

Modular Invariants for Proper Actions

HAN Fei

National University of Singapore

String and M-Theory : The New Geometry of the 21st Century

The Jacobi theta functions are defined as follows :

$$\theta(v, \tau) = 2q^{1/8} \sin(\pi v) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[(1 - q^j)(1 - e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^j)(1 - e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^j) \right] ,$$

$$\theta_1(v, \tau) = 2q^{1/8} \cos(\pi v) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[(1 - q^j)(1 + e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^j)(1 + e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^j) \right] ,$$

$$\theta_2(v, \tau) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[(1 - q^j)(1 - e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^{j-1/2})(1 - e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^{j-1/2}) \right] ,$$

$$\theta_3(v, \tau) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[(1 - q^j)(1 + e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^{j-1/2})(1 + e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^{j-1/2}) \right] .$$

They are all holomorphic functions for $(v, \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$, where \mathbb{C} is the complex plane and \mathbb{H} is the upper half plane. $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$.

Denote $\theta_i = \theta_i(0, \tau)$. Taking

$$\delta_1(\tau) = \frac{1}{8}(\theta_2^4 + \theta_3^4) = \frac{1}{4} + 6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \text{ odd}}} dq^n = \frac{1}{4} + 6q + 6q^2 + \cdots,$$

$$\varepsilon_1(\tau) = \frac{1}{16}\theta_2^4\theta_3^4 = \frac{1}{16} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} (-1)^d d^3 q^n = \frac{1}{16} - q + 7q^2 + \cdots,$$

$$\delta_2(\tau) = -\frac{1}{8}(\theta_1^4 + \theta_3^4) = -\frac{1}{8} - 3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \text{ odd}}} dq^{n/2} = -\frac{1}{8} - 3q^{1/2} - 3q + \cdots,$$

$$\varepsilon_2(\tau) = \frac{1}{16}\theta_1^4\theta_3^4 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ n/d \text{ odd}}} d^3 q^{n/2} = q^{1/2} + 8q + \cdots.$$

Geometric Definition

Example

Consider the two-variable series

$$\left[\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{4l} \right] \cdot \left[\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda^2 q^n)^i \right) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda^{-2} q^n)^i \right) \right] \\ \cdot \left[\sum_{j=0}^{2l-1} \prod_{s \neq j} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda^{\frac{|a_s - a_j|}{2}} - \lambda^{-\frac{|a_s - a_j|}{2}} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda^{|a_s - a_j|} q^n)(1 - \lambda^{-|a_s - a_j|} q^n)} \right].$$

As $\sum_{i=0}^{2l-1} a_i$ is even, it is not hard to see that in the above series the coefficient of each q^n is a Laurent polynomial of λ with integral coefficients. Denote the above series by

$$P(\dots, \lambda^{-n}, \lambda^{-n+1}, \dots, \lambda^{-1}, 1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^{m-1}, \lambda^m, \dots; q).$$

Geometric Definition

Example

Then the Witten genus of M is

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_W^c(M, \tau) \\ &= P(\cdots, -|n-1|, -|n|, -1, 0, -1, \cdots, -|m-2|, -|m-1|, \cdots; q) \\ &\in \mathbb{Z}[[q]], \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the q -series obtained by replacing each λ^n with $-|n-1|$ in P .

For the elliptic genera, one has

$$\varphi_1^c(M, \tau) = 0, \quad \varphi_2^c(M, \tau) = 0.$$

Vanishing and Rigidity

Idea of proof : extend the “*Quantisation Commutes with Induction*” technique by Hochs to “*Quantisation Commutes with Loop Dirac Induction*”

Our proof of the vanishing of Witten genus essentially needs to establish the commutativity of the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_\bullet^G(M) & \xrightarrow{\text{index}_G(\bullet \otimes \Theta(T_{\mathbb{C}} M, \tau))} & K_\bullet(C_r^* G)[[q]] \\ \uparrow K-\text{Ind}_K^G & & \uparrow \text{D-Ind}_{LK}^{LG} \\ K_\bullet^K(N) & \xrightarrow{\text{index}_K(\bullet \otimes \Theta(T_{\mathbb{C}} N, \tau))} & R(K)[[q]] \end{array},$$

where D-Ind_{LK}^{LG} is a loop version of Dirac induction given explicitly by, $\text{index}_G(D_{G/K} \otimes \Theta(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau) \otimes \bullet)$.

Vanishing and Rigidity

our proof of the rigidity of the elliptic genera essentially needs to establish the commutativity of the following diagrams :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_\bullet^G(M) & \xrightarrow{\text{index}_G(\bullet \otimes \Delta^+(TM) \oplus \Delta^-(TM))_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \Theta_1(T_{\mathbb{C}} M, \tau)} & K_\bullet(C_r^* G)[[q^{\frac{1}{2}}]] \\ \uparrow K-\text{Ind}_K^G & & \uparrow D_1-\text{Ind}_{LK}^{LG} \\ K_\bullet^K(N) & \xrightarrow{\text{index}_K(\bullet \otimes \Delta^+(TN) \oplus \Delta^-(TN))_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \Theta_1(T_{\mathbb{C}} N, \tau)} & R(K)[[q^{\frac{1}{2}}]] \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_\bullet^G(M) & \xrightarrow{\text{index}_G(\bullet \otimes \Theta_2(T_{\mathbb{C}} M, \tau))} & K_\bullet(C_r^* G)[[q^{\frac{1}{2}}]] , \\ \uparrow K-\text{Ind}_K^G & & \uparrow D_2-\text{Ind}_{LK}^{LG} \\ K_\bullet^K(N) & \xrightarrow{\text{index}_K(\bullet \otimes \Theta_2(T_{\mathbb{C}} N, \tau))} & R(K)[[q^{\frac{1}{2}}]] \end{array}$$

Vanishing and Rigidity

where $D_1\text{-Ind}_{LK}^{LG}$ is a loop version of Dirac induction given explicitly by

$$\text{index}_G(D_{G/K} \otimes (\Delta^+(\mathfrak{p}) \oplus \Delta^-(\mathfrak{p}))_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \Theta_1(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau) \otimes \bullet)$$

and $D_2\text{-Ind}_{LK}^{LG}$ is a loop version of Dirac induction given explicitly by

$$\text{index}_G(D_{G/K} \otimes \Theta_2(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau) \otimes \bullet).$$

Thank you very much !