Optimal auction duration: price formation point of view.

Paul Jusselin, <u>Thibaut Mastrolia</u>, Mathieu Rosenbaum.

CMAP, École Polytechnique

Workshop 1: Stochastic control and finance NUS, July 2019.



LOB: "a transparent system that matches customer orders on a 'price/time priority' basis".

LOB: "a transparent system that matches customer orders on a 'price/time priority' basis".

• Market makers set limit orders. Limit orders with the same price are ranked in a first-in-first-out priority execution (FIFO queues).



 \hookrightarrow Benefits by increasing the bid/ask spread and by being the faster.

LOB: "a transparent system that matches customer orders on a 'price/time priority' basis".

• Market makers set limit orders. Limit orders with the same price are ranked in a first-in-first-out priority execution (FIFO queues).



 \hookrightarrow Benefits by increasing the bid/ask spread and by being the faster.

 Market takers send market orders. Their arrival intensities are very sensitive w.r.t. the spread (see e.g. Madhavan, Richardson, and Roomans (1997), Wyart, Bouchaud, Kockelkoren, Potters, and Vettorazzo (2008), Dayri and Rosenbaum (2015)).

LOB: "a transparent system that matches customer orders on a 'price/time priority' basis".

• Market makers set limit orders. Limit orders with the same price are ranked in a first-in-first-out priority execution (FIFO queues).



 \hookrightarrow Benefits by increasing the bid/ask spread and by being the faster.

- Market takers send market orders. Their arrival intensities are very sensitive w.r.t. the spread
- Exchanges aims at increasing the liquidity (make-take fees system).

... to batch auctions markets

 → Concerning the electronic order book mechanism and to quote Farmer and Skouras, 1992:

"One consequence of this trading mechanism which we believe is underappreciated is that it endows a huge advantage to being faster than other traders, creating evolutionary pressures that drive an arms race for ever-more speed."

Continuous limit order books lead to mechanical arbitrages and generate a competition in speed rather than in price between high frequency market makers. → Concerning the electronic order book mechanism and to quote Farmer and Skouras, 1992:

"One consequence of this trading mechanism which we believe is underappreciated is that it endows a huge advantage to being faster than other traders, creating evolutionary pressures that drive an arms race for ever-more speed."

Continuous limit order books lead to mechanical arbitrages and generate a competition in speed rather than in price between high frequency market makers.

• No evidence *a priori* that the CLOB is the optimal market structure for all the market participants having different preferences.

 → Concerning the electronic order book mechanism and to quote Farmer and Skouras, 1992:

"One consequence of this trading mechanism which we believe is underappreciated is that it endows a huge advantage to being faster than other traders, creating evolutionary pressures that drive an arms race for ever-more speed."

Continuous limit order books lead to mechanical arbitrages and generate a competition in speed rather than in price between high frequency market makers.

- No evidence *a priori* that the CLOB is the optimal market structure for all the market participants having different preferences.
- Suitable remedies: batch auctions.

• Auction market is open during a certain time and receives limit and market orders along the auction duration.

- Auction market is open during a certain time and receives limit and market orders along the auction duration.
- at the clearing time, a clearing price of the stock is determined to ensure maximal number of transactions.

- Auction market is open during a certain time and receives limit and market orders along the auction duration.
- at the clearing time, a clearing price of the stock is determined to ensure maximal number of transactions.

Some references

- Budish, Cramton, Shim. (2015): auction market reduces arbitrage opportunities systematically present in a CLOB market.
- \hookrightarrow No optimal duration investigation.
 - Du and Zhu (2014): efficiency of an auction market with respect to the auction duration.
- \hookrightarrow Only for homogeneous agents.
 - Garbade and Silber (1979): macroscopic model for price formation in auction markets.

Fricke and Gerig (2018): extensions to multi assets or the presence of liquidity providers.

 \hookrightarrow No comparison with the CLOB.

Efficient price. $P_s = \sigma_f W_s, s \ge 0.$

• Market makers put limit orders with respect to their preferences indexed on i.i.d. noisy estimations of the efficient price centred with same variance σ_n^2 .

- Market makers put limit orders with respect to their preferences indexed on i.i.d. noisy estimations of the efficient price centred with same variance σ_n^2 .
- \hookrightarrow Counting process N^{mm} with fixed intensity μ and event time τ_k^{mm} .

- Market makers put limit orders with respect to their preferences indexed on i.i.d. noisy estimations of the efficient price centred with same variance σ_n^2 .
- \hookrightarrow Counting process N^{mm} with fixed intensity μ and event time τ_k^{mm} .
- \hookrightarrow Volume sent by the *k*th market maker

$$\mathcal{S}_k(p) = \mathcal{K}(p - \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\tau_k^{mm}}), ext{ with } \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\tau_k^{mm}} = \mathcal{P}_{\tau_k^{mm}} + g_k.$$

- Market makers put limit orders with respect to their preferences indexed on i.i.d. noisy estimations of the efficient price centred with same variance σ_n^2 .
- \hookrightarrow Counting process N^{mm} with fixed intensity μ and event time τ_k^{mm} .
- \hookrightarrow Volume sent by the *k*th market maker

$$S_k(p) = K(p - \tilde{P}_{\tau_k^{mm}}), \text{ with } \tilde{P}_{\tau_k^{mm}} = P_{\tau_k^{mm}} + g_k.$$

- Market takers arrive on the market and send v market orders in the auction with intensity v.
- \hookrightarrow Poisson processes N^a and N^b (ask and bid orders resp.)

- Market makers put limit orders with respect to their preferences indexed on i.i.d. noisy estimations of the efficient price centred with same variance σ_n^2 .
- \hookrightarrow Counting process N^{mm} with fixed intensity μ and event time τ_k^{mm} .
- \hookrightarrow Volume sent by the *k*th market maker

$$S_k(p) = \mathcal{K}(p - \tilde{P}_{\tau_k^{mm}}), \text{ with } \tilde{P}_{\tau_k^{mm}} = P_{\tau_k^{mm}} + g_k.$$

- Market takers arrive on the market and send v market orders in the auction with intensity v.
- \hookrightarrow Poisson processes N^a and N^b (ask and bid orders resp.)
 - After the clearing of the *i*th auction, the exchange opens the auction at time τ^{op} as soon as a market order is set during a duration h so that the clearing time is τ^{cl} = τ^{op} + h.
- \hookrightarrow It determines the clearing price ensuring to match the most orders.



* Assumptions:



- ★ Assumptions:
 - For each auction *i*: $(N^{i,a}, N^{i,b})$ and $N^{i,mm}$ are independent of *P*.
 - After each auction clearing the market regenerates.



- ★ Assumptions:
 - For each auction *i*: $(N^{i,a}, N^{i,b})$ and $N^{i,mm}$ are independent of *P*.
 - After each auction clearing the market regenerates.
 - The first market maker always arrives before the auction clearing.
 - \hookrightarrow Technically speaking, $(N_s^{1,mn})_{0 \le s \le \tau_1^{op} + h}$ has the law of a Poisson process with intensity μ conditional on $\{\tau_1^{mm} < \tau_1^a \land \tau_1^b + h\}$.



- ★ Assumptions:
 - For each auction *i*: $(N^{i,a}, N^{i,b})$ and $N^{i,mm}$ are independent of *P*.
 - After each auction clearing the market regenerates.
 - The first market maker always arrives before the auction clearing.
 - \hookrightarrow Technically speaking, $(N_s^{1,mn})_{0 \le s \le \tau_1^{op} + h}$ has the law of a Poisson process with intensity μ conditional on $\{\tau_1^{mm} < \tau_1^a \land \tau_1^b + h\}$.
- Clearing price sets to ensure the larger number of transactions.



- ★ Assumptions:
 - For each auction *i*: $(N^{i,a}, N^{i,b})$ and $N^{i,mm}$ are independent of *P*.
 - After each auction clearing the market regenerates.
 - The first market maker always arrives before the auction clearing.
 - $\hookrightarrow \text{ Technically speaking, } (N_s^{1,mm})_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant \tau_1^{op} + h} \text{ has the law of a Poisson} \\ \text{process with intensity } \mu \text{ conditional on } \{\tau_1^{mm} < \tau_1^a \land \tau_1^b + h\}.$
- Clearing price sets to ensure the larger number of transactions.
- What is the optimal duration h? Comparison with the LOB (h = 0).

The clearing price $P_{\tau_i^{cl}}^{cl}$ of the *i*th auction is determined as an equilibrium between supply and demand curves. Hence, P^{cl} is solution to

 $\#_{\text{shares}} \{ \text{buyers MM and MT} \}(p, h) = \#_{\text{shares}} \{ \text{sellers MM and MT} \}(p, h).$

The clearing price $P_{\tau_i^{cl}}^{cl}$ of the *i*th auction is determined as an equilibrium between supply and demand curves. Hence, P^{cl} is solution to



MM buyers+sellers volume

with $I = vN^a - vN^b$ where v is a (constant) volume v of market orders.

Clearing rule

The clearing price $P_{\tau_i^{cl}}^{cl}$ of the *i*th auction is determined as an equilibrium between supply and demand curves. Hence, P^{cl} is solution to



WIW buyers+sellers volume

with $I = vN^a - vN^b$ where v is a (constant) volume v of market orders.

Thus:

$$P_{\tau_{i}^{cl}}^{cl} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_{\tau_{i}^{cl}}^{mm} - N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{mm}}}_{=:P_{\tau_{i}^{cl}}^{mid}} \sum_{\substack{N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{mm} + 1\\ \tau_{i-1}^{cl}}}^{N_{\tau_{i}^{cl}}^{mm}} \tilde{P}_{k} + \frac{1}{K} \frac{I_{\tau_{i}^{cl}} - I_{\tau_{i}^{op-}}}{N_{\tau_{i}^{cl}}^{mm} - N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{mm}}$$

$$P_{\tau_{i}^{cl}}^{cl} = \frac{1}{N_{\tau_{i}^{op}+h}^{mm} - N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{mm}} \sum_{k=N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{mm}+1}^{N_{\tau_{i}^{op}+h}^{mop}} \tilde{P}_{k} + \frac{1}{K} \frac{I_{\tau_{i}^{op}+h} - I_{\tau_{i}^{op}-}}{N_{\tau_{i}^{op}+h}^{mm} - N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{mm}}.$$

$$P_{\tau_{i}^{cl}}^{cl} = \frac{1}{N_{\tau_{i}^{op}+h}^{mm} - N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{mm}} \sum_{k=N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{mm} + 1}^{N_{\tau_{i}^{op}+h}^{mp}} \tilde{P}_{k} + \frac{1}{K} \frac{I_{\tau_{i}^{op}+h} - I_{\tau_{i}^{op}-h}}{N_{\tau_{i}^{op}+h}^{mm} - N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{mm}}.$$

Criterion to measure the fairness of the transaction price: Quadratic error between the clearing price and the fundamental price:

"
$$Z_t^h = \int_0^t (P_s^{cl} - P_s)^2 ds.$$
"

$$P_{\tau_{i}^{cl}}^{cl} = \frac{1}{N_{\tau_{i}^{op}+h}^{mm} - N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{mm}} \sum_{k=N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{mm} + 1}^{N_{\tau_{i}^{op}+h}^{mp}} \tilde{P}_{k} + \frac{1}{K} \frac{I_{\tau_{i}^{op}+h} - I_{\tau_{i}^{op}-h}}{N_{\tau_{i}^{op}+h}^{mm} - N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{mm}}.$$

Criterion to measure the fairness of the transaction price: Quadratic error between the clearing price and the fundamental price:

$$"Z_t^h = \int_0^t (P_s^{cl} - P_s)^2 \mathrm{d}s."$$

Lemma

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\frac{Z_t^h}{t}=\mathbb{E}[(P_{\tau_1^{op}+h}^{cl}-P_{\tau_1^{op}+h})^2].$$

A duration h^* is optimal when it is a minimizer of the function

$$h\longmapsto E(h) = \mathbb{E}[(P_{\tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{op}+h}^{cl} - P_{\tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{op}+h})^2].$$

We get

$$E(h) = E^{mid}(h) + \frac{\mathbb{E}[I_{\tau_1^{op}+h}^2]}{K^2} \frac{e^{\nu h}}{1 - e^{-\mu h} \frac{\nu}{\nu + \mu}} e^{\nu h} \int_{h}^{+\infty} \nu e^{-\nu t} e^{-\mu t} \int_{0}^{\mu t} \frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s} \frac{e^{u} - 1}{u} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t.$$

We get

$$E(h) = E^{mid}(h) + \frac{\mathbb{E}[I_{\tau_1^{op}+h}^2]}{K^2} \frac{e^{\nu h}}{1 - e^{-\mu h} \frac{\nu}{\nu + \mu}} e^{\nu h} \int_{h}^{+\infty} \nu e^{-\nu t} e^{-\mu t} \int_{0}^{\mu t} \frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s} \frac{e^{u} - 1}{u} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t.$$

• E(h) depends on the market takers' behaviours during the auction only through $\mathbb{E}[I^2_{\tau_1^{op}+h}]$.

We get

$$E(h) = E^{mid}(h) + \frac{\mathbb{E}[I_{\tau_1^{op}+h}^2]}{K^2} \frac{e^{\nu h}}{1 - e^{-\mu h} \frac{\nu}{\nu+\mu}} e^{\nu h} \int_h^{+\infty} \nu e^{-\nu t} e^{-\mu t} \int_0^{\mu t} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \frac{e^u - 1}{u} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t.$$

- E(h) depends on the market takers' behaviours during the auction only through $\mathbb{E}[I^2_{\tau_1^{op}+h}]$.
- Until here, we have considers "unsophisticated" market takers having a fixed intensity ν of arrival between <u>AND</u> during the auction.

 $\hookrightarrow \mathbb{E}[I^2_{\tau^{op}_1+h}] = v^2(\nu h + \frac{1}{2}).$

So that, we can compute h^* for "unsophisticated" market takers.

Comparison with "sophisticated" market takers

Comparison with "sophisticated" market takers



 τ_i^{op} is still the event time of a Poisson process with intensity ν . During the auction: MTs optimize their trading costs w.r.t. their arrival intensities.

Comparison with "sophisticated" market takers



 τ_i^{op} is still the event time of a Poisson process with intensity ν . During the auction: MTs optimize their trading costs w.r.t. their arrival intensities.

Focus on the inbalance process

 N_t^{cl} is the number of auctions cleared before t.

The aggregated total trading cost of buyers market takers at time t can be written:

$$C_{t}^{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}^{cl}} (N_{\tau_{i}^{cl}}^{a} - N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^{a}) (P_{\tau_{i}^{cl}}^{cl} - P_{\tau_{i}^{cl}}),$$

and similarly for sellers

$$C_t^b = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t^{cl}} (N_{\tau_i^{cl}}^b - N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^b) (P_{\tau_i^{cl}} - P_{\tau_i^{cl}}^{cl}).$$

Focus on the inbalance process

 N_t^{cl} is the number of auctions cleared before t.

The aggregated total trading cost of buyers market takers at time t can be written:

$$C_t^a = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t^{cl}} (N_{\tau_i^{cl}}^a - N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^a) (P_{\tau_i^{cl}}^{cl} - P_{\tau_i^{cl}}),$$

and similarly for sellers

$$C_t^b = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t^{cl}} (N_{\tau_i^{cl}}^b - N_{\tau_{i-1}^{cl}}^b) (P_{\tau_i^{cl}} - P_{\tau_i^{cl}}^{cl}).$$

Proposition

Long term error

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{C_t^a}{t} \propto \mathbb{E}[N_h^a(N_h^a - N_h^b)].$$
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{C_t^b}{t} \propto \mathbb{E}[N_h^b(N_h^b - N_h^a)].$$

Trading costs optimization of "sophisticated" market takers

Sophisticated market takers during the auction:

- $\bullet\,$ Buyers market takers arrive with intensity λ^a
- $\bullet\,$ Sellers market takers arrive with intensity λ^b
- \hookrightarrow induces a family of measures $\mathbb{P}^{a,b}$ with bounds $[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]$.

Trading costs optimization of "sophisticated" market takers

Sophisticated market takers during the auction:

- $\bullet\,$ Buyers market takers arrive with intensity λ^a
- $\bullet\,$ Sellers market takers arrive with intensity λ^b
- \hookrightarrow induces a family of measures $\mathbb{P}^{a,b}$ with bounds $[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]$.

Market takers aims at optimizing simultaneously

$$\textbf{(Nash)} \begin{cases} \sup_{\lambda^a \in [\lambda_-, \lambda_+]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\lambda_a, \lambda_b}} [N_h^a (N_h^a - N_h^b)] \\ \sup_{\lambda^b \in [\lambda_-, \lambda_+]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\lambda_a, \lambda_b}} [N_h^b (N_h^b - N_h^a)] \end{cases}$$

Trading costs optimization of "sophisticated" market takers

Sophisticated market takers during the auction:

- $\bullet\,$ Buyers market takers arrive with intensity λ^a
- $\bullet\,$ Sellers market takers arrive with intensity λ^b
- \hookrightarrow induces a family of measures $\mathbb{P}^{a,b}$ with bounds $[\lambda_-, \lambda_+]$.

Market takers aims at optimizing simultaneously

$$\textbf{(Nash)} \begin{cases} \sup_{\lambda^{a} \in [\lambda_{-}, \lambda_{+}]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\lambda_{a}, \lambda_{b}}} [N_{h}^{a}(N_{h}^{a} - N_{h}^{b})] \\ \sup_{\lambda^{b} \in [\lambda_{-}, \lambda_{+}]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\lambda_{a}, \lambda_{b}}} [N_{h}^{b}(N_{h}^{b} - N_{h}^{a})] \end{cases}$$

Verification procedure: it reminds to solve a a bang-bang type integrodifferential system of PDEs with Hamiltonian:

$$H^{a,\star}(z,\tilde{z},\varepsilon) = z_1\lambda_a^{\star}(z) + z_2\lambda_b^{\star}(\tilde{z},\varepsilon), \ H^{b,\star}(z,\tilde{z},\varepsilon) = z_2\lambda_b^{\star}(z) + z_1\lambda_a^{\star}(\tilde{z},\varepsilon),$$

where

$$(\mathbf{foc}) \begin{cases} \lambda_a^{\star}(z,\varepsilon_a) &= \mathbf{1}_{z_1 > 0}\lambda_- + \mathbf{1}_{z_1 < 0}\lambda_+ + \varepsilon_a \mathbf{1}_{z_1 = 0} \\ \lambda_b^{\star}(z,\varepsilon_b) &= \mathbf{1}_{z_2 > 0}\lambda_- + \mathbf{1}_{z_2 < 0}\lambda_+ + \varepsilon_b \mathbf{1}_{z_2 = 0}. \end{cases}$$

Finding Nash equilibrium...

We mollify the functions λ_a^{\star} and λ_b^{\star} by introducing

$$\lambda^{n}(z) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{+} & \text{if } z \leq -\frac{1}{n} \\ \lambda_{-} & \text{if } z \geq \frac{1}{n} \\ n\frac{\lambda_{-}-\lambda_{+}}{2}z + \frac{\lambda_{+}+\lambda_{-}}{2} & \text{if } z \in (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}). \end{cases}$$

so that there exists a solution to a (Lipschitz) system of BSDE which gives a sequence $(\varepsilon_a^n, \varepsilon_b^n)$ of (Markovian) processes converging to a Nash equilibrium.

- We extend Hamadène and Mu (2014) to the Poisson case by using BSDE theory.
- The proof is constructive and gives a way to approach numerically this value.

Market takers and Nash equilibrium



Proposition

There exists a Nash equilibrium for the simultaneous optimization problem given by some Markovian controls $(\lambda_a^*, \lambda_b^*)$ and so that

$$\mathbb{E}[I^2_{\tau^{op}_1+h}] = V^a_h(\lambda^\star_a, \lambda^\star_b) + V^b_h(\lambda^\star_a, \lambda^\star_b),$$

where V^a and V^b are the values of (**Nash**).

Calibration model parameters on Euronext

Unknown variables

- MTs' arrival intensities ν between two auctions.
- MMs' arrivals given by μ ,
- MMs' supply parameter K.

Euronext Data: details on the volumes of each stocks exchanged along the day.

Unknown variables

- MTs' arrival intensities ν between two auctions.
- MMs' arrivals given by μ ,
- MMs' supply parameter K.

Euronext Data: details on the volumes of each stocks exchanged along the day.

 $\hookrightarrow \nu = \frac{V}{T}$ where T: duration of the trading day, V: average daily volume of market orders.

Unknown variables

- MTs' arrival intensities ν between two auctions.
- MMs' arrivals given by μ ,
- MMs' supply parameter K.

Euronext Data: details on the volumes of each stocks exchanged along the day.

 $\hookrightarrow \nu = \frac{V}{T}$ where T: duration of the trading day, V: average daily volume of market orders.

 $\hookrightarrow K = (2e - \frac{\varsigma}{e})$ and $\mu = \nu(\frac{e}{K} - 1)$, where e and ς are the average and squared volumes (resp.) present in the first limit of the LOB.

For sophisticated MTs we take $\lambda_{-} = \nu/4$ and $\lambda_{+} = \nu$.

	DiffNash	DiffPoiss	Poisson	Nash
Aperam	0.389	0.159	536	375.1
Sodexo	0.072	0.0	0.0	30
Air France - KLM	0.821	0.452	295	218
Hermes	0.296	0.02	295	202
ArcelorMittal	0.465	0.126	87	59
Credit Agricole	0.398	0.048	88	57
LVMH	0.497	0.155	121	87
Valeo	0.326	0.0	0	97
Air Liquide	0.916	0.647	627	459

Table: Optimal auction durations of a sample of stocks traded at Euronext (in seconds) and comparison with the LOB $E(0) - E(h^*)$.

Thank you.