# Imaging through random media by speckle intensity correlations

Josselin Garnier (Ecole Polytechnique, France)

# Motivation: Sensor array imaging

• Sensor array imaging (echography in medical imaging, sonar, non-destructive testing, seismic exploration, etc) has two steps:

- data acquisition: an unknown medium is probed with waves; waves are emitted by a source (or a source array) and recorded by a receiver array.

- data processing: the recorded signals are processed to identify the quantities of interest (reflector locations, etc).

• Example:

Ultrasound echography



• Standard processing techniques (DAS, Reverse-Time Migration) work well if the background medium is known (ideally, homogeneous medium).

Goal: detect anomalies/cracks/inclusions in concrete.





Experimental set-up

The recorded signals look very "noisy".  $\hookrightarrow$  Where does the noise come from ?

Data



Reciprocity: The signal transmitted by A and recorded by B should be the same as the signal transmitted by B and recorded by A.

- $\rightarrow$  This holds true in the data for (almost) all pairs (A, B) !
- $\hookrightarrow$  The "noise" is not measurement noise !

Goal: detect anomalies/cracks/inclusions in concrete.



The recorded signals are very "noisy" due to scattering.

- $\rightarrow$  Concrete is highly scattering for ultrasonic waves !
- $\hookrightarrow$  Standard echography fails and new imaging methods are needed.

## **Application 2: Optics in strongly scattering media**

Goal: retrieve the shape of the mask from the recorded intensity.



Due to scattering the intensity recorded by the camera is a speckle pattern.

## Wave propagation in random media

• Wave equation:

$$\frac{1}{c^2(\vec{x})}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(t,\vec{x}) - \Delta_{\vec{x}}u(t,\vec{x}) = F(t,\vec{x}), \qquad \vec{x} = (x,z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$$

• Time-harmonic source in the plane z = 0:  $F(t, \vec{x}) = \delta(z)f(x)e^{-i\omega t}$ .





• Question: how to characterize the statistical properties of the wave field u?  $\rightarrow$  Multiscale analysis [1,2].

[1] G. Papanicolaou, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 21 (1971) 13. [2] J.-P. Fouque et al., Springer, 2007.

#### Wave propagation in random media

• In the paraxial regime " $\lambda \ll \ell_c, r_o \ll L$ ", the envelope  $\hat{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}, z)$ :

$$u(t, \boldsymbol{x}, z) = \frac{ic_o}{2\omega} \hat{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}, z) e^{-i\omega(t - \frac{z}{c_o})}$$

satisfies the Itô-Schrödinger equation [1]

$$d\hat{\phi} = \frac{ic_o}{2\omega} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}} \hat{\phi} dz + \frac{i\omega}{2c_o} \hat{\phi} \circ dB(\boldsymbol{x}, z)$$

starting from  $\hat{\phi}(z=0, \boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{x})$ , with  $B(\boldsymbol{x}, z)$  Brownian field

$$\mathbb{E}[B(\boldsymbol{x}, z)B(\boldsymbol{x}', z')] = \gamma(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}') \min(z, z'),$$

 $\gamma(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\mu(\boldsymbol{0}, 0)\mu(\boldsymbol{x}, z)]dz.$ 

 $\hookrightarrow$  Makes it possible to use Itô-Stratonovich's stochastic calculus [2].

Sketch of proof. Consider the paraxial regime:

$$\omega o rac{\omega}{arepsilon^4}, \qquad \mu(\boldsymbol{x},z) o arepsilon^3 \muig(rac{\boldsymbol{x}}{arepsilon^2},rac{z}{arepsilon^2}ig), \qquad f(\boldsymbol{x}) o fig(rac{\boldsymbol{x}}{arepsilon^2}ig),$$

and take  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

[1] J. Garnier et al., Ann. Appl. Probab. 19 (2009) 318. [2] D. Dawson et al., Appl. Math. Optim. 12 (1984) 97.

#### Moment calculations in the paraxial regime

Consider

$$d\hat{\phi} = \frac{ic_o}{2\omega} \Delta_{\perp} \hat{\phi} dz + \frac{i\omega}{2c_o} \hat{\phi} \circ dB(\boldsymbol{x}, z)$$

starting from  $\hat{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}, z = 0) = f(\boldsymbol{x})$ .

• By Itô's formula,

$$\frac{d}{dz}\mathbb{E}[\hat{\phi}] = \frac{ic_o}{2\omega}\Delta_{\perp}\mathbb{E}[\hat{\phi}] - \frac{\omega^2\gamma(\mathbf{0})}{8c_o^2}\mathbb{E}[\hat{\phi}]$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}, z)] = \hat{\phi}_{\text{hom}}(\boldsymbol{x}, z) \exp\Big(-\frac{\gamma(\boldsymbol{0})\omega^2 z}{8c_o^2}\Big),$$

where  $\gamma(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\mu(\boldsymbol{0}, 0)\mu(\boldsymbol{x}, z)]dz$  and  $\hat{\phi}_{\text{hom}}$  is the solution in the homogeneous medium.

- Strong damping of the coherent wave.
- $\implies$  Identification of the scattering mean free path  $Z_{\text{sca}} = \frac{8c_o^2}{\gamma(\mathbf{0})\omega^2}$  [1].
- $\implies$  Coherent imaging methods (such as DAS or Reverse-Time migration) fail.

[1] A. Ishimaru, Academic Press, 1978.

#### Moment calculations in the paraxial regime

• The mean Wigner transform defined by

$$\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{\xi},z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp\left(-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{q}
ight) \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\phi}\left(\boldsymbol{r}+\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2},z
ight)\overline{\hat{\phi}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2},z
ight)
ight] d\boldsymbol{q},$$

is the angularly-resolved mean wave energy density.

By Itô's formula, it solves a radiative transport equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{W}}{\partial z} + \frac{c_o}{\omega} \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}} \mathcal{W} = \frac{\omega^2}{4(2\pi)^2 c_o^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) \Big[ \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\kappa}) - \mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \Big] d\boldsymbol{\kappa},$$

starting from  $\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, z = 0) = \mathcal{W}_0(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ , the Wigner transform of f.  $\implies$  Identification of the scattering cross section  $\frac{\omega^2}{4c_o^2}\hat{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{\kappa})$  [1].

• The fields at nearby points are correlated and their correlations contain information about the medium.

 $\implies$  One should use cross correlations for imaging in random media.

[1] A. Ishimaru, Academic Press, 1978.

#### Stability of the Wigner transform of the field

• The Wigner transform

$$W(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{\xi},z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp\big(-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{q}\big)\hat{\phi}\big(\boldsymbol{r}+\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2},z\big)\overline{\hat{\phi}}\big(\boldsymbol{r}-\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2},z\big)d\boldsymbol{q}$$

is not statistically stable (i.e. standard deviation > mean).

• Let us consider the smoothed Wigner transform (for  $r_s, \xi_s > 0$ ):

$$W_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{\xi},z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 r_{\rm s}^2 \xi_{\rm s}^2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} W(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}',\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{\xi}',z) \exp\Big(-\frac{|\boldsymbol{r}'|^2}{2r_{\rm s}^2} - \frac{|\boldsymbol{\xi}'|^2}{2\xi_{\rm s}^2}\Big) d\boldsymbol{r}' d\boldsymbol{\xi}'.$$

Its coefficient of variation:

$$C_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{\xi},z) := \frac{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[W_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{\xi},z)^2] - \mathbb{E}[W_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{\xi},z)]^2}}{\mathbb{E}[W_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{\xi},z)]}$$

determines its statistical stability.

 $\hookrightarrow$  Analysis of high-order moments of  $\hat{\phi}$  [1].

[1] J. Garnier et al., ARMA **220** (2016) 37.

#### Stability of the Wigner transform of the field



Contour levels of the coefficient of variation of the smoothed Wigner transform. Here  $\overline{r}_{s} = r_{s}/\rho$ ,  $\overline{\xi}_{s} = \xi_{s}\rho$ , and  $\rho = \rho(z; \omega, r_{o}, \ell_{c}, Z_{sca})$ .

 $\rightarrow$  This result makes it possible to achieve optimal trade-off between stability and resolution for correlation-based imaging [1,2].

[1] L. Borcea et al., Inverse Problems 27 (2011) 085004. [2] J. Garnier et al., ARMA 220 (2016) 37.





### Experimental set-up

Data

Concrete: highly scattering medium for ultrasonic waves.

September 2018





#### Real configuration

Image (2D slice)

Image obtained by travel-time migration of *well-regularized* cross correlations of data.

# **Remark on fourth-order moments**

- Fourth-order moments are useful to:
- quantify the statistical stability of correlation-based imaging methods.

• implement intensity-correlation-based imaging methods (when only intensities can be measured, as in optics).



# Experimental set-up [1]

- The light source is a time-harmonic plane wave.
- The object to be imaged is a mask that can be shifted transversally.
- For each position of the object the spatial intensity of the transmitted field (speckle pattern) can be recorded by the camera.

[1] J. A. Newmann et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 263903.



• The field just after the mask (in the plane z = 0) is (for a transverse shift r):

$$f_{\boldsymbol{r}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{r}),$$

where f is the indicator function of the mask.

- The field in the plane of the camera (in the plane z = L) is denoted by  $\hat{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{r}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ .
- The measured intensity correlation is

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{m{r},m{r}'} &= & rac{1}{|A_0|} \int_{A_0} |\hat{\phi}_{m{r}}(m{x})|^2 |\hat{\phi}_{m{r}'}(m{x})|^2 dm{x} \ &- \Big( rac{1}{|A_0|} \int_{A_0} |\hat{\phi}_{m{r}}(m{x})|^2 dm{x} \Big) \Big( rac{1}{|A_0|} \int_{A_0} |\hat{\phi}_{m{r}'}(m{x})|^2 dm{x} \Big) \Big( rac{1}{|A_0|} \int_{A_0} |\hat{\phi}_{m{r}'}(m{x})|^2 dm{x} \Big), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_0$  is the spatial support of the camera.



• Result (in the paraxial regime):

with  $\gamma(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\mu(\boldsymbol{0}, 0)\mu(\boldsymbol{x}, z)]dz.$ 

September 2018



 $(\rho_L = \text{speckle radius}), \text{ we have}$ 

$$\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}'} \simeq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}'}] \approx \Big| \int |\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\kappa})|^2 \exp\left(i\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot(\boldsymbol{r}'-\boldsymbol{r})\right) d\boldsymbol{\kappa} \Big|^2,$$

up to a multiplicative constant, where

$$\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) = \int f(\boldsymbol{x}) \exp\left(-i\boldsymbol{\kappa}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}\right) d\boldsymbol{x}.$$

 $\hookrightarrow$  It is possible to reconstruct the mask indicator function f.

NUS



• We have

$$\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}'} \simeq \mathbb{E} \big[ \mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}'} \big] pprox \Big| \int |\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\kappa})|^2 \exp \big( i \boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot (\boldsymbol{r}' - \boldsymbol{r}) \big) d\boldsymbol{\kappa} \Big|^2$$

 $\hookrightarrow$  It is possible to reconstruct the incident field f by a two-step phase retrieval algorithm (Gerchberg-Saxon-type).

Given C<sub>r,r'</sub>, we know the modulus of the (I)FT of |f̂(κ)|<sup>2</sup>, and we know the phase of |f̂(κ)|<sup>2</sup> (zero) → we can extract |f̂(κ)|<sup>2</sup>.
 Given |f̂(κ)|<sup>2</sup>, we know the modulus of the FT of f(x), and we know the phase of f(x) (zero) → we can extract f(x).



# Experimental set-up

- A laser beam with incident angle  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is shined on the scattering medium.
- The object to be imaged is a mask.
- The total intensity of the light that goes through the mask is collected by a bucket detector.
- $\rightarrow$  For each incident angle  $\theta$  the total transmitted intensity  $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}$  is measured.



Consider:

$$\mathcal{C}(\Delta \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\Theta} \int_{\Theta} \mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} + \Delta \boldsymbol{\theta}} d\boldsymbol{\theta} - \left(\frac{1}{\Theta} \int_{\Theta} \mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} d\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)^2$$

• Result (in the paraxial regime):

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}(\Delta\boldsymbol{\theta})] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint \exp\left(\frac{\omega^2}{2c_o^2} \int_0^L \gamma\left(\boldsymbol{x} + \Delta\boldsymbol{\theta}(z + L_o)\right) dz\right) e^{-i\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{\kappa}} |\widehat{f^2}(\boldsymbol{\kappa})|^2 d\boldsymbol{\kappa} d\boldsymbol{x}$$
$$\times \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2\gamma(\mathbf{0})L}{2c_o^2}\right) - |\widehat{f^2}(\mathbf{0})|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2\gamma_o(\mathbf{0})L}{2c_o^2}\right),$$

with  $\gamma(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\mu(\boldsymbol{0}, 0)\mu(\boldsymbol{x}, z)]dz.$ 



• Result: When  $L \gg Z_{\text{sca}} := \frac{8c_o^2}{\gamma(\mathbf{0})\omega^2}$ ,  $\rho_L^2 = \frac{Z_{\text{sca}}\ell_c^2}{L}$  is small enough and  $L_o$  is large enough, then

$$\mathcal{C}(\Delta \theta) \simeq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}(\Delta \theta)] \approx \int |\widehat{f^2}(\kappa)|^2 \exp\left(-i\kappa \Delta \theta L_o\right) d\kappa$$

 $\hookrightarrow$  One can extract  $|\widehat{f^2}(\kappa)|^2$  (by Fourier transform or FFT) and then  $f^2(\boldsymbol{x})$  by a (one-step) phase retrieval algorithm.



• Noise source (laser light passed through a rotating glass diffuser).

• without object in path 1; a high-resolution detector measures the spatially-resolved intensity  $I_1(t, \boldsymbol{x})$ .

• with object (mask) in path 2; a single-pixel detector measures the spatially-integrated intensity  $I_2(t)$ .

Experiment: the correlation of  $I_1(\cdot, \mathbf{x})$  and  $I_2(\cdot)$  is an image of the mask [1,2].

[1] A. Valencia et al., PRL 94 (2005) 063601; [2] J. H. Shapiro et al., Quantum Inf. Process 1 (2012) 949.

• Wave equation in paths 1 and 2:

$$\frac{1}{c_j^2(\vec{x})}\frac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial t^2} - \Delta_{\vec{x}} u_j = e^{-i\omega_o t} n(t, x)\delta(z) + c.c., \qquad \vec{x} = (x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}, \qquad j = 1, 2$$

• Noise source (with mean zero):

$$\left\langle n(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \overline{n(t, \boldsymbol{x}')} \right\rangle = F(t - t') \exp\left(-\frac{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2}{r_o^2}\right) \delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}')$$

- Wave fields:  $u_j(t, \vec{x}) = v_j(t, \vec{x})e^{-i\omega_o t} + c.c., \qquad j = 1, 2$
- Intensity measurements:

 $I_1(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = |v_1(t, (\boldsymbol{x}, L))|^2 \text{ in the plane of the high-resolution detector}$  $I_2(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_2(t, (\boldsymbol{x}', L + L_0))|^2 d\boldsymbol{x}' \text{ in the plane of the bucket detector}$ 

• Correlation:

$$C_T(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T I_1(t, \boldsymbol{x}) I_2(t) dt - \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T I_1(t, \boldsymbol{x}) dt\right) \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T I_2(t) dt\right)$$

September 2018

• If the propagation distance is larger than the scattering mean free path, then

$$C_T(\boldsymbol{x}) \xrightarrow{T \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}) f(\boldsymbol{y})^4 d\boldsymbol{y},$$

where  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$  is the mask indicator function and  $\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{x})$  is a convolution kernel [1]. • If the medium is homogeneous:

$$\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{r_o^4}{2^8 \pi^2 L^4} \exp\left(-\frac{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2}{4\rho_{\rm gi0}^2}\right), \qquad \rho_{\rm gi0}^2 = \frac{c_o^2 L^2}{2\omega_o^2 r_o^2}$$

• If the medium in path 1 and 2 is random (*independent* realizations):

$$\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{r_o^4 \rho_{\rm gi0}^2}{2^8 \pi^2 L^4 \rho_{\rm gi1}^2} \exp\Big(-\frac{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2}{4\rho_{\rm gi1}^2}\Big), \qquad \rho_{\rm gi1}^2 = \rho_{\rm gi0}^2 + \frac{4c_o^2 L^3}{3\omega_o^2 Z_{\rm sca} \ell_{\rm c}^2}.$$

 $\hookrightarrow$  Scattering (only slightly) reduces the resolution.

• If the medium in path 1 and 2 is random (*same* realization):

$$\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{r_o^4}{2^8 \pi^2 L^4} \exp\left(-\frac{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2}{4\rho_{\rm gi2}^2}\right), \qquad \frac{1}{\rho_{\rm gi2}^2} = \frac{1}{\rho_{\rm gi0}^2} + \frac{16L}{Z_{\rm sca}\ell_{\rm c}^2}.$$

 $\hookrightarrow$  Scattering enhances the resolution !

[1] J. Garnier, Inverse Problems and Imaging 10 (2016) 409.



- The medium in path 2 is randomly heterogeneous.
- There is no other measurement than  $I_2(t)$ .
- The realization of the source is known (use of a Spatial Light Modulator) and the medium is taken to be homogeneous in the "virtual path 1"  $\rightarrow$  one can *compute* the field (and therefore its intensity  $I_1(t, \mathbf{x})$ ) in the "virtual" output plane of path 1.

 $\rightarrow$  a *one-pixel camera* can give a high-resolution image of the mask!

## **Conclusion: On the role of the random medium**



Is random medium good or bad for imaging ? Random medium in region 0 is good. Random medium in regions 1 and 2 is bad. Random medium in region 3 plays no role.