A mathematical dissection of the adaptation of cancer cell populations to fluctuating oxygen levels

Tommaso Lorenzi Aleksandra Ardaševa, Helen Byrne, Philip Maini Alexander Anderson, Robert Gatenby

Mathematical Biology: Modeling, Analysis and Simulation IMS, National University of Singapore 21<sup>st</sup> January 2020





< □ > < (四 > < (回 > ) < (回 > ) < (回 > ) ) 三 回

## Plan of the talk

Statement of the biological problem

Mathematical model

Main results

Research perspectives

## Statement of the biological problem

Tumour angiogenesis and emergence of fluctuating oxygen levels in tumours



Adapted from Gillies et al., Nature Reviews Cancer, 2018

# *In vivo* experimental results showing the emergence of fluctuating oxygen levels in tumours



Adapted from Matsumoto et al., Cancer Research, 2010

Fluctuations in oxygen levels promote the creation of distinct fluctuating local environments in tumours



Underlying biological questions

## How do cancer cells adapt to fluctuating oxygen levels?

What is the role played by phenotypic variations in the adaption of cancer cells to fluctuating oxygen levels?

## Mathematical model

• Two competing populations of cancer cells in a well-mixed system exposed to given oxygen levels

- Two competing populations of cancer cells in a well-mixed system exposed to given oxygen levels
- The two populations undergo phenotypic variations at different rates;
  - $\triangleright$  population with *higher* rate of phenotypic variations : i = H
  - $\triangleright$  population with *lower* rate of phenotypic variations : i = L

- Two competing populations of cancer cells in a well-mixed system exposed to given oxygen levels
- The two populations undergo phenotypic variations at different rates;
  - $\triangleright$  population with *higher* rate of phenotypic variations : i = H
  - $\triangleright$  population with *lower* rate of phenotypic variations : i = L
- Phenotypic state :  $x \in \mathbb{R}$



- Two competing populations of cancer cells in a well-mixed system exposed to given oxygen levels
- The two populations undergo phenotypic variations at different rates;
  - $\triangleright$  population with *higher* rate of phenotypic variations : i = H
  - $\triangleright$  population with *lower* rate of phenotypic variations : i = L
- Phenotypic state :  $x \in \mathbb{R}$



• Phenotype distribution of population *i* at time  $t \ge 0$ :  $n_i(x, t)$ 

- Two competing populations of cancer cells in a well-mixed system exposed to given oxygen levels
- The two populations undergo phenotypic variations at different rates;
  - $\triangleright$  population with *higher* rate of phenotypic variations : i = H
  - $\triangleright$  population with *lower* rate of phenotypic variations : i = L
- Phenotypic state :  $x \in \mathbb{R}$



- Phenotype distribution of population *i* at time  $t \ge 0$ :  $n_i(x, t)$
- Given oxygen level at time t : S(t)

• Size of population *i* at time 
$$t : \rho_i(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} n_i(x, t) dx$$

- Total number of cells at time t :  $\rho(t) = \rho_H(t) + \rho_L(t)$
- Mean phenotypic state of population *i* at time *t* :

$$\mu_i(t) = \frac{1}{\rho_i(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x \, n_i(x, t) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

• Phenotypic variance of population *i* at time *t* :

$$\sigma_i^2(t) = \frac{1}{\rho_i(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 n_i(x,t) \,\mathrm{d}x - \mu_i^2(t)$$

Equations for the phenotype distributions



$$\beta_i$$
: rate of phenotypic variations, (2)

(3)

 $R(x, S, \rho)$ : phenotypic fitness landscape,

Equations for the phenotype distributions

$$\frac{\partial n_{H}}{\partial t} = \underbrace{\beta_{H} \frac{\partial^{2} n_{H}}{\partial x^{2}}}_{\text{phenotypic variations}} + \underbrace{R(x, S(t), \rho(t)) n_{H}}_{\text{cell division & death}}$$

$$\frac{\partial n_{L}}{\partial t} = \beta_{L} \frac{\partial^{2} n_{L}}{\partial x^{2}} + R(x, S(t), \rho(t)) n_{L},$$

$$\rho(t) = \rho_{H}(t) + \rho_{L}(t), \ \rho_{i}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{i}(x, t) dx, \ i \in \{H, L\},$$

$$(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) (1)$$

 $\beta_i$ : rate of phenotypic variations,  $\beta_H > \beta_L$  (2)

 $R(x, S, \rho)$  : phenotypic fitness landscape,

(3)

Equations for the phenotype distributions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial n_{H}}{\partial t} &= \underbrace{\beta_{H} \frac{\partial^{2} n_{H}}{\partial x^{2}}}_{\text{phenotypic variations}} + \underbrace{R(x, S(t), \rho(t)) n_{H}}_{\text{cell division & death}} \\ \frac{\partial n_{L}}{\partial t} &= \beta_{L} \frac{\partial^{2} n_{L}}{\partial x^{2}} + R(x, S(t), \rho(t)) n_{L}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) \quad (1)$$

$$\rho(t) &= \rho_{H}(t) + \rho_{L}(t), \ \rho_{i}(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{i}(x, t) \, dx, \ i \in \{H, L\}, \end{aligned}$$

 $\beta_i$ : rate of phenotypic variations,  $\beta_H > \beta_L$  (2)

 $R(x, S, \rho)$ : phenotypic fitness landscape,  $R(x, S, \rho) = p(x, S) - d\rho$  (3)

p(x, S): net cell-division rate

 $d\rho$  : rate of death due to intra- and inter-population competition

## Phenotypic fitness landscape

- Oxidative phenotypic variants (i.e. x→0) have a competitive advantage when the oxygen concentration is high (i.e. if S(t)→∞)
- Glycolytic phenotypic variants (i.e. x→1) have a competitive advantage when the oxygen concentration is low (i.e. if S(t)→0)

$$p(x,S) = \gamma \frac{S}{1+S} (1-x^2) + \zeta \left(1 - \frac{S}{1+S}\right) \left[1 - (1-x)^2\right]$$
(4)

 $\gamma$ : maximum cell-division rate of oxidative phenotypic variants  $\zeta$ : maximum cell-division rate of glycolytic phenotypic variants

## Phenotypic fitness landscape

• After a little algebra, definition (4) can be rewritten as

$$p(x,S) = \gamma g(S) - h(S)(x - \varphi(S))^2$$
(5)

with

$$g(S) = \frac{1}{1+S} \left( S + \frac{\zeta}{\gamma} \frac{\zeta}{\zeta + \gamma S} \right),$$

rescaled maximum fitness

$$\varphi(S) = \frac{\zeta}{\zeta + \gamma S}$$

(6)

(7)

fittest phenotypic state

and

$$h(S) = \zeta + (\gamma - \zeta) \frac{S}{1+S}$$

nonlinear selection gradient

### Phenotypic fitness landscape

• After a little algebra, definition (4) can be rewritten as

$$p(x,S) = \gamma g(S) - h(S)(x - \varphi(S))^2$$
(5)

with

$$\underbrace{g(S) = \frac{1}{1+S} \left( S + \frac{\zeta}{\gamma} \frac{\zeta}{\zeta + \gamma S} \right)}_{\text{rescaled maximum fitness}}, \qquad \underbrace{\varphi(S) = \frac{\zeta}{\zeta + \gamma S}}_{\text{fittest phenotypic state}}$$
(6)

fittest phenotypic state

(7

and

$$h(S) = \zeta + (\gamma - \zeta) \frac{S}{1 + S}$$

nonnnear selection gradient

• Henceforth for simplicity we assume  $\zeta = \gamma$ , which implies

$$g(S) = \frac{1}{1+S} \left( S + \frac{1}{1+S} \right), \quad \varphi(S) = \frac{1}{1+S}, \quad h(S) \equiv \gamma$$
 (8)

### T. Lorenzi (University of St Andrews)

ć

## Main results

## Gaussian phenotype distributions

### Proposition

If the initial phenotype distribution  $n_i(x,0)$  for  $i \in \{H,L\}$  is of the Gaussian form

$$n_i(x,0) = \rho_i^0 \sqrt{\frac{v_i^0}{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{v_i^0}{2}(x-\mu_i^0)^2\right] \text{ with } \rho_i^0, v_i^0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \ \mu_i^0 \in \mathbb{R}, \ (9)$$

then the phenotype distribution  $n_i(x, t)$  remains of the Gaussian form

$$n_i(x,t) = \rho_i(t) \sqrt{\frac{v_i(t)}{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{v_i(t)}{2}(x-\mu_i(t))^2\right] \quad \forall t > 0.$$
(10)

## Gaussian phenotype distributions

The population size,  $\rho_i$ , the mean phenotypic state,  $\mu_i$ , and the inverse of the phenotypic variance,  $v_i = 1/\sigma_i^2$ , satisfy the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} v_{i}'(t) = 2\left(\gamma - \beta_{i}v_{i}^{2}(t)\right), \\ \mu_{i}'(t) = \frac{2\gamma}{v_{i}(t)}\left(\varphi(t) - \mu_{i}(t)\right), \\ \rho_{i}'(t) = (F_{i}(t) - d\rho(t))\rho_{i}(t), \\ v_{i}(0) = v_{i}^{0}, \quad \mu_{i}(0) = \mu_{i}^{0}, \quad \rho_{i}(0) = \rho_{i}^{0}, \\ \rho(t) = \rho_{H}(t) + \rho_{L}(t), \\ F_{i}(t) \equiv F_{i}(t, v_{i}(t), \mu_{i}(t)) = \gamma g(t) - \frac{\gamma}{v_{i}(t)} - \gamma \left(\mu_{i}(t) - \varphi(t)\right)^{2}, \quad (12) \\ \text{with } g(t) \equiv g(S(t)) \text{ and } \varphi(t) \equiv \varphi(S(t)). \end{cases}$$

Evolutionary dynamics under constant oxygen levels

### Theorem

Under assumptions (2)-(4), (8) and the additional assumption

$$S(t) \equiv \overline{S} \ge 0, \tag{13}$$

the solution of the system of PDEs (1) subject to the initial condition (9) is of the Gaussian form (10) and satisfies the following:

(i) if 
$$\sqrt{\beta_L} \ge \sqrt{\gamma} g(S)$$
 then  
 $\rho_H(t) \to 0 \text{ and } \rho_L(t) \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty;$  (14)

# Evolutionary dynamics under constant oxygen levels

(ii) if 
$$\sqrt{\beta_L} < \sqrt{\gamma} g(\overline{S})$$
 then  
 $\rho_H(t) \to 0, \quad \rho_L(t) \to \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{d} \left( \sqrt{\gamma} g(\overline{S}) - \sqrt{\beta_L} \right) \text{ as } t \to \infty \quad (15)$   
and  
 $\mu_L(t) \to \varphi(\overline{S}) = \frac{1}{1+\overline{S}}, \quad \sigma_L^2(t) \to \sqrt{\frac{\beta_L}{\gamma}} \text{ as } t \to \infty. \quad (16)$ 



Theorem

Ľ

Assume (2)-(4), (8) and

$$S \in \operatorname{Lip}([0,\infty)), \quad S(t+T) = S(t) \quad \forall t \ge 0, \text{ for some } T > 0.$$
 (17)

Define  

$$\Lambda_i = \sqrt{\beta_i} + \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{T} \int_0^T \left( u_i(z) - \varphi(S(z)) \right)^2 dz \quad \text{for} \quad i \in \{H, L\}, \quad (18)$$

where  $u_i(t)$  is the unique real *T*-periodic solution of the problem  $\begin{cases}
u'_i(t) = 2\sqrt{\gamma \beta_i} (\varphi(S(t)) - u_i(t)), & \text{for } t \in (0, T), \\
u_i(0) = u_i(T),
\end{cases}$ (19)

that is

$$u_{i}^{TS,i} = \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma\beta_{i}}\exp\left(-2\sqrt{\gamma\beta_{i}}t\right)}{\exp\left(2\sqrt{\gamma\beta_{i}}T\right) - 1} \int_{0}^{T}\exp\left(2\sqrt{\gamma\beta_{i}}z\right)\varphi(S(z)) dz + 2\sqrt{\gamma\beta_{i}}\exp\left(-2\sqrt{\gamma\beta_{i}}t\right) \int_{0}^{t}\exp\left(2\sqrt{\gamma\beta_{i}}z\right)\varphi(S(z)) dz.$$
(20)

The solution of the system of PDEs (1) subject to the initial condition (9) is of the Gaussian form (10) and satisfies the following: (i) if  $\min\{\Lambda_H, \Lambda_L\} \ge \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{T} \int_0^T g(S(t)) dt$  then  $\rho_H(t) \to 0$  and  $\rho_L(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ ; (21)

(ii) if min {
$$\Lambda_H, \Lambda_L$$
}  $< \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{T} \int_0^T g(S(t)) dt$  and  
 $i = \underset{k \in \{H, L\}}{\operatorname{arg min}} \Lambda_k, \quad j = \underset{k \in \{H, L\}}{\operatorname{arg max}} \Lambda_k,$   
then  
 $\rho_i(t) \to w_i(t), \quad \rho_j(t) \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty,$  (22)  
and  
 $\mu_i(t) \to u_i(t), \quad \sigma_i^2(t) \to \sqrt{\frac{\beta_i}{\gamma}} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty,$  (23)

where  $w_i(t)$  is the unique real non-negative T-periodic solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} w'_i(t) = (Q_i(t) - dw_i(t)) w_i(t), & \text{for } t \in (0, T), \\ w_i(0) = w_i(T) \end{cases}$$
(24)

with

$$Q_i(t) = \gamma g(S(t)) - \sqrt{\gamma \beta_i} - \gamma (u_i(t) - \varphi(S(t)))^2,$$







$$\Lambda_L < \Lambda_H < \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{T} \int_0^T g(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

$$\Lambda_H < \Lambda_L < \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{T} \int_0^T g(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

$$\Lambda_L < \Lambda_H < \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{T} \int_0^T g(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$



# Adaptation of cancer cell populations to fluctuating oxygen levels



Research perspectives

- Develop a corresponding stochastic individual-based model → explore stochastic effects that are relevant in the regime of low cell numbers.
- Model oxygen dynamics explicitly → study the impact of oxygen consumption on the evolutionary dynamics of cells.
- Include spatial structure → obtain a more detailed representation of the underlying biological problem.

A mathematical dissection of the adaptation of cancer cell populations to fluctuating oxygen levels

Tommaso Lorenzi Aleksandra Ardaševa, Helen Byrne, Philip Maini Alexander Anderson, Robert Gatenby

Mathematical Biology: Modeling, Analysis and Simulation IMS, National University of Singapore 21<sup>st</sup> January 2020





< □ > < (四 > < (回 > ) < (回 > ) < (回 > ) ) 三 回