

# Finding Better Randomness

Theodore A. Slaman

University of California Berkeley

# Outline

1. Measures with the mass distribution property and Hausdorff dimension
2. Measures with well-behaved Fourier transforms and Fourier dimension
3. Diophantine Approximation
  - 3.1 Randomness formulated by normality
  - 3.2 Randomness formulated by irrationality exponent

# Hausdorff Dimension (Size for Null Sets)

*Hausdorff dimension* is usually defined in terms of open covers, but the following is equivalent by Frostman's Lemma (1935).

## Definition

- ▶ For  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $A$  a Borel set of real numbers,  $A$  has Hausdorff dimension at least  $s$  iff there is a Borel measure  $\mu$  and a positive constant  $C$  such that  $\mu(A) > 0$  and for all reals  $\xi$  and  $r > 0$ ,  $\mu(B(\xi, r)) \leq C \cdot r^s$ .
- ▶ The Hausdorff dimension of  $A$  is the supremum of such  $s$ .

# Hausdorff Dimension (Size for Null Sets)

*Hausdorff dimension* is usually defined in terms of open covers, but the following is equivalent by Frostman's Lemma (1935).

## Definition

- ▶ For  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $A$  a Borel set of real numbers,  $A$  has Hausdorff dimension at least  $s$  iff there is a Borel measure  $\mu$  and a positive constant  $C$  such that  $\mu(A) > 0$  and for all reals  $\xi$  and  $r > 0$ ,  $\mu(B(\xi, r)) \leq C \cdot r^s$ .
- ▶ The Hausdorff dimension of  $A$  is the supremum of such  $s$ .

When the first condition holds, we say that  $\mu$  is *s-regular* or that  $\mu$  has the *Mass Distribution Property for s*.

# Hausdorff Dimension (Size for Null Sets)

## Example

- ▶ The Cantor middle-third set has Hausdorff dimension  $\log(2)/\log(3)$ .
- ▶ Its uniform measure is  $\log(2)/\log(3)$ -regular.

# Effective Hausdorff Dimension

*Effective Hausdorff dimension* is usually defined for a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  in terms of martingales (Lutz 2000) or effectively presented open covers (Reimann 2004), but the following is equivalent for singletons  $\{\xi\}$  by a theorem of Mayordomo (2017).

## Definition (Lutz, Mayordomo)

The *effective Hausdorff dimension* of a real number  $\xi$  is the infimum of the numbers  $r$  such that for infinitely many  $\ell$  the sequence of the first  $\ell$  digits in the binary expansion of  $\xi$  has prefix-free Kolmogorov complexity less than or equal to  $r \cdot \ell$ .

# Effective Hausdorff Dimension

## Remark

- ▶  $\{\xi : \xi \text{ has effective Hausdorff dimension } d\}$  has Hausdorff dimension  $d$ .

# Effective Hausdorff Dimension

## Remark

- ▶  $\{\xi : \xi \text{ has effective Hausdorff dimension } d\}$  has Hausdorff dimension  $d$ .
- ▶ (J. Lutz and N. Lutz 2017) For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , the Hausdorff dimension of  $A$  is equal to  
the infimum over all  $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$   
of the supremum over all  $\xi \in A$   
of the effective-relative-to- $B$  Hausdorff dimension of  $\xi$ .



# Randomness for $s$ -Regular Measures

## Theorem (Reimann (2008))

*Suppose that  $\xi \in [0, 1]$  has effective dimension  $d$ . For all  $s < d$ , there is an  $s$ -regular measure  $\mu$  such that  $\xi$  is 1-random relative to  $\mu$ .*

# Fourier Dimension

## The Fourier-Stieltjes transform

### Definition

The Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  of a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is given by:

$$\hat{\mu}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi it \cdot x} d\mu(x).$$

When  $d\mu = f(x)dx$ , this is the same as the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

# Fourier Dimension (Uniform Distribution of Measure)

## Definition

The *Fourier dimension* of a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is the supremum of the  $s \leq 1$  such that there is a measure  $\mu$  with support  $A$  and a positive constant  $C$  such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\widehat{\mu}(t)| \leq C \cdot (1 + |t|)^{-s/2}$ .

# Fourier Dimension (Uniform Distribution of Measure)

## Definition

The *Fourier dimension* of a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is the supremum of the  $s \leq 1$  such that there is a measure  $\mu$  with support  $A$  and a positive constant  $C$  such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\widehat{\mu}(t)| \leq C \cdot (1 + |t|)^{-s/2}$ .

- ▶ For today, we shall say that  $\mu$  as above is a Fourier measure for dimension  $s$ .

# Fourier Dimension (Uniform Distribution of Measure)

- ▶ The Fourier dimension of a set is less than or equal to its Hausdorff dimension.
  - The Cantor middle-third set has Fourier dimension zero.

# Fourier Dimension (Uniform Distribution of Measure)

- ▶ The Fourier dimension of a set is less than or equal to its Hausdorff dimension.
  - The Cantor middle-third set has Fourier dimension zero.
- ▶  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a *Salem* set iff its Fourier dimension is equal to its Hausdorff dimension.
  - $\{\xi : \xi \text{ has effective Hausdorff dimension } d\}$  is a Salem set.
  - Fourier dimension is difficult to evaluate and only a few Salem sets are known.

# Descriptive Complexity

Theorem (joint with Alberto Marcone, Reimann and Manlio Valenti)

1. *The set of codes for closed Salem subsets of  $[0, 1]$  is  $\Pi_3^0$ -complete.*
  2. *The set of real numbers  $\xi$  such that there is a Fourier measure making  $\xi$  random is  $\Sigma_2^0$ -complete*
- ▶ The proofs rely on compactness for the appropriate sets of measures.

# Effective Fourier Dimension

Currently, there is no identified candidate for the Fourier dimension of a single real number  $\xi$ .

- ▶ The goal would be to identify

$$\sup\{s : \xi \text{ is 1-random for a Fourier measure for dimension } s.\}$$

in terms of recursion theoretic properties of  $\xi$ .



# Effective Fourier Dimension

Currently, there is no identified candidate for the Fourier dimension of a single real number  $\xi$ .

- ▶ The goal would be to identify

$$\sup\{s : \xi \text{ is } 1\text{-random for a Fourier measure for dimension } s.\}$$

in terms of recursion theoretic properties of  $\xi$ .

Theorem (joint with Verónica Becher and Reimann, generalizes R. Baker (unpublished) and may have been known earlier)

*Suppose that  $\mu$  is a Fourier measure and that  $(b_i : i \in \mathbb{N})$  is a sequence of distinct integers. Then, for  $\mu$ -almost-every real  $\xi$ ,  $(b_i\xi : i \in \mathbb{N})$  is uniformly distributed mod 1.*

# Discrepancy

## Definition

Let  $\vec{x} = (\xi_n : n \in \omega)$  be a sequence of real numbers in  $[0, 1]$ . The discrepancy of  $\vec{x}$  at  $N$  is

$$D(\vec{x}, N) = \sup_{0 \leq a < b \leq 1} \left| \frac{\#\{i : a \leq x_i \leq b\}}{N} - (b - a) \right|.$$

- ▶ The discrepancy of  $\vec{x}$  measures how well and how quickly  $\vec{x}$  distributes its elements as a function of  $N$ .

# Discrepancy

## Definition

Let  $\vec{x} = (\xi_n : n \in \omega)$  be a sequence of real numbers in  $[0, 1]$ . The discrepancy of  $\vec{x}$  at  $N$  is

$$D(\vec{x}, N) = \sup_{0 \leq a < b \leq 1} \left| \frac{\#\{i : a \leq x_i \leq b\}}{N} - (b - a) \right|.$$

- ▶ The discrepancy of  $\vec{x}$  measures how well and how quickly  $\vec{x}$  distributes its elements as a function of  $N$ .

## Question

*Suppose that  $\mu$  is a Fourier measure for dimension  $s$  and that  $b$  is an integer greater than 1. What is the  $\mu$ -almost-everywhere discrepancy function for  $(b^n \xi : n \in \omega)$ ?*

# Diophantine Approximation

Émile Borel (1909): normal numbers

## Definition

Let  $\xi$  be a real number.

- ▶  $\xi$  is *simply normal to base  $b$*  if in its base- $b$  expansion,  $(\xi)_b$ , each digit appears with limiting frequency equal to  $1/b$ .
- ▶  $\xi$  is *normal to base  $b$*  if in  $(\xi)_b$  every finite pattern of numbers occurs with limiting frequency equal to the expected value  $1/b^\ell$ , where  $\ell$  is the pattern length.
  - Equivalently,  $(b^n \xi : n \in \omega)$  is uniformly distributed mod 1.
- ▶  $\xi$  is *absolutely normal* if it is normal to every base  $b$ .

# Normality

analogous to randomness

Theorem (Borel (1909))

*Almost all real numbers are simply normal in every base.*

# Normality

analogous to randomness

## Theorem (Borel (1909))

*Almost all real numbers are simply normal in every base.*

Simply normal, normal and absolutely normal numbers play the role of random reals for meeting the full measure sets for simple normality for a base  $b$ , for powers of  $b$ , and for all  $b$ , respectively.

# Normality

analogous to randomness

## Theorem (Borel (1909))

*Almost all real numbers are simply normal in every base.*

Simply normal, normal and absolutely normal numbers play the role of random reals for meeting the full measure sets for simple normality for a base  $b$ , for powers of  $b$ , and for all  $b$ , respectively.

**Analogous** By a theorem of Schnorr and Stimm, the normality of a sequence is equivalent to its incompressibility by finite automata.

# Normality

analogous to randomness

## Theorem (Borel (1909))

*Almost all real numbers are simply normal in every base.*

Simply normal, normal and absolutely normal numbers play the role of random reals for meeting the full measure sets for simple normality for a base  $b$ , for powers of  $b$ , and for all  $b$ , respectively.

**Analogous** By a theorem of Schnorr and Stimm, the normality of a sequence is equivalent to its incompressibility by finite automata.

**Disanalogous** Unlike in recursion theory, the integer bases provide a one-parameter family of randomness criteria.



# Normality: Depends on Base

Theorem (Cassels and Schmidt (1959))

*There is a real number which is normal in one base and not in another.*

# Normality: Depends on Base

## Theorem (Cassels and Schmidt (1959))

*There is a real number which is normal in one base and not in another.*

## Theorem (joint with Becher and Yann Bugeaud (2013))

*Let  $M$  be a set of natural numbers greater than or equal to 2 such that the following necessary conditions hold.*

- ▶ *For any  $b$  and positive integer  $m$ , if  $b^m \in M$  then  $b \in M$ .*
- ▶ *For any  $b$ , if there are infinitely many positive integers  $m$  such that  $b^m \in M$ , then all powers of  $b$  belong to  $M$ .*

*There is a real number  $\xi$  such that for every base  $b$ ,  $\xi$  is simply normal to base  $b$  iff  $b \in M$ .*

# Irrationality Exponents

analogous to effective Hausdorff dimension

## Definition

For a real number  $\xi$ , the *irrationality exponent* of  $\xi$  is the least upper bound of the set of real numbers  $z$  such that

$$0 < \left| \xi - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^z}$$

is satisfied by an infinite number of integer pairs  $(p, q)$  with  $q > 0$ .

# Irrationality Exponents

analogous to effective Hausdorff dimension

## Definition

For a real number  $\xi$ , the *irrationality exponent* of  $\xi$  is the least upper bound of the set of real numbers  $z$  such that

$$0 < \left| \xi - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^z}$$

is satisfied by an infinite number of integer pairs  $(p, q)$  with  $q > 0$ .

- ▶ When  $z$  is large, instances of  $0 < \left| \xi - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^z}$  are instances of algorithmic compression.
- ▶ (Jarník (1929) and Besicovitch (1934)) The set  $\{\xi : \xi \text{ has irrationality exponent } \alpha\}$  has Hausdorff dimension  $2/\alpha$ .
- ▶ (Kaufman (1981)) The above set is a Salem set.

# Normality and Irrationality Exponents

Disanalogous

Theorem (Bugeaud (2002), based on the construction of Kaufman)

*There is an absolutely normal Liouville number.*

# Normality and Irrationality Exponents

Disanalogous

Theorem (Bugeaud (2002), based on the construction of Kaufman)

*There is an absolutely normal Liouville number.*

A few years ago, we extended work of Amou and Bugeaud.

Theorem (joint with Becher)

*Suppose  $a \in [2, \infty]$  and  $M$  is a subset of the integers greater than or equal to 2 as above. Then there is a real number  $\xi$  such that  $\xi$  is simply normal to exactly the bases in  $M$  and  $\xi$  has exponent of irrationality  $a$ .*

# Irrationality Exponents Relative to Independent Bases

As with normality, the integer bases provide a one-parameter family of compressibility criteria.

# Irrationality Exponents Relative to Independent Bases

As with normality, the integer bases provide a one-parameter family of compressibility criteria.

## Definition (following Amou and Bugeaud (2010))

For a real number  $\xi$ , the *base- $b$  irrationality exponent* of  $\xi$  is the least upper bound of the set of real numbers  $z$  such that

$$0 < \left| \xi - \frac{p}{b^k} \right| < \frac{1}{(b^k)^z}$$

is satisfied by an infinite number of integer pairs  $(p, q)$  with  $q > 0$ .



# Irrationality Exponents Relative to Independent Bases

As with normality, the integer bases provide a one-parameter family of compressibility criteria.

**Definition (following Amou and Bugeaud (2010))**

For a real number  $\xi$ , the *base- $b$  irrationality exponent* of  $\xi$  is the least upper bound of the set of real numbers  $z$  such that

$$0 < \left| \xi - \frac{p}{b^k} \right| < \frac{1}{(b^k)^z}$$

is satisfied by an infinite number of integer pairs  $(p, q)$  with  $q > 0$ .

- ▶ An application of Baker-Schmidt (1971):

$$\{\xi : \xi \text{ has base-}b \text{ irrationality exponent } \alpha\}$$

has Hausdorff dimension  $1/\alpha$ .

# Irrationality Exponent: Depends on Base

## Theorem (Amou and Bugeaud (2010))

*Suppose that  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are multiplicative independent bases, and suppose that  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  are greater than  $1 + \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ . There is a real number whose base- $b_1$  and base- $b_2$  exponents of irrationality are  $a_2$  and  $a_3$ , respectively.*

- ▶ The proof relies on the theory of continued fractions. A measure theoretic approach would be welcome.

# Random or Compressible: Depends on Base

## Theorem

*There is a real number  $\xi$  which is normal to base 2 and whose base 10 exponent of irrationality is equal to  $\infty$ .*

- ▶ For every  $k$  there is an  $n$  such that the decimal expansion of  $\xi$  has a block of  $k \cdot n$  zeros immediately following its  $k$ th digit.
- ▶ The proof uses a generalized version of Stoneham numbers, which rests upon 2's being prime and 10's being a product of 2 with another prime.

# Random or Compressible: Depends on Base

## Theorem

*There is a real number  $\xi$  which is normal to base 2 and whose base 10 exponent of irrationality is equal to  $\infty$ .*

- ▶ For every  $k$  there is an  $n$  such that the decimal expansion of  $\xi$  has a block of  $k \cdot n$  zeros immediately following its  $k$ th digit.
- ▶ The proof uses a generalized version of Stoneham numbers, which rests upon 2's being prime and 10's being a product of 2 with another prime.

## Question

*Is there a real number which is normal to base-2 and has base-3 exponent of irrationality equal to  $\infty$ ?*

# Finding Higher Recursion Theory



*SEE YOU SPACE COWBOY...*