

# Generalizing Gödel's Constructible Universe:

## The HOD Dichotomy

W. Hugh Woodin

Harvard University

IMS Graduate Summer School in Logic  
June 2018

## Definition

Suppose  $\lambda$  is an uncountable cardinal.

- ▶  $\lambda$  is a **singular cardinal** if there exists a cofinal set  $X \subset \lambda$  such that  $|X| < \lambda$ .
- ▶  $\lambda$  is a **regular cardinal** if there does not exist a cofinal set  $X \subset \lambda$  such that  $|X| < \lambda$ .

## Lemma (Axiom of Choice)

*Every (infinite) successor cardinal is a regular cardinal.*

## Definition

Suppose  $\lambda$  is an uncountable cardinal. Then  $\text{cof}(\lambda)$  is the minimum possible  $|X|$  where  $X \subset \lambda$  is cofinal in  $\lambda$ .

- ▶  $\text{cof}(\lambda)$  is always a regular cardinal.
- ▶ If  $\lambda$  is regular then  $\text{cof}(\lambda) = \lambda$ .
- ▶ If  $\lambda$  is singular then  $\text{cof}(\lambda) < \lambda$ .

# The Jensen Dichotomy Theorem

## Theorem (Jensen)

*Exactly one of the following holds.*

(1) *For all singular cardinals  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$  is a singular cardinal in  $L$  and*

$$\gamma^+ = (\gamma^+)^L.$$

▶  *$L$  is **close** to  $V$ .*

(2) *Every uncountable cardinal is a regular limit cardinal in  $L$ .*

▶  *$L$  is **far** from  $V$ .*

A strong version of Scott's Theorem:

## Theorem (Silver)

*Assume that there is a measurable cardinal.*

▶ *Then  $L$  is far from  $V$ .*

# Tarski's Theorem and Gödel's Response

## Theorem (Tarski)

*Suppose  $M \models \text{ZF}$  and let  $X$  be the set of all  $a \in M$  such that  $a$  is definable in  $M$  without parameters.*

- ▶ *Then  $X$  is not a definable in  $M$  without parameters.*

## Theorem (Gödel)

*Suppose that  $M \models \text{ZF}$  and let  $X$  be the set of all  $a \in M$  such that  $a$  is definable in  $M$  from  $b$  for some ordinal  $b$  of  $M$ .*

- ▶ *Then  $X$  is  $\Sigma_2$ -definable in  $M$  without parameters.*

# Gödel's transitive class HOD

- ▶ Recall that a set  $M$  is transitive if every element of  $M$  is a subset of  $M$ .

## Definition

HOD is the class of all sets  $X$  such that there exist  $\alpha \in \text{Ord}$  and  $M \subset V_\alpha$  such that

1.  $X \in M$  and  $M$  is transitive.
2. Every element of  $M$  is definable in  $V_\alpha$  from ordinal parameters.

- ▶ (ZF) The Axiom of Choice holds in HOD.
- ▶  $L \subseteq \text{HOD}$ .
- ▶ HOD is the union of all transitive sets  $M$  such that every element of  $M$  is definable in  $V$  from ordinal parameters.
  - ▶ By Gödel's Response.

# Stationary sets

## Definition

Suppose  $\lambda$  is an uncountable regular cardinal.

1. A set  $C \subset \lambda$  is **closed and unbounded** if  $C$  is cofinal in  $\lambda$  and  $C$  contains all of its limit points below  $\lambda$ :
  - ▶ For all limit ordinals  $\eta < \lambda$ , if  $C \cap \eta$  is cofinal in  $\eta$  then  $\eta \in C$ .
2. A set  $S \subset \lambda$  is **stationary** if  $S \cap C \neq \emptyset$  for all closed unbounded sets  $C \subset \lambda$ .

Example:

- ▶ Let  $S \subset \omega_2$  be the set all ordinals  $\alpha$  such that  $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega$ .
  - ▶  $S$  is a stationary subset of  $\omega_2$ ,
  - ▶  $\omega_2 \setminus S$  is a stationary subset of  $\omega_2$ .

# The Solovay Splitting Theorem

## Theorem (Solovay)

*Suppose that  $\lambda$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and that  $S \subset \lambda$  is stationary.*

- ▶ *Then there is a partition*

$$\langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$$

*of  $S$  into  $\lambda$ -many pairwise disjoint stationary subsets of  $\lambda$ .*

But suppose  $S \in \text{HOD}$ .

- ▶ Can one require

$$S_\alpha \in \text{HOD}$$

for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ ?

- ▶ Or just find a partition of  $S$  into 2 stationary sets, each in HOD?

## Lemma

*Suppose that  $\lambda$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and that:*

- ▶  *$S \subset \lambda$  is stationary.*
- ▶  *$S \in \text{HOD}$ .*
- ▶  *$\kappa < \lambda$  and  $(2^\kappa)^{\text{HOD}} \geq \lambda$ .*

*Then there is a partition*

$$\langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$$

*of  $S$  into  $\kappa$ -many pairwise disjoint stationary subsets of  $\lambda$  such that*

$$\langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle \in \text{HOD}.$$

But what if:

- ▶  $S = \{\alpha < \lambda \mid \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega\}$  and  $(2^\kappa)^{\text{HOD}} < \lambda$ ?



## Definition

Let  $\lambda$  be an uncountable regular cardinal and let

$$S = \{\alpha < \lambda \mid \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega\}.$$

Then  $\lambda$  is  $\omega$ -**strongly measurable** in HOD if there exists  $\kappa < \lambda$  such that:

1.  $(2^\kappa)^{\text{HOD}} < \lambda$ ,
2. there is no partition  $\langle S_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  of  $S$  into stationary sets such that

$$S_\alpha \in \text{HOD}$$

for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ .

## A simple lemma

Suppose  $\mathbb{B}$  is a complete Boolean algebra and  $\gamma$  is a cardinal.

- ▶  $\mathbb{B}$  is  $\gamma$ -cc if

$$|\mathcal{A}| < \gamma$$

for all  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an antichain:

- ▶  $a \wedge b = 0$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $a \neq b$ .

### Lemma

*Suppose that  $\lambda$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\lambda$ -complete uniform filter on  $\lambda$ . Let*

$$\mathbb{B} = \mathcal{P}(\lambda)/I$$

*where  $I$  is the ideal dual to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that  $\mathbb{B}$  is  $\gamma$ -cc for some  $\gamma$  such that  $2^\gamma < \lambda$ .*

- ▶ *Then  $|\mathbb{B}| \leq 2^\gamma$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  is atomic.*

## Lemma

Assume  $\lambda$  is  $\omega$ -strongly measurable in HOD. Then

$\text{HOD} \models \lambda$  is a measurable cardinal.

Proof.

Let  $S = \{\alpha < \lambda \mid (\text{cof}(\alpha))^V = \omega\}$  and let

$\mathcal{F} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda) \cap \text{HOD} \mid S \setminus A \text{ is not a stationary subset of } \lambda \text{ in } V\}$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{HOD}$  and in HOD,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\lambda$ -complete uniform filter on  $\lambda$ .

- ▶ Since  $\lambda$  is  $\omega$ -strongly measurable in HOD, there exists  $\gamma < \lambda$  such that in HOD:
  - ▶  $2^\gamma < \lambda$ ,
  - ▶  $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)/I$  is  $\gamma$ -cc where  $I$  is the ideal dual to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Therefore by the simple lemma (applied within HOD), the Boolean algebra

$$(\mathcal{P}(\lambda) \cap \text{HOD}) / I$$

is atomic.



# Extendible cardinals

## Lemma

*Suppose that*

$$\pi : V_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow V_{\pi(\alpha)+1}$$

*is an elementary embedding and  $\pi$  is not the identity.*

- ▶ *Then there exists an ordinal  $\eta$  that  $\pi(\eta) \neq \eta$ .*
  
- ▶  $\text{CRT}(\pi)$  denotes the least  $\eta$  such that  $\pi(\eta) \neq \eta$ .

## Definition (Reinhardt)

Suppose that  $\delta$  is a cardinal.

- ▶ Then  $\delta$  is an **extendible cardinal** if for each  $\lambda > \delta$  there exists an elementary embedding

$$\pi : V_{\lambda+1} \rightarrow V_{\pi(\lambda)+1}$$

such that  $\text{CRT}(\pi) = \delta$  and  $\pi(\delta) > \lambda$ .

# Extendible cardinals and a dichotomy theorem

## Theorem (HOD Dichotomy Theorem (weak version))

*Suppose that  $\delta$  is an extendible cardinal. Then one of the following holds.*

(1) **No** regular cardinal  $\kappa \geq \delta$  is  $\omega$ -strongly measurable in HOD.

*Further, suppose  $\gamma$  is a singular cardinal and  $\gamma > \delta$ .*

▶ *Then  $\gamma$  is singular cardinal in HOD and  $\gamma^+ = (\gamma^+)^{\text{HOD}}$ .*

(2) **Every** regular cardinal  $\kappa \geq \delta$  is  $\omega$ -strongly measurable in HOD.

- ▶ If there is an extendible cardinal then HOD must be either **close** to  $V$  or HOD must be **far** from  $V$ .
- ▶ This is just like the Jensen Dichotomy Theorem but with HOD in place of  $L$ .

# Supercompactness

## Definition

Suppose that  $\kappa$  is an uncountable regular cardinal and that  $\kappa < \lambda$ .

1.  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\lambda) = \{\sigma \subset \lambda \mid |\sigma| < \kappa\}$ .
2. Suppose that  $U \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\lambda))$  is an ultrafilter.

- ▶  $U$  is **fine** if for each  $\alpha < \lambda$ ,

$$\{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa(\lambda) \mid \alpha \in \sigma\} \in U.$$

- ▶  $U$  is **normal** if for each function

$$f : \mathcal{P}_\kappa(\lambda) \rightarrow \lambda$$

such that

$$\{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa(\lambda) \mid f(\sigma) \in \sigma\} \in U,$$

there exists  $\alpha < \lambda$  such that

$$\{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa(\lambda) \mid f(\sigma) = \alpha\} \in U.$$

# The original definition of supercompact cardinals

## Definition (Solovay, Reinhardt)

Suppose that  $\kappa$  is an uncountable regular cardinal.

- ▶ Then  $\kappa$  is a **supercompact cardinal** if for each  $\lambda > \kappa$  there exists an ultrafilter  $U$  on  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\lambda)$  such that:
  - ▶  $U$  is  $\kappa$ -complete, normal, fine ultrafilter.

## Lemma (Magidor)

*Suppose that  $\delta$  is strongly inaccessible. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\delta$  is supercompact.
- (2) For all  $\lambda > \delta$  there exist  $\bar{\delta} < \bar{\lambda} < \delta$  and an elementary embedding

$$\pi : V_{\bar{\lambda}+1} \rightarrow V_{\lambda+1}$$

such that  $\text{CRT}(\pi) = \bar{\delta}$  and such that  $\pi(\bar{\delta}) = \delta$ .

# Solovay's Lemma

## Theorem (Solovay)

*Suppose  $\kappa < \lambda$  are uncountable regular cardinals and that  $U$  is a  $\kappa$ -complete normal fine ultrafilter on  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\lambda)$ .*

- ▶ *Then there exists  $Z \in U$  such that the function*
$$f(\sigma) = \sup(\sigma)$$

*is 1-to-1 on  $Z$ .*

- ▶ There is **one** set  $Z \subset \mathcal{P}_\kappa(\lambda)$  which works for **all**  $U$ .



# Supercompact cardinals and a dichotomy theorem

## Theorem

*Suppose that  $\delta$  is an supercompact cardinal,  $\kappa > \delta$  is a regular cardinal, and that  $\kappa$  is  $\omega$ -strongly measurable in HOD.*

- ▶ *Then every regular cardinal  $\lambda > 2^\kappa$  is  $\omega$ -strongly measurable in HOD.*
- ▶ Assuming  $\delta$  is an extendible cardinal then one obtains a much stronger conclusion.

# Supercompact cardinals and the Singular Cardinals Hypothesis

## Theorem (Solovay)

*Suppose that  $\delta$  is a supercompact cardinal and that  $\gamma > \delta$  is a singular strong limit cardinal.*

- ▶ *Then  $2^\gamma = \gamma^+$ .*

## Theorem (Silver)

*Suppose that  $\delta$  is a supercompact cardinal. Then there is a generic extension  $V[G]$  of  $V$  such that in  $V[G]$ :*

- ▶  *$\delta$  is a supercompact cardinal.*
  - ▶  *$2^\delta > \delta^+$ .*
- 
- ▶ Solovay's Theorem is the strongest possible theorem on supercompact cardinals and the Generalized Continuum Hypothesis.

# The $\delta$ -covering and $\delta$ -approximation properties

## Definition (Hamkins)

Suppose  $N$  is a transitive class,  $N \models \text{ZFC}$ , and that  $\delta$  is an uncountable regular cardinal of  $V$ .

1.  $N$  has the  $\delta$ -**covering property** if for all  $\sigma \subset N$ , if  $|\sigma| < \delta$  then there exists  $\tau \subset N$  such that:
  - ▶  $\sigma \subset \tau$ ,
  - ▶  $\tau \in N$ ,
  - ▶  $|\tau| < \delta$ .
2.  $N$  has the  $\delta$ -**approximation property** if for all sets  $X \subset N$ , the following are equivalent.
  - ▶  $X \in N$ .
  - ▶ For all  $\sigma \in N$  if  $|\sigma| < \delta$  then  $\sigma \cap X \in N$ .

For each (infinite) cardinal  $\gamma$ :

- ▶  $H(\gamma)$  denotes the union of all transitive sets  $M$  such that  $|M| < \gamma$ .

# The Hamkins Uniqueness Theorem

## Theorem (Hamkins)

*Suppose  $N_0$  and  $N_1$  both have the  $\delta$ -approximation property and the  $\delta$ -covering property. Suppose*

▶  $N_0 \cap H(\delta^+) = N_1 \cap H(\delta^+).$

*Then:*

▶  $N_0 = N_1.$

## Corollary

*Suppose  $N$  has the  $\delta$ -approximation property and the  $\delta$ -covering property. Let  $A = N \cap H(\delta^+).$*

- ▶ *Then  $N \cap H(\gamma)$  is (uniformly) definable in  $H(\gamma)$  from  $A$ ,*
- ▶ *for all strong limit cardinals  $\gamma > \delta^+.$*

- ▶  $N$  is a  $\Sigma_2$ -definable class from parameters.

# Set Theoretic Geology

## Definition (Hamkins)

A transitive class  $N$  is a **ground** of  $V$  if

- ▶  $N \models \text{ZFC}$ .
- ▶ There is a partial order  $\mathbb{P} \in N$  and an  $N$ -generic filter  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  such that  $V = N[G]$ .
  - ▶  $G$  is allowed to be trivial in which case  $N = V$ .

## Lemma (Hamkins)

*Suppose  $N$  is a ground of  $V$ . Then for all sufficiently large regular cardinals  $\delta$ :*

- ▶  $N$  has the  $\delta$ -approximation property.
  - ▶  $N$  has the  $\delta$ -covering property.
- 
- ▶ Simply take  $\delta$  be any regular cardinal of  $N$  such that  $|\mathbb{P}|^N < \delta$ .

## Corollary

*The grounds of  $V$  are  $\Sigma_2$ -definable classes from parameters.*

- ▶ By the Hamkins Uniqueness Theorem.

## Set Theoretic Geology (Hamkins)

What is the possible structure of the grounds of  $V$ ?

- ▶ This is part of the first order theory of  $V$ .
- ▶ Suppose  $N \subseteq M \subseteq V$ ,  $N$  is a ground of  $V$ , and  $M \models \text{ZFC}$ .
  - ▶ Then  $M$  is a ground of  $V$  and  $N$  is a ground of  $M$ .

## Definition (Hamkins)

The **mantle** of  $V$  is the intersection of all the grounds of  $V$ .

Let  $\mathbb{M}$  be the mantle of  $V$ .

- ▶ (Hamkins) If  $\mathbb{M}$  is a ground of  $V$  then  $\mathbb{M}$  has no nontrivial grounds.
- ▶ (Hamkins)  $\mathbb{M} \models \text{ZF}$  but must  $\mathbb{M} \models \text{ZFC}$ ?

# The Directed Grounds Problem

- ▶ For each uncountable regular cardinal  $\lambda$ , there is a canonical forcing notion for adding a *fast club* at  $\lambda$ .

## Theorem (after Hamkins et al)

*Fix an ordinal  $\alpha$ . Suppose  $V[G]$  is an Easton extension of  $V$  where for each strong limit cardinal  $\gamma$ , if  $\gamma > \alpha$  then  $G$  adds a fast club at  $\gamma^+$ . Then:*

- ▶ *The grounds of  $V[G]$  are downward set-directed.*
  - ▶  *$V$  is not a ground of  $V[G]$  and  $V_\alpha = (V[G])_\alpha$ .*
  - ▶  *$V$  is the mantle of  $V[G]$  and  $\text{HOD}^V = \text{HOD}^{V[G]}$ .*
- 
- ▶ The same example but with Backward Easton forcing yields  $V[G]$  for which there are no non-trivial grounds:
    - ▶  $V[G]$  is the mantle of  $V[G]$ .

## Question (Hamkins)

Are the grounds of  $V$  downward set-directed under inclusion?

## When the grounds of $V$ are downwards set-directed

### Claim

*Suppose that grounds of  $V$  are downwards set-directed. Then the following are equivalent.*

- 1. The mantle of  $V$  is a ground of  $V$ .*
- 2. There are only set-many grounds of  $V$ .*
- 3. This is a minimum ground of  $V$ .*

### Claim

*Suppose that grounds of  $V$  are downwards set-directed and let  $\mathbb{M}$  be the mantle of  $V$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{M} \models \text{ZFC}.$$



# Bukovsky's Theorem and Usuba's Solution

## Theorem (Bukovsky)

*Suppose that  $\kappa$  is a regular cardinal and  $N \subset V$  is a transitive inner model of ZFC. Then the following are equivalent.*

- 1. For each  $\theta \in \text{Ord}$  and for each function  $F : \theta \rightarrow N$  there exists a function*

$$H : \theta \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\kappa(N)$$

*such that  $H \in N$  and such that  $F(\alpha) \in H(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha < \theta$ .*

- 2.  $V$  is a  $\kappa$ -cc generic extension of  $N$ .*

## Theorem (Usuba)

*The grounds of  $V$  are downward set-directed under inclusion.*

## Corollary (Usuba)

*Let  $\mathbb{M}$  be the mantle of  $V$ .*

- ▶ Then  $\mathbb{M} \models \text{The Axiom of Choice}$ .*

# Usuba's Mantle Theorem

## Theorem (Usuba)

*Suppose that there is an extendible cardinal. Let  $\mathbb{M}$  be the mantle of  $V$ .*

- ▶ *Then  $\mathbb{M}$  is a ground of  $V$ .*

## Corollary

*Suppose that there is an extendible cardinal. Let  $\mathbb{M}$  be the mantle of  $V$  and suppose that  $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \text{HOD}$ .*

- ▶ *Then  $\text{HOD}$  is a ground of  $V$ .*
  
- ▶ In this case, the **far** option in the HOD Dichotomy Theorem **cannot hold**.

## A natural conjecture

Assuming sufficient large cardinals exist, then **provably** the far option in the HOD Dichotomy Theorem cannot hold.

# The HOD Hypothesis

## Definition (The HOD Hypothesis)

There exists a proper class of regular cardinals  $\lambda$  which are **not**  $\omega$ -strongly measurable in HOD.

- ▶ It is not known if there can exist 4 regular cardinals which **are**  $\omega$ -strongly measurable in HOD.
- ▶ It is not known if there can exist 2 regular cardinals above  $2^{\aleph_0}$  where are  $\omega$ -strongly measurable in HOD.
- ▶ Suppose  $\gamma$  is a singular cardinal of uncountable cofinality.
  - ▶ It is not known if  $\gamma^+$  **can ever be**  $\omega$ -strongly measurable in HOD.

## Conjecture

Suppose  $\gamma > 2^{\aleph_0}$  and that  $\gamma^+$  is  $\omega$ -strongly measurable in HOD.

- ▶ Then  $\gamma^{++}$  is not  $\omega$ -strongly measurable in HOD.

# The HOD Conjecture

## Definition (HOD Conjecture)

The theory

ZFC + “There is a supercompact cardinal”

**proves** the HOD Hypothesis.

- ▶ Assume the HOD Conjecture and that there is an extendible cardinal. Then:
  - ▶ The far option in the HOD Dichotomy Theorem is **vacuous**:
    - ▶ HOD **must** be close to  $V$ .
- ▶ The HOD Conjecture is a **number theoretic statement**.

# The Weak HOD Conjecture and the Ultimate- $L$ Conjecture

## Definition (Weak HOD Conjecture)

The theory

ZFC + “There is a extendible cardinal”

**proves** the HOD Hypothesis.

## Ultimate- $L$ Conjecture

(ZFC) *Suppose that  $\delta$  is an extendible cardinal. Then (provably) there is a transitive class  $N$  such that:*

1.  *$N$  is a weak extender model of  $\delta$  is supercompact.*
2.  *$N \models “V = \text{Ultimate-}L”$ .*

## Theorem

*The Ultimate- $L$  Conjecture implies the Weak HOD Conjecture.*

# An equivalence

## Theorem

*Suppose there is a proper class of extendible cardinals. Then following are equivalent.*

- (1) The HOD Hypothesis holds.*
- (2) For some  $\delta$ , there is a weak extender model  $N$  of  $\delta$  is supercompact such that*

$$N \models \text{“The HOD Hypothesis”}.$$

# Defining large cardinals in ZF

## Definition

Suppose  $\lambda$  is a cardinal. Then

$$V_\lambda \prec_{\Sigma_1^*} V$$

if for all  $a \in V_\lambda$ , for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ , and all  $\Sigma_1$ -formulas,  $\varphi(x_0)$ ;

- ▶ if there exists transitive set  $M$  such that
  - ▶  $M \models \varphi[a]$ ,
  - ▶  $M^{V_\alpha} \subset M$ ;

Then there exists such a transitive set  $M \in V_\lambda$ .

## Lemma

*Assume the Axiom of Choice. Then the following are equivalent.*

1.  $|V_\lambda| = \lambda$ .
2.  $V_\lambda \prec_{\Sigma_1} V$ .
3.  $V_\lambda \prec_{\Sigma_1^*} V$ .

## Defining extendible cardinals in ZF

### Definition

Suppose  $\delta > \omega$  is a cardinal. Then  $\delta$  is **weakly extendible** if for all  $\lambda > \delta$ , there exists an elementary embedding

$$\pi : V_{\lambda+1} \rightarrow V_{\pi(\lambda)+1}$$

such that  $\text{CRT}(\pi) = \delta$  and such that  $\pi(\delta) > \lambda$ .

### Definition

Suppose  $\delta > \omega$  is a cardinal. Then  $\delta$  is **extendible** if for all  $\lambda > \delta$  such that

$$V_\lambda \prec_{\Sigma_1^*} V,$$

there exists an elementary embedding

$$\pi : V_{\lambda+1} \rightarrow V_{\pi(\lambda)+1}$$

such that  $\text{CRT}(\pi) = \delta$ ,  $\pi(\delta) > \lambda$ , and such that

$$V_{\pi(\lambda)} \prec_{\Sigma_1^*} V.$$



# The Strong HOD Conjecture

## Definition (Strong HOD Conjecture)

ZFC **proves** the HOD Hypothesis.

## Theorem

*Assume the Strong HOD Conjecture and that  $\delta$  is a weakly extendible cardinal.*

- ▶ *Then for all  $\lambda > \delta$  the following are equivalent.*
  - ▶ *For all  $\alpha < \lambda$ , there is no surjection  $\rho : V_\alpha \rightarrow \lambda$ .*
  - ▶  $V_\lambda \prec_{\Sigma_1} V$ .
  - ▶  $V_\lambda \prec_{\Sigma_1^*} V$ .

## Corollary (ZF)

*Assume the Strong HOD Conjecture and that  $\delta$  is a weakly extendible cardinal.*

- ▶ *Then  $\delta$  is an extendible cardinal.*

# Applications of the HOD Conjecture in ZF

## Theorem (ZF)

*Assume the HOD Conjecture and that  $\delta$  is an extendible cardinal.*

- ▶ *Then for every cardinal  $\lambda \geq \delta$ ,  $\lambda^+$  is a regular cardinal.*

## Theorem (ZF)

*Assume the HOD Conjecture and that  $\delta$  is an extendible cardinal.*

- ▶ *Then for every regular cardinal  $\lambda \geq \delta$ , the Solovay Splitting Theorem holds at  $\lambda$ .*
- ▶ Assuming the HOD Conjecture:
  - ▶ Large cardinal axioms are trying to prove the Axiom of Choice.

# Kunen's Theorem

## Theorem (Kunen)

*Suppose that  $\lambda$  is a cardinal.*

- ▶ *Then there is no non-trivial elementary embedding*

$$j : V_{\lambda+2} \rightarrow V_{\lambda+2}.$$

- ▶ Kunen's Theorem is a ZFC theorem.

## Theorem (ZF)

*Assume the HOD Conjecture and that  $\delta$  is an extendible cardinal.*

- ▶ *Then for every cardinal  $\lambda > \delta$ , there is no nontrivial elementary embedding  $j : V_{\lambda+2} \rightarrow V_{\lambda+2}$ .*

# Berkeley cardinals

## Definition

A cardinal  $\delta$  is a **Berkeley cardinal** if:

- ▶ For all  $\alpha < \delta$  and for all transitive sets  $M$  with  $\delta \subset M$ , there exists a nontrivial elementary embedding

$$j : M \rightarrow M$$

such that  $\alpha < \text{CRT}(j) < \delta$ .

- ▶ Assuming the Axiom of Choice, there are no Berkeley cardinals by Kunen's Theorem:
  - ▶ Just let  $M = V_{\delta+2}$ .

## Theorem (ZF)

*Assume the HOD Conjecture. Then:*

- ▶ *There are no Berkeley cardinals.*

# The inner model $L(\mathcal{P}(\text{Ord}))$

## Definition

$L(\mathcal{P}(\text{Ord}))$  is the class of all sets  $X$  such that

$$X \in L(\mathcal{P}(\lambda))$$

for some ordinal  $\lambda$ .

## Lemma (ZF)

*The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The Axiom of Choice.*
- (2)  $L(\mathcal{P}(\text{Ord})) \models$  *The Axiom of Choice.*

# HOD Conjecture and the Axiom of Choice

## Theorem (ZF)

*Assume the HOD Conjecture. Suppose  $\delta$  is an extendible cardinal. Then:*

- ▶  *$\delta$  is an extendible cardinal in  $L(\mathcal{P}(\text{Ord}))$ .*
- ▶ *There exists  $\lambda < \delta$  such that for all  $X \in L(\mathcal{P}(\text{Ord}))$ , there exists an ordinal  $\eta$  and a surjection*

$$\pi : \mathcal{P}(\lambda) \times \eta \rightarrow X$$

*such that  $\pi \in L(\mathcal{P}(\text{Ord}))$ .*

- ▶ By using symmetric forcing extensions, the conclusion is best possible.

## Summary

There is a progression of theorems from large cardinal hypotheses that suggest:

- ▶ Some version of  $V = L$  is true.

Further:

- ▶ The theorems become much stronger as the large cardinal hypothesis is increased.

**Large cardinals amplify structure.**

- ▶ **They measure  $V$  and force the structure of  $V$  into discrete options.**

Perhaps this is all evidence that  $V = \text{Ultimate-}L$ .