

Global small solutions for heat conductive
compressible Navier-Stokes equations with
vacuum

Jinkai LI

jklimath@m.scnu.edu.cn

South China Normal University

Nonlinear PDEs and Related Topics

26-30 Dec 2019

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Main Result
- 3 Proof
- 4 Conclusion

INTRODUCTION

Full compressible Navier-Stokes equations

Full compressible Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho(\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u) - \mu \Delta u - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla p = 0, \\ c_v \rho(\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta) + p \operatorname{div} u - \kappa \Delta \theta = Q(\nabla u), \end{cases}$$

where

$$Q(\nabla u) = \frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u + \nabla u^T|^2 + \lambda (\operatorname{div} u)^2.$$

-
- Unknowns: ρ density, u velocity, θ temperature, p pressure;
 - Constants: μ, λ viscous coefficients, κ heat conductive coefficient, satisfying

$$\mu > 0, \quad 2\mu + N\lambda \geq 0, \quad N \text{ space dimension}, \quad \kappa \geq 0.$$

The entropy s

Constitutive equations:

$$p = R\rho\theta = Ae^{\frac{s}{c_v}}\rho^\gamma, \quad \gamma - 1 = \frac{R}{c_v}, R, c_v > 0.$$

\implies

$$s = c_v \left(\log \frac{R}{A} + \log \theta - (\gamma - 1) \log \rho \right).$$

Equation for s :

$$\rho(\partial_t s + u \cdot \nabla s) - \frac{\kappa}{c_v} \Delta s = \kappa(\gamma - 1) \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) + \frac{Q(\nabla u)}{\theta} + \kappa \left| \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta} \right|^2,$$

where

$$Q(\nabla u) := \frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u + \nabla u^T|^2 + \lambda (\operatorname{div} u)^2.$$

Non-vacuum: local and global

- **Local** $\exists!$: Serrin 1959, Nashi 1962, Itaya 1971, Vol'pert–Hudjaev 1972, Solonnikov 1976, Tani 1977, Valli 1982, ...
- **Global large in 1D**: Kazhikhov–Shelukhin 1977
- **Global small in 3D**: Matsumura–Nishida 1980s

$$\|(\rho_0 - 1, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|_{H^3} \ll 1 \quad (\text{smallness condition})$$

Remark

The solutions are obtained in Inhomogeneous spaces

$$\dots\dots u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \dots\dots$$

With general vacuum (ignoring s): large solutions

- **Global weak solutions:**

Lions 98, Feireisl–Novotn’y–Petzeltova 2001, Jiang–Zhang 2003, Feireisl 2004, Bresch–Jabin 2018,

- **Global strong solutions - 1D:**

JL (SIMA 2019) — $\kappa > 0$; JL (arXiv:1908.00514) — $\kappa = 0$.

- **Local strong solutions - 3D:** Cho–Kim 2006

Remark

- The solutions are obtained in Homogeneous spaces

$$\dots\dots \sqrt{\rho}u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \dots\dots$$

- **No information on s** can be provided in the vacuum region

Global small strong solutions

- **Isentropic:** Huang–Li–Xin 2012 3D, Li–Xin 2019 2D

$$\int \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |u_0|^2 + \frac{P(\rho_0)}{\gamma - 1} \right) \leq \varepsilon_0 \ll 1,$$

where ε_0 depends on some higher order norms.

- **Full CNS:** Huang–Li 2018 $\rho_\infty > 0$, Wen–Zhu 2017 $\rho_\infty = 0$.

Remarks

- The solutions are obtained in Homogeneous spaces

$$\dots \sqrt{\rho} u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \dots$$

- **Entropy s is unbounded** in Huang–Li 2018 and Wen–Zhu 2017

With “strong” vacuum: \nexists entropy-bounded solutions

$$\emptyset \neq \text{supp } \rho_0 \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^N$$

\implies

- \nexists **inhomogeneous** spaces (Li-Wang-Xin 2019):

$$(\rho, u) \in C^1([0, T]; H^m(\mathbb{R}^N)), \quad m > \left[\frac{N}{2}\right] + 2$$

- \nexists **global** solution **with finite s**:

- Xin 1998: No global solution such that

$$(\rho, u, s) \in C^1([0, \infty); H^m(\mathbb{R}^N)), \quad m > \left[\frac{N}{2}\right] + 2$$

- Xin-Yan 2013: No global solution such that

$$\begin{cases} \rho \in C_{x,t}^1, (u, \theta) \in C_{x,t}^{2,1}, & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \\ \rho \in C_{x,t}^1, (u, \theta) \in C_{x,t}^{2,1}, \mathbf{s \text{ is finitely valued}}, & \text{if } \kappa > 0 \end{cases}$$

With “mild” vacuum: \exists entropy-bounded solutions

Theorems (global in 1D): JL–Xin (Adv. Math. 2019, & 2019 preprint)

$$\dots\dots \rho_0 > 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \boxed{\rho_0(x) \geq \frac{K}{|x|^2}} \text{ for } x \gg 1 \dots\dots$$

\implies

exist $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{global-in-time} \\ \text{entropy-bounded solutions} \\ \text{in inhomogenous spaces} \end{array} \right\}$

Remark (local in 3D): JL–Xin in preparation

For 3D, local-in-time existence of entropy-bounded solutions can be also achieved, under similar slow decay assumptions on ρ_0 .

A summary: vacuum VS non-vacuum

The Compressible Navier-Stokes Equations

	Non-vacuum	Strong vacuum ($\rho_0 \ll R^d$)	Mild vacuum (ρ_0 decays slowly)	
Solution spaces	Existence in inhomogeneous spaces	Non-existence in inhomogeneous spaces	Existence in inhomogeneous spaces	
Entropy	Finite	Can be infinite	Finite	
Entropy-bounded solutions	Can exist globally	Do not exist globally	Exist globally	
			<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1D</td> <td>✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3D</td> <td>?</td> </tr> </table>	1D
1D	✓			
3D	?			

Motivation I: Global entropy-bounded solutions in 3D?

Available results:

- 1D Global entropy-bounded solutions, if $\rho_0(x) \geq \frac{K}{1+|x|^2}$
 - 3D Local entropy-bounded solutions, if $\rho_0(x) \geq \frac{K}{1+|x|^2}$
 - 3D **Global small** solutions but with **unbounded entropy**, for general vacuum, and requires $\rho_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$
-

$$\left\{ \rho_0 \mid \rho_0(x) \geq \frac{K}{1+|x|^2} \right\} \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) = \emptyset$$

Motivation II: scaling invariant property of CNS

If (ρ, u, θ) is a solution to the full CNS with initial data (ρ_0, u_0, θ_0) , then, $(\rho_\lambda, u_\lambda, \theta_\lambda)$ is also a solution with initial data $(\rho_{0\lambda}, u_{0\lambda}, \theta_{0\lambda})$ for any $\lambda \neq 0$, where

$$\begin{cases} \rho_\lambda(x, t) := \rho(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) \\ u_\lambda(x, t) := \lambda u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) \\ \theta_\lambda(x, t) := \lambda^2 \theta(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \rho_{0\lambda}(x) := \rho_0(\lambda x) \\ u_{0\lambda}(x) := \lambda u_0(\lambda x) \\ \theta_{0\lambda}(x) := \lambda^2 \theta_0(\lambda x) \end{cases}$$

Global small solution in critical spaces: non-vacuum

Kato, Chemin, Koch, Burgain,
Dachin, Paicu, Mucha, Q. L. Chen, C. X. Miao, Z. F. Zhang, P.
Zhang, Ting Zhang, C. Wang, D. Y. Fang, J. Xu, R. Z. Zi,

MAIN RESULT

Global small: smallness on scaling invariant quantity

Theorem (JL arXiv:1906.08712)

Assume $2\mu > \lambda$, $q \in (3, 6]$, $\rho_0, \theta_0 \geq 0$, $\rho_0 \leq \bar{\rho}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_0 &\in H^1 \cap W^{1,q}, \quad \sqrt{\rho_0}\theta_0 \in L^2, \quad (u_0, \theta_0) \in D_0^1 \cap D^2, \\ -\mu\Delta u_0 - (\mu + \lambda)\nabla \operatorname{div} u_0 + \nabla p_0 &= \sqrt{\rho_0}g_1, \\ \kappa\Delta\theta_0 + \mathcal{Q}(\nabla u) &= \sqrt{\rho_0}g_2,\end{aligned}$$

for some $\bar{\rho} > 0$ and $(g_1, g_2) \in L^2$, where $p_0 = R\rho_0\theta_0$.

\implies There is

$$\varepsilon_0 \sim R, \gamma, \mu, \lambda, \kappa$$

such that the Cauchy problem of the heat conductive CNS in 3D with initial data (ρ_0, u_0, θ_0) has a unique global solution provided

$$\mathcal{N}_0 := \bar{\rho}(\|\rho_0\|_3 + \bar{\rho}^2\|\sqrt{\rho_0}u_0\|_2^2)(\|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 + \bar{\rho}\|\sqrt{\rho_0}E_0\|_2^2) \leq \varepsilon_0,$$

where $E_0 = \frac{|u_0|^2}{2} + c_v\theta_0$.

Remark: comparing with the known results

- The quantity

$$\mathcal{N}_0 := \bar{\rho}(\|\rho_0\|_3 + \bar{\rho}^2 \|\sqrt{\rho_0} u_0\|_2^2)(\|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 + \bar{\rho} \|\sqrt{\rho_0} E_0\|_2^2)$$

is **scaling invariant**, with respect to the natural scaling of full CNS on the initial data.

- The **smallness** assumption is imposed **only on** \mathcal{N}_0 , and the smallness **depends only on** $R, \gamma, \mu, \lambda, \kappa$, but not on any norms of the initial data.
- The theorem cleans the way to the global entropy-bounded solutions to full CNS in 3D:

$$\left\{ (\rho_0, u_0, \theta_0) \mid \rho_0(x) \geq \frac{\kappa}{1 + |x|^2} \right\} \cap \{ (\rho_0, u_0, \theta_0) \mid \mathcal{N}_0 \leq \varepsilon_0 \} \neq \emptyset$$

- Assumptions in Huang–Li 2018 and Wen–Zhu 2017:

$$\int \left(\frac{\rho_0}{2} |u_0|^2 + R(\rho_0 \log \rho_0 - \rho_0 + 1) + \frac{R}{\gamma - 1} \rho_0 (\theta_0 - \log \theta_0 + 1) \right) \\ \leq \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\|\rho_0\|_\infty, \|\theta_0\|_\infty, \|\nabla u_0\|_2, R, \gamma, \mu, \lambda, \kappa)$$

and

$$\int \rho_0 dx \leq \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\|\rho_0\|_\infty, \|\sqrt{\rho_0} \theta_0\|_2, \|\nabla u_0\|_2, R, \gamma, \mu, \lambda, \kappa),$$

respectively.

The **scaling invariant quantities** on which the smallness guarantee the global existence can **not be identified** there.

PROOF

Key observation: an equation for ρ^3

An equation:

$$\frac{2\mu + \lambda}{2}(\partial_t \rho^3 + \operatorname{div}(u\rho^3)) + \rho^3 p + \rho^3 \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)_t \\ + \rho^3 \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) = 0.$$

\implies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_3^3 + \int_0^T \int \rho^3 p \lesssim \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\rho\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\sqrt{\rho} |u|^2\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\rho\|_3^3) \\ + \int_0^T \|\rho\|_\infty^2 \|\rho\|_3^2 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 dt + \|\rho_0\|_3^3.$$

-
- Indicates the smallness of $\int_0^\infty \|\nabla u\|_2^2 dt$ may be sufficient.
 - Provides extra estimate $\int_0^\infty \int \rho^3 p dx dt$.

If using continuity equation

If using the continuity equation

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0$$

\implies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_3^3 \leq \|\rho_0\|_3^3 + 2 \int_0^T \int |\operatorname{div} u| \rho^3 dx dt.$$

Requires

$$\int_0^\infty \|\operatorname{div} u\|_\infty dt < \infty,$$

and thus requires faster decay on ∇u .

Where does \mathcal{N}_0 come?

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_3^3 + \int_0^T \int \rho^3 p \lesssim \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\rho\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\sqrt{\rho}|u|^2\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\rho\|_3^3) \\ + \int_0^T \|\rho\|_\infty^2 \|\rho\|_3^2 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 dt + \|\rho_0\|_3^3.$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_3^3 + \dots \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\rho\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\sqrt{\rho}|u|^2\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\rho\|_3^3) \dots$$

\rightsquigarrow smallness on $\|\rho\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\sqrt{\rho}|u|^2\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}}$

\rightsquigarrow smallness on $\|\rho_0\|_\infty^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\sqrt{\rho_0}u_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\sqrt{\rho_0}|u_0|^2\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}}$ \leftarrow (part of \mathcal{N}_0)

\rightsquigarrow smallness on \mathcal{N}_0 \leftarrow (due to the coupling)

Local existence and blow-up criteria

Local existence: Cho-Kim 2006

Under the conditions in the theorem, there is a unique solution (ρ, u, θ) , on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T_*)$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &\in C([0, T_*]; H^1 \cap W^{1,q}), \quad \rho_t \in C([0, T_*] L^2 \cap L^q), \\ (u, \theta) &\in C([0, T_*]; D_0^1 \cap D^2) \cap L^2(0, T_*; D^{2,q}), \\ (u_t, \theta_t) &\in L^2(0, T_*; D_0^1), \quad (\sqrt{\rho}u_t, \sqrt{\rho}\theta_t) \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, T_*; L^2). \end{aligned}$$

Blow-up criteria: Huang-Li 2013

Let $T^* < \infty$ be the maximal time of existence of a solution (ρ, u, θ) , with initial data (ρ_0, u_0, θ_0) . Then,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow T^*} (\|\rho\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty)} + \|u\|_{L^s(0, T; L^r)}) = \infty,$$

for any (s, r) such that $\frac{2}{s} + \frac{3}{r} \leq 1$ and $3 < r \leq \infty$.

Main difficulties: absence of dissipation estimates

- The basic energy identity does **not provide** any **dissipation estimates**

$$\int \rho \left(\frac{|u|^2}{2} + c_v \theta \right) dx = \int \rho_0 \left(\frac{|u_0|^2}{2} + c_v \theta_0 \right) dx.$$

- The entropy inequality does not hold for the far field vacuum case.

Unconditional $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}$ inequality

$$\int \left\{ \rho(\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u) - \mu \Delta u - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla p = 0 \right\} \cdot u dx$$

\implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_2^2 + \mu \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_2^2 \\ &= \int p \operatorname{div} u dx = R \int \rho \theta \operatorname{div} u dx \leq R \|\rho\|_3 \|\theta\|_6 \|\operatorname{div} u\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\rho\|_3 \|\nabla \theta\|_2 \|\operatorname{div} u\|_2 \leq \eta \|\operatorname{div} u\|_2^2 + C_\eta \|\rho\|_3^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

\implies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_2^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \lesssim \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_3^2 \right) \int_0^T \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho_0}u_0\|_2^2$$

Unconditional $\|\sqrt{\rho}E\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}$ inequality — Step 1

$$E = \frac{|u|^2}{2} + c_v \theta$$

$$\left\{ \rho(\partial_t E + u \cdot \nabla E) + \operatorname{div}(up) - \kappa \Delta \theta = \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{S}(\nabla u) \cdot u) \right\} \cdot E dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho}E\|_2^2 + \kappa c_v \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 \\ &= \int \left[-\frac{\kappa}{2} \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla |u|^2 + (up - \mathcal{S}(\nabla u) \cdot u) \cdot \left(c_v \nabla \theta + \frac{\nabla |u|^2}{2} \right) \right] dx \\ &\leq \frac{c_v \kappa}{2} \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 + C \| |u| \nabla u \|_2^2 + C \int \rho^2 \theta^2 |u|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho}E\|_2^2 + \kappa c_v \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 \lesssim \| |u| \nabla u \|_2^2 + \int \rho^2 \theta^2 |u|^2 dx$$

Unconditional $\|\sqrt{\rho}E\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}$ inequality — Step 2

$$\int \left\{ \rho(\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u) - \mu \Delta u - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla p = 0 \right\} \cdot |u|^2 u dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho}|u|^2\|_2^2 - \int (\mu \Delta u + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u) \cdot |u|^2 u dx \\ = & - \int p \operatorname{div}(|u|^2 u) dx \leq \eta \int |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx + C_\eta \int \rho^2 \theta^2 |u|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$- \int (\mu \Delta u + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u) \cdot |u|^2 u \geq (2\mu - \lambda) \int |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho}|u|^2\|_2^2 + 2(2\mu - \lambda) \| |u| \nabla u \|_2^2 \lesssim \int \rho^2 \theta^2 |u|^2 dx$$

Unconditional $\|\sqrt{\rho}E\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}$ inequality — Conclusion

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}E\|_2^2 + \kappa c_v \|\nabla\theta\|_2^2 \lesssim \| |u|\nabla u \|_2^2 + \int \rho^2 \theta^2 |u|^2 dx$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}|u|^2\|_2^2 + 2(2\mu - \lambda)\| |u|\nabla u \|_2^2 \lesssim \int \rho^2 \theta^2 |u|^2 dx$$

\Rightarrow

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}E\|_2^2 + \|(\nabla\theta, |u|\nabla u)\|_2^2 \leq C \int \rho^2 \theta^2 |u|^2 dx,$$

$$\int \rho^2 \theta^2 |u|^2 dx \leq \dots \lesssim \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_2 \|\nabla\theta\|_2 \|\nabla|u|^2\|_2 \|\rho\|_\infty \|\rho\|_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}E\|_2^2 + \|(\nabla\theta, |u|\nabla u)\|_2^2 \lesssim (\|\rho\|_\infty^2 \|\rho\|_3 \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\nabla\theta, |u|\nabla u)\|_2^2$$

An equation for ρ^3

$$\rho^3 \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \left\{ \partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) - \mu \Delta u - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla p = 0 \right\}$$

\implies

$$\rho^3 \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)_t + \rho^3 \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) - (2\mu + \lambda) \operatorname{div} u \rho^3 + \rho^3 p = 0.$$

Replace $\operatorname{div} u \rho^3$ by using

$$\partial_t \rho^3 + \operatorname{div}(u \rho^3) + 2 \operatorname{div} u \rho^3 = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{2} (\partial_t \rho^3 + \operatorname{div}(u \rho^3)) + \rho^3 p + \rho^3 \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)_t \\ + \rho^3 \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Unconditional $\|\rho\|_{L_t^\infty(L^3)}$ inequality

$$\int \left\{ \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{2} (\partial_t \rho^3 + \operatorname{div}(u\rho^3)) + \rho^3 p + \rho^3 \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)_t \right. \\ \left. + \rho^3 \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) = 0 \right\} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_3^3 + \int_0^T \int \rho^3 p dx dt \\ & \lesssim \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_\infty^4 \|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_2^2 \|\sqrt{\rho} |u|^2\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_3^3 \\ & \quad + \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_\infty^2 \|\rho\|_3^2 \right) \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\int \left\{ \rho(\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u) - \mu \Delta u - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla p = 0 \right\} \cdot u_t dx$$

\implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\mu \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_2^2) + \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_2^2 \\ - \int p \operatorname{div} u_t dx = - \int \rho (u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot u_t dx. \end{aligned}$$

Conditional $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(H^1)}$ — about $-\int p \operatorname{div} u_t dx$ and $\int p_t G dx$

Note that $\operatorname{div} u = \frac{G+p}{2\mu+\lambda}$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} -\int p \operatorname{div} u_t &= -\frac{d}{dt} \int p \operatorname{div} u dx + \int p_t \operatorname{div} u dx \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt} \int p \operatorname{div} u + \frac{1}{2(2\mu+\lambda)} \frac{d}{dt} \|p\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda} \int p_t G \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$p_t = (\gamma - 1)(\mathcal{Q}(\nabla u) - p \operatorname{div} u + \kappa \Delta \theta) - \operatorname{div}(up),$$

and, thus, integration by parts gives

$$\int p_t G dx = \int [(\gamma - 1)(\mathcal{Q}(\nabla u) - p \operatorname{div} u)G + (up - \kappa(\gamma - 1)\nabla \theta) \cdot \nabla G]$$

Conditional $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(H^1)}$ inequality — Estimate for ∇G

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\mu \|\omega\|_2^2 + \frac{\|G\|_2^2}{2\mu + \lambda} \right) + \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_2^2 \\ = & - \int \rho (u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot u_t dx + \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \int (\kappa(\gamma - 1) \nabla \theta - up) \cdot \nabla G dx \\ & - \frac{\gamma - 1}{2\mu + \lambda} \int (\mathcal{Q}(\nabla u) - p \operatorname{div} u) G dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$\int \left\{ \rho (u_t + u \cdot \nabla u) = \nabla G - \mu \nabla \times \omega \right\} \cdot (\nabla G - \mu \nabla \times \omega) dx$$

\Rightarrow

$$\|\nabla G\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \times \omega\|_2^2 \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_2^2 + \dots$$

Conditional $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(H^1)}$ inequality — Conclusion

- Conditional $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(H^1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_0^T \left\| \left(\sqrt{\rho} u_t, \frac{\nabla G}{\sqrt{\rho}}, \frac{\nabla \omega}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right) \right\|_2^2 dt \\ & \lesssim \left[\bar{\rho} + \bar{\rho}^2 \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_3 \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \int_0^T \|(\nabla \theta, |u|\nabla u)\|_2^2 \\ & \quad + \bar{\rho}^3 \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|(\nabla u, \sqrt{\bar{\rho}}\sqrt{\rho}\theta)\|_2^2 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

as long as

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_\infty \leq 4\bar{\rho},$$

where $G = (2\mu + \lambda)\operatorname{div} u - p$ and $\omega = \nabla \times u$.

Conditional L^∞ inequality for ρ

- L^∞ of ρ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_\infty &\leq \bar{\rho} \exp \left\{ C \bar{\rho} \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_2 \|(\nabla G, \nabla \omega, \bar{\rho} \nabla \theta)\|_2 dt \right\} \\ &\quad \times \exp \left\{ C \bar{\rho}^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\sqrt{\rho} u\|_2 \|\sqrt{\rho} |u|^2\|_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

as long as

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_\infty \leq 4\bar{\rho},$$

$\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\text{momentum eqn}) \rightsquigarrow \operatorname{div} u \curvearrowright (\text{continuity eqn}) \implies$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left((2\mu + \lambda) \log \rho + (\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho u)) \right) + p = [u, \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}](\rho u).$$

Key a priori estimates

Denote

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \bar{\rho} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\rho\|_3 + \bar{\rho}^2 \|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_2^2) (\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \bar{\rho} \|\sqrt{\rho}E\|_2^2).$$

Then, there is a positive number $\varepsilon_0 \sim R, \gamma, \mu, \lambda, \kappa$, such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_\infty \leq 2\bar{\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_T \leq \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0}}{2}$$

as long as

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho\|_\infty \leq 4\bar{\rho}, \quad \mathcal{N}_T \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_0 \leq \varepsilon_0.$$

CONCLUSION

Conclusion

- Global existence of small strong solutions to the full CNS was established, and **a scaling invariant quantity was identified**, on which the smallness condition ensures the global existence.
- Different from the existing works, the smallness **depends only on $R, \gamma, \mu, \lambda, \kappa$** , but not on any norms of the initial data.
- The theorem cleans the way to the global entropy-bounded solutions to full CNS in 3D:

$$\left\{ (\rho_0, u_0, \theta_0) \mid \rho_0(x) \geq \frac{K}{1 + |x|^2} \right\} \cap \{ (\rho_0, u_0, \theta_0) \mid \mathcal{N}_0 \text{ small} \} \neq \emptyset$$

Thank You!