

Joint distribution of the base- q and Ostrowski digital sums

Divyum Sharma

University of Waterloo, Canada

Equidistribution: Arithmetic, Computational and Probabilistic Aspects
Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Singapore

Let q be an integer greater than or equal to 2, and let $S_q(n)$ denote the sum of digits of n in base q .

Gel'fond (1968)

If m_1 is coprime to $q - 1$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all integers r ,

$$|\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r \pmod{m_1}\}| = \frac{N}{m_1} + O(N^{1-\delta}).$$

Let q be an integer greater than or equal to 2, and let $S_q(n)$ denote the sum of digits of n in base q .

Gel'fond (1968)

If m_1 is coprime to $q - 1$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all integers r ,

$$|\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r \pmod{m_1}\}| = \frac{N}{m_1} + O(N^{1-\delta}).$$

Let q be an integer greater than or equal to 2, and let $S_q(n)$ denote the sum of digits of n in base q .

Gel'fond (1968)

If m_1 is coprime to $q - 1$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all integers r ,

$$|\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r \pmod{m_1}\}| = \frac{N}{m_1} + O(N^{1-\delta}).$$

- **Gel'fond's conjecture:** If $q_1, q_2, m_1, m_2 \geq 2$ are integers with

$$\gcd(q_1, q_2) = \gcd(m_1, q_1 - 1) = \gcd(m_2, q_2 - 1) = 1,$$

then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all integers r_1, r_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_{q_1}(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_{q_2}(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O(N^{1-\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

- **Bésineau** (1972) proved the asymptotic relation implied in this conjecture.
- **Kim** (1999) proved the conjecture in its full strength.

- **Gel'fond's conjecture:** If $q_1, q_2, m_1, m_2 \geq 2$ are integers with

$$\gcd(q_1, q_2) = \gcd(m_1, q_1 - 1) = \gcd(m_2, q_2 - 1) = 1,$$

then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all integers r_1, r_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_{q_1}(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_{q_2}(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O(N^{1-\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

- **Bésineau** (1972) proved the asymptotic relation implied in this conjecture.
- **Kim** (1999) proved the conjecture in its full strength.

- **Gel'fond's conjecture:** If $q_1, q_2, m_1, m_2 \geq 2$ are integers with

$$\gcd(q_1, q_2) = \gcd(m_1, q_1 - 1) = \gcd(m_2, q_2 - 1) = 1,$$

then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all integers r_1, r_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_{q_1}(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_{q_2}(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O(N^{1-\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

- **Bésineau** (1972) proved the asymptotic relation implied in this conjecture.
- **Kim** (1999) proved the conjecture in its full strength.

- **Gel'fond's conjecture:** If $q_1, q_2, m_1, m_2 \geq 2$ are integers with

$$\gcd(q_1, q_2) = \gcd(m_1, q_1 - 1) = \gcd(m_2, q_2 - 1) = 1,$$

then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all integers r_1, r_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_{q_1}(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_{q_2}(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O(N^{1-\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

- **Bésineau** (1972) proved the asymptotic relation implied in this conjecture.
- **Kim** (1999) proved the conjecture in its full strength.

Ostrowski α -numeration system

Let α be an irrational real number having continued fraction expansion $[a_0; a_1, \dots]$. Let $(q_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be the sequence of the denominators of the convergents to the continued fraction expansion. For $i \geq 0$,
 $q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1}$.

Ostrowski (1922)

Every non-negative integer n can be expressed uniquely as

$$n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} b_i q_i,$$

where the b_i 's are integers satisfying

- (i) $0 \leq b_0 < a_1$.
- (ii) $0 \leq b_i \leq a_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$.
- (iii) For $i \geq 1$, if $b_i = a_{i+1}$, then $b_{i-1} = 0$.

Ostrowski α -numeration system

Let α be an irrational real number having continued fraction expansion $[a_0; a_1, \dots]$. Let $(q_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be the sequence of the denominators of the convergents to the continued fraction expansion. For $i \geq 0$,
 $q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1}$.

Ostrowski (1922)

Every non-negative integer n can be expressed uniquely as

$$n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} b_i q_i,$$

where the b_i 's are integers satisfying

- (i) $0 \leq b_0 < a_1$.
- (ii) $0 \leq b_i \leq a_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$.
- (iii) For $i \geq 1$, if $b_i = a_{i+1}$, then $b_{i-1} = 0$.

Ostrowski α -numeration system

Let α be an irrational real number having continued fraction expansion $[a_0; a_1, \dots]$. Let $(q_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be the sequence of the denominators of the convergents to the continued fraction expansion. For $i \geq 0$,
 $q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1}$.

Ostrowski (1922)

Every non-negative integer n can be expressed uniquely as

$$n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} b_i q_i,$$

where the b_i 's are integers satisfying

- (i) $0 \leq b_0 < a_1$.
- (ii) $0 \leq b_i \leq a_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$.
- (iii) For $i \geq 1$, if $b_i = a_{i+1}$, then $b_{i-1} = 0$.

Ostrowski α -numeration system

Let α be an irrational real number having continued fraction expansion $[a_0; a_1, \dots]$. Let $(q_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be the sequence of the denominators of the convergents to the continued fraction expansion. For $i \geq 0$,
 $q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1}$.

Ostrowski (1922)

Every non-negative integer n can be expressed uniquely as

$$n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} b_i q_i,$$

where the b_i 's are integers satisfying

- (i) $0 \leq b_0 < a_1$.
- (ii) $0 \leq b_i \leq a_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$.
- (iii) For $i \geq 1$, if $b_i = a_{i+1}$, then $b_{i-1} = 0$.

Ostrowski α -numeration system

Let α be an irrational real number having continued fraction expansion $[a_0; a_1, \dots]$. Let $(q_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be the sequence of the denominators of the convergents to the continued fraction expansion. For $i \geq 0$,
 $q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1}$.

Ostrowski (1922)

Every non-negative integer n can be expressed uniquely as

$$n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} b_i q_i,$$

where the b_i 's are integers satisfying

- (i) $0 \leq b_0 < a_1$.
- (ii) $0 \leq b_i \leq a_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$.
- (iii) For $i \geq 1$, if $b_i = a_{i+1}$, then $b_{i-1} = 0$.

Ostrowski α -numeration system

Let α be an irrational real number having continued fraction expansion $[a_0; a_1, \dots]$. Let $(q_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be the sequence of the denominators of the convergents to the continued fraction expansion. For $i \geq 0$,
 $q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1}$.

Ostrowski (1922)

Every non-negative integer n can be expressed uniquely as

$$n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} b_i q_i,$$

where the b_i 's are integers satisfying

- (i) $0 \leq b_0 < a_1$.
- (ii) $0 \leq b_i \leq a_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$.
- (iii) For $i \geq 1$, if $b_i = a_{i+1}$, then $b_{i-1} = 0$.

Ostrowski α -numeration system

Let α be an irrational real number having continued fraction expansion $[a_0; a_1, \dots]$. Let $(q_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be the sequence of the denominators of the convergents to the continued fraction expansion. For $i \geq 0$,
 $q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1}$.

Ostrowski (1922)

Every non-negative integer n can be expressed uniquely as

$$n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} b_i q_i,$$

where the b_i 's are integers satisfying

- (i) $0 \leq b_0 < a_1$.
- (ii) $0 \leq b_i \leq a_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$.
- (iii) For $i \geq 1$, if $b_i = a_{i+1}$, then $b_{i-1} = 0$.

Ostrowski α -numeration system

Let α be an irrational real number having continued fraction expansion $[a_0; a_1, \dots]$. Let $(q_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be the sequence of the denominators of the convergents to the continued fraction expansion. For $i \geq 0$,
 $q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1}$.

Ostrowski (1922)

Every non-negative integer n can be expressed uniquely as

$$n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} b_i q_i,$$

where the b_i 's are integers satisfying

- (i) $0 \leq b_0 < a_1$.
- (ii) $0 \leq b_i \leq a_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$.
- (iii) For $i \geq 1$, if $b_i = a_{i+1}$, then $b_{i-1} = 0$.

Example

If

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = [1],$$

then

$$q_{-1} = 0, \quad q_0 = 1,$$

and for $i \geq 0$,

$$q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1} = q_i + q_{i-1}.$$

The sequence (q_i) is the sequence of Fibonacci numbers. Every non-negative integer can be uniquely expressed as a sum of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers. This representation is known as the *Zeckendorf representation* of integers.

Example

If

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = [\overline{1}],$$

then

$$q_{-1} = 0, \quad q_0 = 1,$$

and for $i \geq 0$,

$$q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1} = q_i + q_{i-1}.$$

The sequence (q_i) is the sequence of Fibonacci numbers. Every non-negative integer can be uniquely expressed as a sum of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers. This representation is known as the *Zeckendorf representation* of integers.

Example

If

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = [\overline{1}],$$

then

$$q_{-1} = 0, \quad q_0 = 1,$$

and for $i \geq 0$,

$$q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1} = q_i + q_{i-1}.$$

The sequence (q_i) is the sequence of Fibonacci numbers. Every non-negative integer can be uniquely expressed as a sum of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers. This representation is known as the *Zeckendorf representation* of integers.

Example

If

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = [\overline{1}],$$

then

$$q_{-1} = 0, \quad q_0 = 1,$$

and for $i \geq 0$,

$$q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1} = q_i + q_{i-1}.$$

The sequence (q_i) is the sequence of Fibonacci numbers. Every non-negative integer can be uniquely expressed as a sum of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers. This representation is known as the *Zeckendorf representation* of integers.

Example

If

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = [\overline{1}],$$

then

$$q_{-1} = 0, \quad q_0 = 1,$$

and for $i \geq 0$,

$$q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1} = q_i + q_{i-1}.$$

The sequence (q_i) is the sequence of Fibonacci numbers. Every non-negative integer can be uniquely expressed as a sum of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers. This representation is known as the *Zeckendorf representation* of integers.

If the Ostrowski α -representation of a positive integer n is given by

$$n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} b_i(n) q_i,$$

let

$$S_\alpha(n) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} b_i(n)$$

be the sum of digits.

Comparison with S_q

	S_q	S_α
Submultiplicativity	<div>✓</div> $S_q(n_1 n_2) \leq S_q(n_1) S_q(n_2)$	<div>✗</div> $\alpha = [0; \overline{1}]$ $(q_i)_{i \geq 0} : 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, \dots$ $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 3$ $n_1 n_2 = q_4 + q_1$
Subadditivity	<div>✓</div> $S_q(n_1 + n_2) \leq S_q(n_1) + S_q(n_2)$	<div>✗</div> $\alpha = [0; \overline{1, 3}],$ $(q_i)_{i \geq 0} : 1, 1, 4, 5, 19, 24, \dots$ $n_1 = n_2 = 19$ $n_1 + n_2 = q_5 + 2q_3 + q_2$

Coquet, Rhin & Toffin (1983) gave three sufficient conditions for the set

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}$$

to have asymptotic density equal to $1/(m_1 m_2)$.

Coquet, Rhin & Toffin

If the sequence (q_i) is lacunary and $\gcd(a_i, m_2)$ is equal to one for infinitely many indices i , then as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ \sim \frac{N}{m_1 m_2}.$$

Note that this condition is satisfied for

$$\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}] = \frac{-m + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}, \quad m \geq 2$$

as

$$\frac{q_{i+1}}{q_i} \geq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{m+1} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = 1, \\ m + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq m + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = m. \end{cases}$$

Coquet, Rhin & Toffin

If the sequence (q_i) is lacunary and $\gcd(a_i, m_2)$ is equal to one for infinitely many indices i , then as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ \sim \frac{N}{m_1 m_2}.$$

Note that this condition is satisfied for

$$\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}] = \frac{-m + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}, \quad m \geq 2$$

as

$$\frac{q_{i+1}}{q_i} \geq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{m+1} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = 1, \\ m + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq m + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = m. \end{cases}$$

Coquet, Rhin & Toffin

If the sequence (q_i) is lacunary and $\gcd(a_i, m_2)$ is equal to one for infinitely many indices i , then as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ \sim \frac{N}{m_1 m_2}.$$

Note that this condition is satisfied for

$$\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}] = \frac{-m + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}, \quad m \geq 2$$

as

$$\frac{q_{i+1}}{q_i} \geq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{m+1} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = 1, \\ m + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq m + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = m. \end{cases}$$

Coquet, Rhin & Toffin

If the sequence (q_i) is lacunary and $\gcd(a_i, m_2)$ is equal to one for infinitely many indices i , then as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ \sim \frac{N}{m_1 m_2}.$$

Note that this condition is satisfied for

$$\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}] = \frac{-m + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}, \quad m \geq 2$$

as

$$\frac{q_{i+1}}{q_i} \geq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{m+1} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = 1, \\ m + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq m + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = m. \end{cases}$$

Coquet, Rhin & Toffin

If the sequence (q_i) is lacunary and $\gcd(a_i, m_2)$ is equal to one for infinitely many indices i , then as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ \sim \frac{N}{m_1 m_2}.$$

Note that this condition is satisfied for

$$\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}] = \frac{-m + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}, \quad m \geq 2$$

as

$$\frac{q_{i+1}}{q_i} \geq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{m+1} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = 1, \\ m + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq m + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = m. \end{cases}$$

Coquet, Rhin & Toffin

If the sequence (q_i) is lacunary and $\gcd(a_i, m_2)$ is equal to one for infinitely many indices i , then as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ \sim \frac{N}{m_1 m_2}.$$

Note that this condition is satisfied for

$$\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}] = \frac{-m + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}, \quad m \geq 2$$

as

$$\frac{q_{i+1}}{q_i} \geq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{m+1} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = 1, \\ m + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq m + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = m. \end{cases}$$

Coquet, Rhin & Toffin

If the sequence (q_i) is lacunary and $\gcd(a_i, m_2)$ is equal to one for infinitely many indices i , then as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ \sim \frac{N}{m_1 m_2}.$$

Note that this condition is satisfied for

$$\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}] = \frac{-m + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}, \quad m \geq 2$$

as

$$\frac{q_{i+1}}{q_i} \geq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{m+1} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = 1, \\ m + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq m + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = m. \end{cases}$$

Coquet, Rhin & Toffin

If the sequence (q_i) is lacunary and $\gcd(a_i, m_2)$ is equal to one for infinitely many indices i , then as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ \sim \frac{N}{m_1 m_2}.$$

Note that this condition is satisfied for

$$\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}] = \frac{-m + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}, \quad m \geq 2$$

as

$$\frac{q_{i+1}}{q_i} \geq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{m+1} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = 1, \\ m + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \geq m + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } a_{i+1} = m. \end{cases}$$

Theorem (S., 2018)

Let $q \geq 2$ be an integer and let

$$\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}], \quad m \geq 2.$$

Let m_1, m_2 be positive integers with $\gcd(m, m_2) = 1$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all integers r_1, r_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O(N^{1-\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (S., 2018)

Let $q \geq 2$ be an integer and let

$$\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}], \quad m \geq 2.$$

Let m_1, m_2 be positive integers with $\gcd(m, m_2) = 1$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all integers r_1, r_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O(N^{1-\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (S., 2018)

Let $q \geq 2$ be an integer and let

$$\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}], \quad m \geq 2.$$

Let m_1, m_2 be positive integers with $\gcd(m, m_2) = 1$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all integers r_1, r_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O(N^{1-\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

- The case $\alpha = [\overline{1}]$ was proved by Spiegelhofer (2014).

Relation to exponential sums

Let $e(x)$ denote $\exp(2\pi i x)$. Since

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{0 \leq \ell < b} e\left(\frac{a}{b} \ell\right) = 1 \text{ or } 0$$

according to whether b divides a or not, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \sum_{n < N} \frac{1}{m_1} \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < m_1} e\left(k_1 \frac{S_q(n) - r_1}{m_1}\right) \frac{1}{m_2} \sum_{0 \leq k_2 < m_2} e\left(k_2 \frac{S_\alpha(n) - r_2}{m_2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 0 \leq k_2 < m_2}} e\left(-\frac{k_1 r_1}{m_1} - \frac{k_2 r_2}{m_2}\right) \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < m_1} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n)\right) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 1 \leq k_2 < m_2}} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Relation to exponential sums

Let $e(x)$ denote $\exp(2\pi ix)$. Since

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{0 \leq \ell < b} e\left(\frac{a}{b}\ell\right) = 1 \text{ or } 0$$

according to whether b divides a or not, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \sum_{n < N} \frac{1}{m_1} \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < m_1} e\left(k_1 \frac{S_q(n) - r_1}{m_1}\right) \frac{1}{m_2} \sum_{0 \leq k_2 < m_2} e\left(k_2 \frac{S_\alpha(n) - r_2}{m_2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 0 \leq k_2 < m_2}} e\left(-\frac{k_1 r_1}{m_1} - \frac{k_2 r_2}{m_2}\right) \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < m_1} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n)\right) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 1 \leq k_2 < m_2}} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Relation to exponential sums

Let $e(x)$ denote $\exp(2\pi i x)$. Since

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{0 \leq \ell < b} e\left(\frac{a}{b}\ell\right) = 1 \text{ or } 0$$

according to whether b divides a or not, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \sum_{n < N} \frac{1}{m_1} \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < m_1} e\left(k_1 \frac{S_q(n) - r_1}{m_1}\right) \frac{1}{m_2} \sum_{0 \leq k_2 < m_2} e\left(k_2 \frac{S_\alpha(n) - r_2}{m_2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 0 \leq k_2 < m_2}} e\left(-\frac{k_1 r_1}{m_1} - \frac{k_2 r_2}{m_2}\right) \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < m_1} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n)\right) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 1 \leq k_2 < m_2}} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Relation to exponential sums

Let $e(x)$ denote $\exp(2\pi i x)$. Since

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{0 \leq \ell < b} e\left(\frac{a}{b} \ell\right) = 1 \text{ or } 0$$

according to whether b divides a or not, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \sum_{n < N} \frac{1}{m_1} \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < m_1} e\left(k_1 \frac{S_q(n) - r_1}{m_1}\right) \frac{1}{m_2} \sum_{0 \leq k_2 < m_2} e\left(k_2 \frac{S_\alpha(n) - r_2}{m_2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 0 \leq k_2 < m_2}} e\left(-\frac{k_1 r_1}{m_1} - \frac{k_2 r_2}{m_2}\right) \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < m_1} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n)\right) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 1 \leq k_2 < m_2}} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Relation to exponential sums

Let $e(x)$ denote $\exp(2\pi ix)$. Since

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{0 \leq \ell < b} e\left(\frac{a}{b}\ell\right) = 1 \text{ or } 0$$

according to whether b divides a or not, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \sum_{n < N} \frac{1}{m_1} \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < m_1} e\left(k_1 \frac{S_q(n) - r_1}{m_1}\right) \frac{1}{m_2} \sum_{0 \leq k_2 < m_2} e\left(k_2 \frac{S_\alpha(n) - r_2}{m_2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 0 \leq k_2 < m_2}} e\left(-\frac{k_1 r_1}{m_1} - \frac{k_2 r_2}{m_2}\right) \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 1 \leq k_2 < m_2}} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Relation to exponential sums

Let $e(x)$ denote $\exp(2\pi ix)$. Since

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{0 \leq \ell < b} e\left(\frac{a}{b}\ell\right) = 1 \text{ or } 0$$

according to whether b divides a or not, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \sum_{n < N} \frac{1}{m_1} \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < m_1} e\left(k_1 \frac{S_q(n) - r_1}{m_1}\right) \frac{1}{m_2} \sum_{0 \leq k_2 < m_2} e\left(k_2 \frac{S_\alpha(n) - r_2}{m_2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 0 \leq k_2 < m_2}} e\left(-\frac{k_1 r_1}{m_1} - \frac{k_2 r_2}{m_2}\right) \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 1 \leq k_2 < m_2}} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \right|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Relation to exponential sums

Let $e(x)$ denote $\exp(2\pi ix)$. Since

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{0 \leq \ell < b} e\left(\frac{a}{b}\ell\right) = 1 \text{ or } 0$$

according to whether b divides a or not, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \sum_{n < N} \frac{1}{m_1} \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < m_1} e\left(k_1 \frac{S_q(n) - r_1}{m_1}\right) \frac{1}{m_2} \sum_{0 \leq k_2 < m_2} e\left(k_2 \frac{S_\alpha(n) - r_2}{m_2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 0 \leq k_2 < m_2}} e\left(-\frac{k_1 r_1}{m_1} - \frac{k_2 r_2}{m_2}\right) \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < m_1} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n)\right) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 1 \leq k_2 < m_2}} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Relation to exponential sums

Let $e(x)$ denote $\exp(2\pi ix)$. Since

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{0 \leq \ell < b} e\left(\frac{a}{b}\ell\right) = 1 \text{ or } 0$$

according to whether b divides a or not, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{0 \leq n < N : S_q(n) \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \sum_{n < N} \frac{1}{m_1} \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < m_1} e\left(k_1 \frac{S_q(n) - r_1}{m_1}\right) \frac{1}{m_2} \sum_{0 \leq k_2 < m_2} e\left(k_2 \frac{S_\alpha(n) - r_2}{m_2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 0 \leq k_2 < m_2}} e\left(-\frac{k_1 r_1}{m_1} - \frac{k_2 r_2}{m_2}\right) \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < m_1} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n)\right) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < m_1 \\ 1 \leq k_2 < m_2}} \left| \sum_{n < N} e\left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} S_q(n) + \frac{k_2}{m_2} S_\alpha(n)\right) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $q \geq 2$ be an integer and let

$$\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}], \quad m \geq 2.$$

Let $\theta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\|m\gamma\| = \min_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |m\gamma - j| \neq 0$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n < N} e(\theta S_q(n) + \gamma S_\alpha(n)) = O(N^{1-\delta}).$$

Method of proof

- Using the Weyl-van der Corput inequality, the problem is reduced to estimation of sums involving

$$\sum_{n < N} e(\theta(S_q(n+r) - S_q(n))) e(\gamma(S_\alpha(n+r) - S_\alpha(n))).$$

- It is *enough* to consider truncated sum-of-digits functions. Given positive integers k and t , let $t_\alpha(n; k)$ denote the truncation of the Ostrowski α -representation of n after k digits, i.e.,

$$t_\alpha(n; k) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} b_i(n) q_i,$$

$$S_{\alpha,k}(n) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} b_i(n)$$

and

$$S_{q,t}(n) = S_q(n \bmod q^t).$$

Method of proof

- Using the Weyl-van der Corput inequality, the problem is reduced to estimation of sums involving

$$\sum_{n < N} e(\theta(S_q(n+r) - S_q(n))) e(\gamma(S_\alpha(n+r) - S_\alpha(n))).$$

- It is *enough* to consider truncated sum-of-digits functions. Given positive integers k and t , let $t_\alpha(n; k)$ denote the truncation of the Ostrowski α -representation of n after k digits, i.e.,

$$t_\alpha(n; k) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} b_i(n) q_i,$$

$$S_{\alpha,k}(n) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} b_i(n)$$

and

$$S_{q,t}(n) = S_q(n \bmod q^t).$$

Method of proof

- Using the Weyl-van der Corput inequality, the problem is reduced to estimation of sums involving

$$\sum_{n < N} e(\theta(S_q(n+r) - S_q(n))) e(\gamma(S_\alpha(n+r) - S_\alpha(n))).$$

- It is *enough* to consider truncated sum-of-digits functions. Given positive integers k and t , let $t_\alpha(n; k)$ denote the truncation of the Ostrowski α -representation of n after k digits, i.e.,

$$t_\alpha(n; k) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} b_i(n) q_i,$$

$$S_{\alpha,k}(n) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} b_i(n)$$

and

$$S_{q,t}(n) = S_q(n \bmod q^t).$$

Method of proof

- Using the Weyl-van der Corput inequality, the problem is reduced to estimation of sums involving

$$\sum_{n < N} e(\theta(S_q(n+r) - S_q(n))) e(\gamma(S_\alpha(n+r) - S_\alpha(n))).$$

- It is *enough* to consider truncated sum-of-digits functions. Given positive integers k and t , let $t_\alpha(n; k)$ denote the truncation of the Ostrowski α -representation of n after k digits, i.e.,

$$t_\alpha(n; k) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} b_i(n) q_i,$$

$$S_{\alpha,k}(n) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} b_i(n)$$

and

$$S_{q,t}(n) = S_q(n \bmod q^t).$$

Discrete Fourier Transform

Let $G_t(\ell) = G_t(\ell, \theta)$ denote the discrete Fourier coefficients of the function $e(\theta S_{q,t}(n))$, i.e.,

$$G_t(\ell, \theta) = \frac{1}{q^t} \sum_{u < q^t} e(\theta S_{q,t}(u) - \ell u q^{-t}).$$

Then

$$e(\theta S_{q,t}(n)) = \sum_{\ell < q^t} e(\ell n q^{-t}) G_t(\ell, \theta).$$

Discrete Fourier Transform

Let $G_t(\ell) = G_t(\ell, \theta)$ denote the discrete Fourier coefficients of the function $e(\theta S_{q,t}(n))$, i.e.,

$$G_t(\ell, \theta) = \frac{1}{q^t} \sum_{u < q^t} e(\theta S_{q,t}(u) - \ell u q^{-t}).$$

Then

$$e(\theta S_{q,t}(n)) = \sum_{\ell < q^t} e(\ell n q^{-t}) G_t(\ell, \theta).$$

Discrete Fourier Transform

Let $G_t(\ell) = G_t(\ell, \theta)$ denote the discrete Fourier coefficients of the function $e(\theta S_{q,t}(n))$, i.e.,

$$G_t(\ell, \theta) = \frac{1}{q^t} \sum_{u < q^t} e(\theta S_{q,t}(u) - \ell u q^{-t}).$$

Then

$$e(\theta S_{q,t}(n)) = \sum_{\ell < q^t} e(\ell n q^{-t}) G_t(\ell, \theta).$$

An analytic way of capturing $t_\alpha(n; k)$ (k even)

- Let

$$\varphi = m + 1 + \alpha = \frac{m + 2 + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}.$$

Let $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq u < q_k$. There is a set $I_k(u)$ such that given $n \geq 0$, we have

$$t_\alpha(n; k) = u$$

if and only if

$$n\varphi \in I_k(u) + \mathbb{Z}.$$

An analytic way of capturing $t_\alpha(n; k)$ (k even)

- Let

$$\varphi = m + 1 + \alpha = \frac{m + 2 + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}.$$

Let $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq u < q_k$. There is a set $I_k(u)$ such that given $n \geq 0$, we have

$$t_\alpha(n; k) = u$$

if and only if

$$n\varphi \in I_k(u) + \mathbb{Z}.$$

An analytic way of capturing $t_\alpha(n; k)$ (k even)

- Let

$$\varphi = m + 1 + \alpha = \frac{m + 2 + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}.$$

Let $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq u < q_k$. There is a set $I_k(u)$ such that given $n \geq 0$, we have

$$t_\alpha(n; k) = u$$

if and only if

$$n\varphi \in I_k(u) + \mathbb{Z}.$$

An analytic way of capturing $t_\alpha(n; k)$ (k even)

- Let

$$\varphi = m + 1 + \alpha = \frac{m + 2 + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}.$$

Let $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq u < q_k$. There is a set $I_k(u)$ such that given $n \geq 0$, we have

$$t_\alpha(n; k) = u$$

if and only if

$$n\varphi \in I_k(u) + \mathbb{Z}.$$

An analytic way of capturing $t_\alpha(n; k)$ (k even)

- Let

$$\varphi = m + 1 + \alpha = \frac{m + 2 + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}.$$

Let $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq u < q_k$. There is a set $I_k(u)$ such that given $n \geq 0$, we have

$$t_\alpha(n; k) = u$$

if and only if

$$n\varphi \in I_k(u) + \mathbb{Z}.$$

An analytic way of capturing $t_\alpha(n; k)$ (k even)

Let $d = m^2 + 4m$ and $\varphi = \frac{m+2+\sqrt{d}}{2}$. Then

$$q_{2\ell} = \frac{m + \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \varphi^\ell - \frac{m - \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \varphi^{-\ell},$$
$$q_{2\ell+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \varphi^{\ell+1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \varphi^{-\ell-1}.$$

Suppose k is even. Write $k = 2k_0$. Let

$$I_k(u) = u\varphi + \begin{cases} \left[\frac{m-\sqrt{d}}{2\varphi^{k_0}}, \frac{1}{\varphi^{k_0}} \right) & \text{if } 0 \leq u < q_{k-1}, \\ \left[\frac{m-\sqrt{d}}{2\varphi^{k_0}}, \frac{1}{\varphi^{k_0+1}} \right) & \text{if } q_{k-1} \leq u < q_k. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$n\varphi \in I_k(t_\alpha(n; k)) + \mathbb{Z}.$$

An analytic way of capturing $t_\alpha(n; k)$ (k even)

Let $d = m^2 + 4m$ and $\varphi = \frac{m+2+\sqrt{d}}{2}$. Then

$$q_{2\ell} = \frac{m + \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \varphi^\ell - \frac{m - \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \varphi^{-\ell},$$
$$q_{2\ell+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \varphi^{\ell+1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \varphi^{-\ell-1}.$$

Suppose k is even. Write $k = 2k_0$. Let

$$I_k(u) = u\varphi + \begin{cases} \left[\frac{m-\sqrt{d}}{2\varphi^{k_0}}, \frac{1}{\varphi^{k_0}} \right) & \text{if } 0 \leq u < q_{k-1}, \\ \left[\frac{m-\sqrt{d}}{2\varphi^{k_0}}, \frac{1}{\varphi^{k_0+1}} \right) & \text{if } q_{k-1} \leq u < q_k. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$n\varphi \in I_k(t_\alpha(n; k)) + \mathbb{Z}.$$

Outline of the proof

We have

$$\begin{aligned} n\varphi - t_\alpha(n; k)\varphi &= \varphi \sum_{\ell \geq k} b_\ell(n) q_\ell \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell}(n) \varphi \left(\frac{m + \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \varphi^\ell - \frac{m - \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \varphi^{-\ell} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell+1}(n) \varphi \left(\frac{\varphi^{\ell+1}}{\sqrt{d}} - \frac{\varphi^{-\ell-1}}{\sqrt{d}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell}(n) q_{2\ell+2} + \frac{m - \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell}(n) (\varphi^{-\ell-1} - \varphi^{-\ell+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell+1}(n) q_{2\ell+3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell+1}(n) (\varphi^{-\ell-2} - \varphi^{-\ell}). \end{aligned}$$

The first and third sums in the above expression are integers.

Outline of the proof

We have

$$\begin{aligned} n\varphi - t_\alpha(n; k)\varphi &= \varphi \sum_{\ell \geq k} b_\ell(n) q_\ell \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell}(n) \varphi \left(\frac{m + \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \varphi^\ell - \frac{m - \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \varphi^{-\ell} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell+1}(n) \varphi \left(\frac{\varphi^{\ell+1}}{\sqrt{d}} - \frac{\varphi^{-\ell-1}}{\sqrt{d}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell}(n) q_{2\ell+2} + \frac{m - \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell}(n) (\varphi^{-\ell-1} - \varphi^{-\ell+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell+1}(n) q_{2\ell+3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell+1}(n) (\varphi^{-\ell-2} - \varphi^{-\ell}). \end{aligned}$$

The first and third sums in the above expression are integers.

Outline of the proof

We have

$$\begin{aligned} n\varphi - t_\alpha(n; k)\varphi &= \varphi \sum_{\ell \geq k} b_\ell(n) q_\ell \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell}(n) \varphi \left(\frac{m + \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \varphi^\ell - \frac{m - \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \varphi^{-\ell} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell+1}(n) \varphi \left(\frac{\varphi^{\ell+1}}{\sqrt{d}} - \frac{\varphi^{-\ell-1}}{\sqrt{d}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell}(n) q_{2\ell+2} + \frac{m - \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{d}} \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell}(n) (\varphi^{-\ell-1} - \varphi^{-\ell+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell+1}(n) q_{2\ell+3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{\ell \geq k_0} b_{2\ell+1}(n) (\varphi^{-\ell-2} - \varphi^{-\ell}). \end{aligned}$$

The first and third sums in the above expression are integers.

The sets

$$I_k(u) + \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 \leq u < q_k,$$

form a partition of \mathbb{R} .

Proof. For each integer u with $0 \leq u < q_k$, let

$$J_k(u) = I_k(u) \bmod 1.$$

- The sum of the measures of the sets $J_k(u)$ is 1.
- The sets $J_k(u)$ cover the interval $[0, 1)$. If not, pick

$$x \in [0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq u < q_k} J_k(u).$$

Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the sets $[x, x + \epsilon]$ and $\bigcup J_k(u)$ are disjoint. Since the sequence $(\{n\varphi\})$ is dense in $[0, 1)$, there is an integer n_0 such that $\{n_0\varphi\} \in [x, x + \epsilon]$. Therefore $\{n_0\varphi\} \notin \bigcup_{0 \leq u < q_k} J_k(u)$, which is a contradiction.

- The sets $J_k(u)$ are disjoint.

The sets

$$I_k(u) + \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 \leq u < q_k,$$

form a partition of \mathbb{R} .

Proof. For each integer u with $0 \leq u < q_k$, let

$$J_k(u) = I_k(u) \bmod 1.$$

- The sum of the measures of the sets $J_k(u)$ is 1.
- The sets $J_k(u)$ cover the interval $[0, 1)$. If not, pick

$$x \in [0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq u < q_k} J_k(u).$$

Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the sets $[x, x + \epsilon]$ and $\bigcup J_k(u)$ are disjoint. Since the sequence $(\{n\varphi\})$ is dense in $[0, 1)$, there is an integer n_0 such that $\{n_0\varphi\} \in [x, x + \epsilon]$. Therefore $\{n_0\varphi\} \notin \bigcup_{0 \leq u < q_k} J_k(u)$, which is a contradiction.

- The sets $J_k(u)$ are disjoint.

The sets

$$I_k(u) + \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 \leq u < q_k,$$

form a partition of \mathbb{R} .

Proof. For each integer u with $0 \leq u < q_k$, let

$$J_k(u) = I_k(u) \bmod 1.$$

- The sum of the measures of the sets $J_k(u)$ is 1.
- The sets $J_k(u)$ cover the interval $[0, 1)$. If not, pick

$$x \in [0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq u < q_k} J_k(u).$$

Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the sets $[x, x + \epsilon]$ and $\bigcup J_k(u)$ are disjoint. Since the sequence $(\{n\varphi\})$ is dense in $[0, 1)$, there is an integer n_0 such that $\{n_0\varphi\} \in [x, x + \epsilon]$. Therefore $\{n_0\varphi\} \notin \bigcup_{0 \leq u < q_k} J_k(u)$, which is a contradiction.

- The sets $J_k(u)$ are disjoint.

The sets

$$I_k(u) + \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 \leq u < q_k,$$

form a partition of \mathbb{R} .

Proof. For each integer u with $0 \leq u < q_k$, let

$$J_k(u) = I_k(u) \bmod 1.$$

- The sum of the measures of the sets $J_k(u)$ is 1.
- The sets $J_k(u)$ cover the interval $[0, 1)$. If not, pick

$$x \in [0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq u < q_k} J_k(u).$$

Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the sets $[x, x + \epsilon]$ and $\bigcup J_k(u)$ are disjoint. Since the sequence $(\{n\varphi\})$ is dense in $[0, 1)$, there is an integer n_0 such that $\{n_0\varphi\} \in [x, x + \epsilon]$. Therefore $\{n_0\varphi\} \notin \bigcup_{0 \leq u < q_k} J_k(u)$, which is a contradiction.

- The sets $J_k(u)$ are disjoint.

The sets

$$I_k(u) + \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 \leq u < q_k,$$

form a partition of \mathbb{R} .

Proof. For each integer u with $0 \leq u < q_k$, let

$$J_k(u) = I_k(u) \bmod 1.$$

- The sum of the measures of the sets $J_k(u)$ is 1.
- The sets $J_k(u)$ cover the interval $[0, 1)$. If not, pick

$$x \in [0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq u < q_k} J_k(u).$$

Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the sets $[x, x + \epsilon]$ and $\bigcup J_k(u)$ are disjoint. Since the sequence $(\{n\varphi\})$ is dense in $[0, 1)$, there is an integer n_0 such that $\{n_0\varphi\} \in [x, x + \epsilon]$. Therefore $\{n_0\varphi\} \notin \bigcup_{0 \leq u < q_k} J_k(u)$, which is a contradiction.

- The sets $J_k(u)$ are disjoint.

An analytic way of capturing $t_\alpha(n; k)$ (k even)

- Let

$$\varphi = m + 1 + \alpha = \frac{m + 2 + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m}}{2}.$$

Let $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq u < q_k$. There is a set $I_k(u)$ such that given $n \geq 0$, we have

$$t_\alpha(n; k) = u$$

if and only if

$$n\varphi \in I_k(t_\alpha(n; k)) + \mathbb{Z}.$$

- This characterization, together with trigonometric approximation of the characteristic function of the sets $I_k(u) + \mathbb{Z}$, yields a representation for $e(\gamma S_{\alpha, k}(n))$.

A representation for $e(\gamma S_{\alpha,k}(n))$

- Let H be a positive integer. Then

$$e(\gamma S_{\alpha,k}(n)) = \sum_{|h| \leq H} e((-1)^k \varphi hn) M_k(h, \gamma),$$

where

$$M_k(h, \gamma) = \sum_{0 \leq u < q_k} e(\gamma S_{\alpha,k}(u) - (-1)^k \varphi hu).$$

- The estimation is completed using bounds for the *Fourier coefficients*.

A representation for $e(\gamma S_{\alpha,k}(n))$

- Let H be a positive integer. Then

$$e(\gamma S_{\alpha,k}(n)) = \sum_{|h| \leq H} e((-1)^k \varphi h n) M_k(h, \gamma),$$

where

$$M_k(h, \gamma) = \sum_{0 \leq u < q_k} e(\gamma S_{\alpha,k}(u) - (-1)^k \varphi h u).$$

- The estimation is completed using bounds for the *Fourier coefficients*.

A representation for $e(\gamma S_{\alpha,k}(n))$

- Let H be a positive integer. Then

$$e(\gamma S_{\alpha,k}(n)) = \sum_{|h| \leq H} e((-1)^k \varphi h n) M_k(h, \gamma),$$

where

$$M_k(h, \gamma) = \sum_{0 \leq u < q_k} e(\gamma S_{\alpha,k}(u) - (-1)^k \varphi h u).$$

- The estimation is completed using bounds for the *Fourier coefficients*.

Distribution of the sum of digits function in residue classes

Let $\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}]$, $m \geq 2$.

Theorem

Let m_1, m_2 be positive integers with $\gcd(m, m_2) = 1$. There exists $\lambda < 1$ such that for all integers r_1, r_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{n < N : n \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O(N^\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Let z, m_2 be positive integers > 1 with $\gcd(m, m_2) = 1$. Then there exists $\lambda' < 1$ such that for all integers r ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{n < N : n \text{ is } z\text{-power free}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_2 \zeta(z)} + O(N^{\lambda'}). \end{aligned}$$

Distribution of the sum of digits function in residue classes

Let $\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}]$, $m \geq 2$.

Theorem

Let m_1, m_2 be positive integers with $\gcd(m, m_2) = 1$. There exists $\lambda < 1$ such that for all integers r_1, r_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{n < N : n \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O(N^\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Let z, m_2 be positive integers > 1 with $\gcd(m, m_2) = 1$. Then there exists $\lambda' < 1$ such that for all integers r ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{n < N : n \text{ is } z\text{-power free}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_2 \zeta(z)} + O(N^{\lambda'}). \end{aligned}$$

Distribution of the sum of digits function in residue classes

Let $\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}]$, $m \geq 2$.

Theorem

Let m_1, m_2 be positive integers with $\gcd(m, m_2) = 1$. There exists $\lambda < 1$ such that for all integers r_1, r_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{n < N : n \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O(N^\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Let z, m_2 be positive integers > 1 with $\gcd(m, m_2) = 1$. Then there exists $\lambda' < 1$ such that for all integers r ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{n < N : n \text{ is } z\text{-power free}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_2 \zeta(z)} + O(N^{\lambda'}). \end{aligned}$$

Distribution of the sum of digits function in residue classes

Let $\alpha = [0; \overline{1, m}]$, $m \geq 2$.

Theorem

Let m_1, m_2 be positive integers with $\gcd(m, m_2) = 1$. There exists $\lambda < 1$ such that for all integers r_1, r_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{n < N : n \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_1 m_2} + O(N^\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Let z, m_2 be positive integers > 1 with $\gcd(m, m_2) = 1$. Then there exists $\lambda' < 1$ such that for all integers r ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{n < N : n \text{ is } z\text{-power free}, S_\alpha(n) \equiv r \pmod{m_2}\}| \\ &= \frac{N}{m_2 \zeta(z)} + O(N^{\lambda'}). \end{aligned}$$

Thank You!