha_{j-1}}$  it is finite (?). Therefore the method seems to work if  $v \in F_{\alpha_{n-1}}$  (since  $F_{\alpha_n} = 0$ .)

One can also look at a more general problem, where  $\mathcal{J}_0$  is replaced by another ideal,  $\mathcal{J}$ , at the origin. (Popovici, Demailly, Cao-Demailly-Matsumura). Then

$$F = H^2(D, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J} = H^2(D_t, e^{-\psi})/\mathcal{J}$$

has higher dimension and it fits into our scheme.

If  $u \in V = F^*$  and  $u \in V_{\alpha_j}$ , then  $\|u\|_t^2 e^{\alpha_j t}$ , is bounded and it's log is convex. Hence decreasing. Therefore we get estimates for  $\|v\|_{-t}^2$  in the dual of  $V_{\alpha_j}$  which is  $F/F_{\alpha_j}$ . In general, this estimates just says that the quantity we want to estimate is less than infinity. But, if  $v \in F_{\alpha_{j-1}}$  it is finite (?). Therefore the method seems to work if  $v \in F_{\alpha_{n-1}}$  (since  $F_{\alpha_n} = 0$ .)

In general, one decomposes  $v$  as a sum in  $F_{\alpha} \ominus F_{\alpha_{j+1}}$  in  $\|\cdot\|_0$ . This makes the result non explicit.

Thank you!